

The Channels of English Literature Edited by Oliphant Smeaton, M A

ENGLISH EPIC AND HEROIC POETRY

By Professor W MACNEILE DIVON, MA,

University of Glasgow

ENGLISH LYRIC POETRY

By Ernest Rhys.

ENGLISH ELEGIAC, DIDACTIC, AND RELIGIOUS POETRY.

By the Very Rev H C BEECHING, D D, D Litt, Dean of Norwich, and the Rev RONALD BAYNE, M. A

ENGLISH DRAMA

By Professor F E SCHELLING, Litt D, University of Pennsylvania

ENGLISH SATIRIC AND HUMOROUS LITERATURE

By Oliphant Smeaton, M A , F S A

ENGLISH PHILOSOPHERS AND SCHOOLS OF PHILOSOPHY

By Professor James Seth, MA, University of Edinburgh

THE ENGLISH ESSAY AND ESSAYISTS

By Professor Hugh Walker, LLD, St David's College, Lampeter

THE ENGLISH NOVEL

By Professor George Saintsbura, D Litt, University of Edinburgh

ENGLISH HISTORIANS AND SCHOOLS OF HISTORY

By Professor RICHARD LODGE, University of Edinburgh

ENGLISH CRITICISM

By Professor J W H ATRINS, University College of Wales

ENGLISH DRAMA

BY

FELIX E SCHELLING

PROFESSOR IN THE UNIVERSITY OF PENNSYLVANIA MEMBER OF THE AMERICAN NATIONAL INSTITUTE OF ARTS AND LETTERS



LONDON J M DENT & SONS LTD BEDFORD STREET, STRAND 1914 NEW YORK E P DUTTON & CO

COPYRICHT 101; By E P DUITON & COMPANY

TO C D S

PREFACE

In the following pages an endeavour is made to tell in scale and with a due regard to proportion the story of English drama from its beginnings in the miracle play and morality to the performance of Sheridan's Critic in the year 1779 cluding chapter presents a sketch of the course of the drama since that time in outline and by way of suggestion and no To have completed the book on the same scale would have demanded another volume But a better reason for the course here pursued is to be found in the circumstance that by the time of Sheridan almost the last vestige of the original dramatic impulse had been lost the impulse that begot Mar lowe and Shakespeare and carried the great traditions of their art over the Restoration and into the next century and when the modern revival came inspired by a renewed appreciation of the great Elizabethans it was manifestly not a revival on the stage but in a new species of literature the drama of the study as different from the original parent stock as the novel is dif ferent from it or from the drama capable of successful presenta tion on the stage

English drama may be likened to a strand in which two threads among many are conspicuous the thread which desig nates the actable play and the thread which designates that quality to which we give the indefinable term literature the days of Elizabeth these two threads were for the most part so interwoven and twisted together that they gave to the cord that strength and unity that we recognise in the great dramas of that time So complete we may well believe was their adaptation to their own stage - which be it remembered was not our stage - that in reading them merely or seeing them reproduced under different conditions we feel that they have inevitably lost something of their original charm the thread of literature and that of actability (shall we call it?) tended from the first to fall apart. There are plays of Shake speare's own time that are inconceivable acted, there are also

PREFACE

plays of his time which only the curious student now reads—and that only for discipline. The split became greater and greater as the gentleman writer turned his attention to play-making or as the allurements or profits of the craft attracted those whose cultivation and power of expression in words was inferior to their opportunities of becoming practically conversant with the stage. Until, by the beginning of the last century, the two threads have been torn hopelessly apart, that of the theatre to be represented by Knowles, Robertson or Boucicault, the literary and poetic, by Byron, Shelley and Tennyson, even more completely in severance, by Browning and Swinburne. There is need for a history of this great schism, but it belongs not to a book of this size or plan. For a history of the drama in the England of the nineteenth century must take into consideration political and social developments, changes of attitude in reader and auditor as well as the ideals of literature and cosmopolitan influences of which the happy little world, ruled by Pope and Voltaire, could have had no premonitions

In presenting the material of this book in as orderly a succession as possible, the wealth of the Elizabethan age has led to a treatment of the drama, there, in its successive varieties rather than in a strict chronological array of the authors and their works A steady progress forward is, none the less, maintained While the stage, as well as the literary nature of the works considered, has been constantly kept in view, a history of the stage as such forms no part of the plan of this book. That work has been well done more than once On the other hand, the attention of the reader is by no means limited to the literary drama, as the progress of the type could in no wise be made clear without a consciousness of the background against which the greater figures stand and a recognition of the conditions that make their work comprehensible In any inquiry such as this, the author is torn between the two extremes to which the late Mr Lang once happily alluded in a review the danger of telling over again what everybody knows, and the peril of calling attention to what nobody cares anything about. The progress of scholar ship should alone be a sufficient answer to this embarrassing dilemma, the logical consequence of which would be the reduction of all who write to silence With new material accumulating daily to modify "what everybody knows," "the peril of calling attention to what nobody cares to hear anything about" sensibly diminishes The ordering of minor things in a truer

PREFACE

relation is a process in which a large part of the function of the historian consists and out of which major results may issue Even those most stubbornly content with the present state of polite learning in Europe may be constrained to readjust this facile division of all things ascertainable

The present writer regrets that the plan of this series does not include either as complete an apparatus of notes or such bibliographies as are coming - possibly somewhat pedantically - more and more into vocue In lieu of the first he wishes to make his general acknowledgments to his predecessors of whom among so many to mention a few would be invidious. An exception however must be made in the case of Professor C W Wallace whose indefatigable researches in the Public Records Office have been so richly and astonishingly rewarded. The documentary material which Professor Wallace has published concerning Shakespeare the Elizabethan theatres and Lindred matters has been used in this book materially to revise many accepted ideas on these subjects. The writer has not always been able to accept Professor Wallace's inferences and submits that possibly he may modify his views when he can speak with greater fulness of knowledge as to the many finds ' of Professor Wallace that still await publication The writer accepts the responsibilities of his own studies for the Elizabethan age and the Restoration period to the death of Dryden beyond he confesses frankly that he has trodden more circumspectly in the paths which those have made who preceded him. As to texts and authorities the student reader is referred to the admirably full and useful hibliographies in the successive volumes of The Cambridge History of English Literature to the excellent lists of authorities in A H Thorndike's Tragedy 1907 and to the bibliographical Essay of the present writer's Elizabethan Drama 8001

CONTENTS

| | | PAGE |
|--------|---|------|
| Prefa | ACE | v |
| CH AP1 | TER | |
| 1 | THE DRAMA ITS NATURE ORIGINS AND RELATIONS | 1 |
| II | MEDIÆVAL DRAMA IN ENGLAND | 14 |
| 111 | LYLY MARLOWE AND OTHER IMMEDIATE PREDECESSORS OF SHAKESPEARE | 39 |
| 11 | SHAKESPEARE AND HIS CONTEMPORARIES IN HISTORY AND ROMANTIC COMEDY | 75 |
| 1 | DEKKER HEYWOOD AND THE DRAMA OF EVERY DAY LIFE | 103 |
| 11 | SHAKESPEARE WEBSTER AND THE HEIGHT OF TRACEDY | 123 |
| 117 | JONSON AND THE CLASSICAL AND SATIRICAL REACTION | 148 |
| 111 | BEAUMONT AND FLETCHER AND THE ROMANTIC CONTINUANCE | 174 |
| ΙX | SHIRLEY AND THE LAST OF THE OLD DRAMA | 204 |
| x | DRYDEN AND THE DRAMA OF THE RESTORATION | 234 |
| VI. | STEELE ROWE AND THE CLOSE OF THE LITERARY DRAMA | 270 |
| ХII | ENGLISH DRAMA SINCE SHERIDAN | 309 |
| | Index | 333 |

ENGLISH DRAMA

CHAPTER I

THE DRAMA ITS NATURE ORIGINS AND RELATIONS

As this book is one of a series of volumes dealing with the major channels of Luglish literature a statement of the nature and limitations of the subject here in hand can not be out of place. To the modern man a definition of drama might seem simple surely this A drama is a thing made to be acted is sufficient and indeed acting touches the vital point of all But the Senecan travedies of Neronian Rome were not made to be acted neither is much of the literary drama of Victorian England Sheller's Gence for example or Swinburne's splendid trilogy devoted to Mary Stuart While an historical inquiry into any subject must consider that out of which it arises its cogeners and its outcomes this book must be from the nature of the case, concerned in the main with that form and variety of written speech which details a con nected story by means of dialogue and the attendant action in volved in histrionic representation. Medica al debat estrif and pageant ballet masque and pantomime modern closet play prose conversation, poetic fantasy or rhapsody writ dialogue wise each has its place and partiales in its measure of dramatic qualities but none is strictly drama nor need call for more than a subsidiary mention for the contribution of its tributary stream to the current of the main dramitic channel Again this book is of English drama that is a history of the growth and de velopment of the drama in one country and in one tongue There is an interesting chapter on Latin drama in modern western Europe and foreign influences in ebb and flow, have always been especially strong in literature of the dramatic type Neither the examples of the ancients nor borrowings from the moderns can be neclected in an inquire such as this but it is easy to make too much of them. They, too, must leep their place for the necessary light which they can throw upon our major subject, and they must be permitted no more. As to one other limitation this book will be found less strict, and this is best suggested in the rejection of the titles, "a history of dramatic poetry," or "a history of dramatic literature." This last word popularly involves an æsthetic appraisement with an exclusion of the inferior and unliterary, a process foreign to rational historical inquiry. Indubitably we care less for productions that live their brief day and perish with the age that begot them than we care for those accredited works which have made their authors immortal. But the history or literature can no more be written in a neglect of the writings of lesser men than we can hope to write the history of a country solely on the basis of the biographies of its kings and princes There is much admirable drama that is not poetry, whatever definition may be attached to that much abused word. And there are many plays that we read with interest for their place in the history of literature which could never move that detached and extraordinary person, the reader whose standard is the hypothetical absolute

As a point of departure, Aristotle's simple definition of drama as "imitated human action" has not been bettered. The limitation, "human," is not less pertinent than the much debated term "imitation." For, however an Aristophanes or a Rostand may take us off to Cloudland or to Birdland, it is the human traits, even in these departures, that make such personages as theirs possible. Man cannot escape man even in the drama, and it is the ways of our kind, so dear to us, that constitute the essentials of dramatic subject matter. From another well known definition we may gain another point of view. "A drama is an epic told in lyric parts" But here we must apprehend the components if we are to be sure of the compound. An epic, in large, is a narrative poem, a story of deeds, told outwardly and objectively by some one who has heard them A lyrical poem (the song element aside for the nonce) is the expression of an inner or subjective emotion by one who has felt what he expresses. Drama, in common with the epic, is concerned in the telling of a story But the story is not told objectively and in the third person, but in the very speech, action and emotions of the participants, thus involving lyrical expression. It is obvious that we have here less a definition than an illustration, for there are other elements in both epic and lyrical poetry which might readily confuse and besides the range of drama as we have seen is broader than that of poetry however its heights may fall short of the loftier flights of the inspired rhapsodic lyrist. If we combine what we have thus far discussed we have for a drama a picture or representation of human life in that succession and change of events that we call story, told by means of dialogue and presenting in action the successive emotions in

But it is far from true that every story is dramatic even though it fulfil in presentation the conditions already rehearsed Every drama involves -- so the philosopher would have us know - a conflict between what he calls the universal and the particu lar with the triumph in the end of one or the other. In tragedy the universal is some law of general acceptance among men whether ethical and of man's making or founded on religious sanction The struggle is therefore of a serious nature as it involves rebellion against Fate against God or at the least against accepted human code Hence tragedy deals with the deep and turbulent passions those that lead to violence and crime In comedy contrastedly the universal is some conven tion of men a concatenation of circumstances which commonexperience tells us are likely to lead to certain results and the struggle of the individual is against such things the process of his struggle cleverness ingenuity wit against wit in which the lighter traits of mankind their manners follies peccadilloes play a diverting part Hence comedy leads to laughter as irre sistibly as tragedy begets tears And in an ultimate analysis the philosopher once more tells us the essential difference between tragedy and comedy lies in the nature of the universal

To illustrate the nature of dramatic conflict in the familiar tragedy of Macbeth a struggle is involved between the universal law expressed in the command Thou shalt do no mur der and the individual will of Macbeth The law declares

Thou shalt not slay thy fellow man and thrive thereafter Macbeth in his mad infatuation to attain a crown dares to commit murder but finds that barely to maintain his crown he must wade ever deeper in crime. And in the end even crime will not save him. Macbeth has put his will against eternal law and he goes down to destruction the consequent victim of his own folly and wickedness. Moreover we are satisfied artistically as well as thically with the result. On the other hand, the conflict of The Tanine of the Shrew lies be

tween the will of Petruchio who has determined to tame Katharine, and the common experience of men that women of Katharine's temper are inconvertible into submissive and amiable wives Our pleasure lies in the process of the comedy, and especially in the unexpectedness of the triumph of the intrepid bridegroom. The statement of the conflict is not always so simple as in these typical cases. The plot of most plays is involved in minor particulars concerning minor personages To take the two Shakespearcan plays just contrasted in Macbeth we have the subsidiary story of Macduff whose failure to credit the depravity of Macbeth or neglect to provide for so bloody a contingency loses him his wife and children under circumstances of hideously wanton cruelty. Insufficient enough must have been the victory of Macduff's sword on the usurper who died like a man sword in hand. But Macduff is not the hero of Macbeth His story is necessary, like that of the unfortunate Banquo, not for itself but as an essential feature of Macbeth's struggle with fate So, too, The Shrew involves a second story, that of Katharine's sister, Bianca, and her suitors Bianca is the sweet average young woman, pretty, but wanting Katharine's personality and charm Her story is an excellent foil for that of the more forceful and entertaining "shrew." You can always tell what will happen to Bianca, in her unexpectedness lies the effective comedy of "Kate the curst."

Dare a man defy the laws of God and make his way by means of murder to a crown? The answer is definitively "no" Date a man take the life of a friend whom he loves, believing him to be a tyrant and that thus he is preserving the liberties of his country? Again we answer "no," although enormously different is the case of Brutus as contrasted with Macbeth More, can we justify the folly of an aged king who divides his kingdom among his children before his death and disinherits his only faithful daughter because she is not glib of tongue in the expression of her filial affection? And are we able to extenuate so as to forgive the violent act that caused an honourable soldier to kill his beloved under mistake that she was untrue, when that mistake was the result of the most diabolical practice by means of which an honourable man has ever been duped? For neither King Lear nor for Othello can we conceive a further life in this world, shriven and measurably forgetful of past sorrow. And this leads us to a recognition of the ethical quality of tragedy which demands expiation in full measure no matter what the ultimate cause or justification of crime. Where great traged, has flourished in the world this rigour of the universal lawhether we express our of Æschylan mythology in te

or in Ibsenesque phantoms of heredity and human depravity

Recurring to comedy we may ask other questions than that which concerns the temerity of Petruchio Can a young woman who serves the prince whom she loves in the capacity and disguise of a page hope to win him by honestly acting as his messenger to another lady whom he affects? Viola accom plishes this in Tuelfth Night and Helena in All's Well that Ends Hell contrives against lowly birth her husbands you and desertion equally to attain her object. But in comedy, unlike tragedy the outcome of the struggle is not always cer tain and a triumph for the protagonist. We may query once more may four young gentlemen lock themselves away from converse with womankind for study and hope to remain undis turbed and undistracted? The answer of Love's Labour's Lost is pleasantly no And may a young man and a young woman determine each to himself and contrary to the time of the hey day of life that neither will marry and succeed in Leeping this Not if their own hearts with the help of knavish friends contrive to defeat them is the answer of the Much Ado About Nothing Obviously if the universal is only relatively such the outcome may be divertingly uncertain. There is as much delight, from a comedy point of view in effort discon certed as in effort successful in character disproportionate as proportionate to profession. Comedy is more variable than tragedy as it is dependent on more transient conditions. The triumph of individual effort over fortuitous circumstances still defines a large class of comedies but pathos character and laughter all are subserved equally well by the inverse method It has of course not escaped the ingenious reader that the

It has of course not escriped the ingenious reader that the foregoing examples have been wholly Shakespearean and he will neither forget that there are many other dramatists both before and after not that there are many other methods in the dramatic art. Not yet to leave Shakespeare there are queries that arise in the solution of the dramatic struggle in his plays which we should not answer as he answered them. Are we satisfied with the fate of Shylock or the forgiveness of Leonies in The Win.

Let's Tale? To the outery dare a man make the question of

his wife's virtue the subject of a common wager and hope for reconciliation and happiness after, we are astonished to find Shakespeare answering "yes" in *Cymbeline*, and the dramatist's source alone will not explain this complaisance. More comprehensible to the contemporary mind is the condoning of incorrigible knavery which we meet in Jonson and Middleton and which had an honest lineal descent from Plautus and the Greek comedians. But these matters are ephemeral and may well be left to the historical part of our subject.

For the conduct of this representation of man in conflict with his environment which we call drama many rules have been devised and many precepts determined In these matters it is always worth while to ascertain whether the principles of dramatic structure which we find laid down so convincingly in books are the result of an actual examination of the field of the drama entire, even of any one group of plays, or if they are based, as they often are, merely on scholarly ratiocination Aristotle was an observer of the greatest possible acuteness; but the mere sanction of his name has long since ceased to carry laws to the barbarians Aristotle wrote,—or was rather reported with Greek tragedy almost alone in view, Freytag with the German masterpieces of a century ago for his chief illustrations Many people write books on this topic who forget that the drama has changed since Shakespeare, and more appear to suffer under the superstition that there is a superior merit in a play which is structurally "correct", as if the growing forms of literary or other organisms could be determined a priori, and the process of time and genius, which again and again justifies in success the transgressions of all such laws, were not to be reckoned with

With such a conception of the relations of the technicalities of any art to the art in its vital development, the reader must not be surprised to find little store set in this book on questions that concern the position of the climax and the advantages of postponed catastrophe. He who wishes to know the differences between "action-dramas and passion-dramas," the subtle distinctions that explain plot and counter-plot, sub-plot and enveloping action, the kinds and varieties of nemesis, and "the moment of tragic suspense," may find all of these things set down in the books that treat them. Obviously, a play, like any other story, is governed by certain principles of construction. It must begin and close at the proper place in the narrative,

taking nothing for granted if as in English drama usually the plot may be supposed to be unknown to the auditor. The action must admit nothing dramatically irrelevant and the play is less a unified organism if a subsidiary plot is admitted which is not germain to the chief story. The conflict of which we have heard so much must be presented as an actual conflict the out come of which is really in doubt and naturally there must arise at some place a turn in this struggle that marks coming victory or defeat. If it bring any illumination to call the presentations of the relations of the personages in a play the exposition, the procedure to the turning point of conflict the

and the recedure therefrom the decline' there can really be no objection to such nomenclature or any other provided it be remembered that such mechanical matters have very little to do with a veritable appreciation of any dramatist's art It is related that an excellent university poet John Watson of St John's College, Cambridge in late humanist times suffered not his Latin tragedy of Absalom to come into print or to per formance because in a certain passage thereof anapestus is twice or thrice used instead of jambus A contemporary pro fessor of literature applying rigorously the standards of a rect construction to the modern novel is reported to have found only one work that reached his jealous scale of perfec tion and that was The Hound of the Baskervilles! In the historical consideration of a type such as drama it becomes more than ever important to judge each product by the traits of its own being and to eschew standards and preconcep tions

Many practices of the English drama have been conveniently borrowed from the classics [The soliloquy the chorus the saide are such together with such extraneous parts as the prologue and the epilogue and the formalities of division into act and scene.] None of these things are vital to the drama for drama may exist without them On the other hand no gen unrely great work is ever impaired by the stage conventions accepted in its time.) A prevalent vulgar error identifies are with life the representation with

no art really reproduces life for dance of material offered by lif

character that number of events in a series, those relations of person and place which are suited to its purposes. This is why it is often said that the logic of art is severer than the logic of

life, why a closer causal relation is to be sought in a play than in an historical occurrence. Again, each art has its own conventions and may be likened to a foreign language with all its idioms and peculiar characteristics into which the story taken from life has been translated. It is quite as irrational to quarrel with conventions of dramatic stage representation as it would be to quarrel with a Greek second agrist or with the dual gender in Sanskrit. The grammar and idiom of languages change, and so, too, do the grammar and idiom of the stage. Certain things can be done with colour on canvas, other things with bronze or plaster. The highest art is that which speaks idiomatically in its own dialect, the art that translates

life frankly into the terms of its own acceptance

And now let us turn from these generalities as to the nature of drama to consider why the English drama is what it is the outset it may be affirmed that modern drama can in no sense be traced back to any direct literary contact with ancient drama, Greek or Roman On the supposition that some such touch may once have existed, it has been customary to cite as examples the Suffering Christ (Χριστὸς πασχων), once attributed to St Gregory the Nazianzene, who lived in the fourth century, and the Terentian comedies of the Abbess Hrotswitha of Gandersheim in Saxony. But the first, however suggestive of an acquaintance with Greek tragedy, turns out to belong not to St Gregory of the fourth century, but to a Byzantine writer of the twelfth It has been described as "a religious exercise in the garb of Euripidean diction" and as doubtless unknown to Western readers until the sixteenth century. The comedies of Hrotswitha, which belong to the twelfth century, were an honest attempt, by a high-minded and talented woman of culture and rank, to apply the dialogue and situations of Latin comedy to moral and religious teaching. This was precisely what the humanists attempted on a greater scale and more originally two or three hundred years later, but whether any connection really existed between such sporadic efforts and the famous mention by William Fitzstephen, in the later twelfth century, of "miracles of saints and passions of holy martyrs" may well be doubted These lost saints' plays, like the extant drama of Hilarius who was supposed to have been born in England, seem rather to link on to the sacred drama, however indirectly they may have been effected by literary examples As one of the northern, outlying provinces of the Roman em-

pire and as a part of that empire which reverted more com pletely to earlier barbarian conditions than some of the provinces closer to Rome we must expect to find little or no influence of Roman conditions on anything that survived in the nature of drama in England This was substantially the history of the other countries of western Europe however the successors of the scenics and the origin may have become confused in th the tumblers buffoons and wanc their rude hu mour and revelry to the even ruder humour of the folk. The scop of Saxon times, in contrast to the mime was a personage of dignity and importance and his successor in mediæval days the minstrel often maintained much of both Both of these old English entertainers could have included little that was dramatic among their songs and stately recitals save where the direct touch of narrated dialogue or mimicry in impersonation may have added to them verve and life likeness But English minstrelsy was soon to learn many things from the vivacious trouveres and tongleurs of the Norman conqueror, and among them were the quasi-dramatic disputations jeux partis and estrifs among which The Harrowing of Hell an estrif on the beautiful legend of Christ's descent into hell may be reckoned as one of the sources of the morality Among the humble strollers whose entertainment was of a lighter and more comic sort dialogue was certainly early in vogue and the use of marionettes which is well authenticated 'implies not only dialogue but plot 1 Farce became prevalent enough on the continent to form a distinct and recognised species of mediæval drama but in England save for a single mention of other japis in the Tretise of miracles pleyinge and the fragment of the text of the Interludium de Clerico et Puella a dialogue founded on the popular story of Dame Siriz we have nothing to correspond to the considerable repertoire of this kind in France until we reach the days of John Heywood Nor do occasional indications of the performance of satirical attacks in dramatic form give us the right to reconstruct for England more than an hypothetical existence of any such dramatic organ isations as the Enfants san sours or the Basoche of Paris ever that both such actors and such a lighter drama did exist throughout the mediæval centuries in England is certain in

1 See Secular Influences on the Early English Drama by H H Child Cambridge History of English Literature vi 25

view of what came after. It is always to be remembered that little of a literary character inheres in popular drama such as this. The art of writing was an unusual accomplishment even among the clergy, and records such as these, which often called down the criticism and the enmity of the church, were little preserved except where, as in the case of the miracle play, they received the church's sanction

A root of English drama, earlier and deeper than long possible survivals from the classical ages has been uncovered in the study of folk-lore2 The festivals and observances of Pagan times, with their set ritual often involving procession, combat, dance, song and disguise, had much in common with the spirit that makes for drama. Festivals such as those that survived in the observances of Christmas, May-day, and harvest time create the holiday mood and induce the exercise of activity for play which has in it the elements of feigning. On the literary side, while the cantilenae, or songs celebrating the deeds of the heroes of the folk, may have had in them little of the dramatic elements, traditional festival songs were commonly accompanied by a burden or "chorus" and many were framed by way of query and response amounting at times to set dialogue. In short, while the material connected with early English customs among the folk exhibits no such certain steps as those which can be traced in early Hellenic times, the analogue of a development from folk-song and festival to folk-drama in both cases involves no uncertain process of reasoning. Nor is England without example, in mention and survival, pointing to what this folk-drama may have been A gossipy attendant at court, Robert Lancham, describes for us a performance of the Hock Tuesday play at Coventry in 1575, one of the many entertainments in honour of Queen Elizabeth's visit to the Earl of Leicester at Kenilworth. This "old storial show" as our informant calls it, was "for pastime wont to be played yearly," and he describes the argument how the English under Huna defeated the Danes and rid the kingdom of them in the reign of Ethelred on Saint Brice's night, November, 1002 John Rous, Laneham's predecessor, in a mention of the Hock Tuesday play by over a hundred years, assigns the story to a commemoration of the driving out of the Danes which preceded the accession of Edward the Confessor to the throne in

² An authoritative book on this subject is that of E K Chambers, Mediæval Drama, 2 vols, 1903

1042 ³ In all likelihood the origin harks back to an immemoral folk-custom in the process of which a victim was obtained for the sacrifice by simulated force, women playing an important part in the struggle. This last feature remained conspicuous according to Laneham in the Hock Tuesday plays. There are many other examples of the general custom the per formance which Elizabeth saw at Coventry is the only instance of this folk custom transformed into the dialogue and action of a connected play

Of the Hock Tuesday play we hear no more after Lane ham the sword dance remained fruitful later Such a custom may obviously date among a warlike people from exceedingly early even savage times Writers on folk lore associate its rit ual with primitive customs having to do with the expulsion of Death and Winter and the resurrection of Summer and it is the source of many an extant debat and estrif on the topic The sword dance soon became mimetic and certain definite personages developed such as the fool and the Bessy a man dressed in woman's clothes Some have held the morris dance (in which appear Maid Marian and Robin Hood himself very often) merely an offshoot of the sword dance A development of more interest to us dramatically is the mummers or St George play which has by no means as yet disappeared from many outlying rural parts of England Here the central idea is the killing of one of the personages and his restoration to The chief character is always a saint, a king or a prince George there is a spoken introduction of the characters besides the dialogue much action dancing and often a number of sub sidiary personages among whom the hobby horse is not for It has been justly remarked that the king and prince George are Hanoverian improvements as saint George must have been mediæval with its suggestion of the contem porary influences of saints play and miracle The Robin Hood play is still another of these survivals of the customs of the folk but here the modifying contemporary influence was mediæval balladry itself a lineal descendant from early com munal song The Robin Hood play is regarded a development of the May game in which the coming of spring is celebrated with dance and song and a king and queen appointed to lead in the revels The pastoral form of this play was universal in France and Robin became the type name of the shepherd lover

³ Historia Regum Angliae (printed 1716) pp 105 106

Marion that of his mistress. In England, all this was confused with the ballad story of Robin Hood, Marion became Maid Marian and the pastoral features were lost in those of free forest life and fight with dishonest constituted authority, represented in the Sheriff of Nottingham and the delightful outlawry of Robin and his friends, Friar Tuck, Little John and The Paston Letters disclose an interesting mention of a servant with whom his master was loath to part because he played Robin Hood and the Sheriff of Nottingham so well 4 This familiar mention points to a popularity of such performances in the fifteenth century Moreover, a fragment of such a play of much the date of the allusion just mentioned is extant and "a merry geste" of Robin Hood, "with a new play for to be played in May Games," was printed about the year 1561 The story of Robin Hood was later to prove dramatically fruitful in many plays of the Shakespearean age, but it may be doubtful if this was so much a survival of any influence from the old folk-plays as it was referable to the awakened national spirit that found in this popular hero of old English balladry, whose ancestry extended to the Teutonic god Wodin (though little they knew it), a personage peculiarly typical of the new age When all has been said for these influences of the immemorial rituals of the folk, their games and festivities, little can be proved except that such customs preserved among the people a temper of mind favourable to the dramatic way of presenting things This the mediæval Christian clergy were quick to discern, and the cleverness, that turned the Saturnalia into Christmas and the pagan licenses of May-day into the rejoicings of Easter, converted the love of fiction, the impulse for play and disguise and mumming into a potent means wherewith to spread a knowledge of bible story and an acceptance of That a learned Byzantine priest should Christian doctrine have remembered Euripides when he wrote his suffering Christ and a cultivated German princess her Terence, whom she imitated crudely enough with like pious intent, seem matters in no wise remarkable But we may feel more than assured that these were exceptional cases, academic and to some extent im-The age needed a translation of the great truths of Christianity in familiar terms of the present, and mediæval art accomplished this in its own way Thus it developed a drama that employed, of what went before, all that was vital and

⁴ Paston Letters, ed Gairdner, 111, 89

significant all that it could understand neglecting as non existent or declaring active war on all else. The drama in mediazval times was like one of those wonderful and incon gruous cathedrals built out of the ruins of Roman temple and Druid altar alike in which angels saints and demons combine with the human hands that framed them in an ornamentation bizarre and absurd to produce none the less a total result that is sincere imposing and lasting. Into that stately edifice let us now enter remembering that it was dedicated singly to the service of God

CHAPTER II

MEDIÆVAL DRAMA IN ENGLAND

THE drama of England, like that of all other countries of western Europe, had its ultimate origin in the services of the church, though other influences came in time to shape and deflect it from its major purpose, the representations of portions of the scriptures for religious and moral edification. The beginnings of modern drama lie at the heart of the ritual of the church. Technically described, modern drama takes its rise in an antiphonal mimetic development of certain tropes of Translated, this signifies that in the process of elaboration to which the services of the church were submitted during the ninth and tenth centuries, the choral parts of the mass were extended and supplemented by the insertion of new melodies to which in time new words were written. The inserted melodies were called neumae, the words of these amplifications, tropes. Some tropes in later metrical developments gave rise to famous mediæval hymns Other tropes, which were attached to alternating songs, took a dialogue form, and among them a few proved dramatically potential and came in time to be accompanied by a species of stage representation. Such a trope was the Quem quaeritis, as it is called from its first two words, an amplification of the Officium or Introit, the alternating song, "sung by the choir at the beginning of the mass as the celebrant approaches the altar " In its earliest and simplest form the Quem quaeritis is little more than a paraphrase of Matthew (xxviii, 1-7) or the corresponding passage in Mark (xvi, 1-6) This trope was first written at St. Gallen about the year 900. Transferred to the celebration of Easter, it became at once dramatically capable of extension earliest scrap of anything like an acted scene that has come down to us in England, is a brief transcript of this dialogue between the angel at the sepulchre of Christ and the two Maries and It is still preserved in an old manuscript entitled the

Concordia Regularis Monachorum an appendix to the rule of St Benedict in Winchester Cathedral, and dates from the end of the tenth century (959 975) when King Edgar reigned in Wessex and long before William and his Normans had come over to England to disturb Saxon rule. We can imagine, in this case the rude representation of a cave beneath one of the arches of the church beside the entrance to which lay a great stone apparently just rolled away. Three of the younger clergy, dressed in long garments betokening womanhood ap proach the opening and meet there another figure arrayed in white bearing wings and holding a palm in his hand. As he sits beside the tomb he asks 'Whom seek ye? and they reply Iesus of Nazareth which was crucified. And the angel

tells them "He is risen, he is not here behold the place where they laid him. With these words he lifts the veil, showing the place bare of the cross and only the clothes remaining which the cross was shrouded. Then the three taking the cloth hold it up and sing Surrexit Dominus de supulchro and the Te Deum follows with joy and ringing of bells. As Chambers puts it here 'dialogued chant and mimetic action have come together and the first liturgical drama is in all its

essentials complete 1

But Easter was not the only point about which gathered the nucleus of the drama to be The Officium Pastorum is based on a Christmas dialogue that formed itself about the praesepe or cradle precisely as the Quem quaeritis was formed about the sepulchre The praesepe was arranged near to the altar To it certain of the clergy, arrayed as shepherds advanced singing a hymn while a boy in the likeness of an angel sang in reply the good tidings from a position above. As the shepherds neared the cradle they were met by two priests at the divine birth a dialogue ensued beginning

Quem quaeritis in praesepe pastores dictie? This was followed by another hymn while the shepherds knelt in adoration and so the embryonic 'play of the shepherds ends The Pastores as it is called followed the Quem quaeritis in the eleventh and twelfth centuries beginning in a trope of the third or great mass but undergoing a similar transfer to the celebration of Christmas It is somewhat unfortunate that these choral services for special occasions' should be called

¹ The Mediaval Stage is p 15

"liturgical plays." With their formal responses and Latin texts they were full of suggestion, but theirs was the efficacy of the symbol In no true sense do they represent histrionically the events of Bible story. The liturgical plays are interesting to the historian of the drama only in view of what in time was

to develop from them

The dramatic development of the liturgy belongs especially to the twelfth century with half a century added before and after. The dramatic motive involved in the doctrine of the real presence, with its vivid and poignant sense of the human suffering of Christ for mankind, was soon to lift the symbolism of liturgical ceremony into the realism of actual drama. Before the beginning of the eleventh century the process of amplification had set in. The simple colloquy between the angel and the Maries at the tomb was developed at times to embrace the purchase of ointments of the spice merchant by one of the Maries, their communication of the news of the resurrection to the apostles, a like visit of two of them to the sepulchre, and the apparition of the Saviour to Mary Magdalene Similarly, to the Pastores were added the lamentation of Rachel and the Stella, a trope of different origin, wherein the three kings of the east are represented as guided by a star, set glittering over the altar, to the cradle that lay beneath Other tropes of the service also developed, as for example, the Prophetae, which originated not in a chant but in an early nairative sermon against the Jews But, for our purposes, we need not be further concerned with these liturgical beginnings This incipient drama was early recognised for its value as Creizenach has put it, furnishing "a species of living picture-book" of sacred story wherewith "to fortify the unlearned people in their faith."

The next step towards actual drama is obviously the detachment of these "plays" from their place in the service. They continued long in their original positions even after they had come likewise to be otherwise employed. But once detached, the invention of like episodes dramatic and their use for divers religious purposes were certain to follow. We hear very early of plays on the lives and miracles of saints. Such must have been the Play of St Catherine, prepared by a Norman, Godefroy of Le Mana, head-master of the monastery school at Dunstable, dating 1119, but now lost. And such are the three dramas of Hilarius, a pupil of Abélard on the Resurrection of Lazarus, on Daniel and St Nicholas, 1125, still to be read in

their monkish Latin and interspersed French with directions that show their adaptability to matins or vespers These plays of Hilarius belong not to England although their author has been thought by some to have been of English birth Even the well known allusion of William Fitzstephen in his Life of Thomas a Becket (c 1180) to the representations of miracles wrought by holy confessors or of the tribulations and constancy of martyrs all enacted in London leave us in doubt as to the language in which they were written and as to whether they could have been more than performances at most Anglo Norman if not actually imported from France Indeed no such body of saints plays as is well known for example in France exists for mediæval England and we are compelled to reconstruct from rare mention and by analogy a literature which we have reason to believe must once have been 2. When we consider how thoroughly under the dominion of the Normans both political and clerical life remained from the conquest of William almost to the time of Edward III how the language of learning and the Church was Latin the language of culture and of the courts of law Norman French and how the ver nacular was despised and neglected by the governing classes we can hardly wonder that traces of this particular kind are so few But there seem too, to have been other reasons The English taste appears less to have delighted in those extensions of Scripture, the Apocrypha and the legends of the saints. Eng. lish preference was for the simple bible story and while the English distinguished no more than their mediæval brethren in other lands the facts of history from its fictions the con creteness of the material of accepted bible story as compared with the allegory and vagueness of sacred legend may go far to account for this

In England above all other medæval countries do we find the growth and enlargement of the bible story scene by scene carried to its logical conclusion until from a scene or two illustrative and forming a part of the service this drama developed to an enormous cycle of sacred history beginning

Beside the scattered mentions of lost plays of St George St Laurence St. Botolph and others see the account of Mary Magdalen of the Digby MS below and Creizenachs mention of the fragment of a miracle play on Duke Moraud belonging to the fifteenth century Cambridge Huttery of English Literature v 20 with the creation of man, his fall and banishment from the garden of Eden, and extending through the more important matters of the Old Testament and the life of Christ in the New to the summoning of the quick and the dead on the day of final judgment This kind of drama is called the miracle play - sometimes less correctly the mystery play - and it flourished throughout England from the reign of Henry II to that of Elizabeth and became the parent of a large progeny of religious, moral and allegorical productions which in turn formed the soil out of which modern drama was later to spring Apparently the earliest miracle plays to be performed in England belong to the Eastern Midlands and to a date not far removed from 1250 Singly or in cycle, records declare their existence at scores of places, London, the great sees of Canterbury. York and Winchester, at the universities, and especially at the larger market towns of Kent, Essex, Norfolk and other counties Indeed miracle plays became in time a feature of the periodical fairs, those well known mediæval resorts of barter and pleasure, and they were employed on secular occasions to celebrate a royal visit, for example, or to signalize some memorable event. Obviously many things attended this extension of the drama, and the most notable was its secularization representations on stationary platforms in church, by the clergy, at first in Latin, the miracles were transferred to movable pageants, or platforms set on wheels, drawn from place to place with appropriate decorations and music, acted by tradesmen's guilds - sometimes by professional actors - and in the English language. There is an interesting old manuscript (now often reproduced), showing the arrangement of twenty-two platforms in the church at Donauschingen in the sixteenth century, arranged for the performance of a drama dealing with the passion. Here the pageants were ordered to correspond with the three main divisions of the church, the nave, the body of the church and the sanctuary Hell was placed nearest the outer doors, heaven, the cross and the sepulchre in the sanctuary itself ³ Plainly here was much to stage in a single building, however large, and it is clear that the pressure of the crowd had much to do with taking the miracle play out of the churches But there were other reasons Early in the history of the mediæval stage certain practices arose even among

³ This plan is reproduced in Chambers' Mediæval Stage, 11, 84

the clergy confused in part with the privileges and license accorded to period of public rejoicing and traceable back to pagan times The Prist of Fools was a New Year's revel in which the minor clerey parodied the service and carried on loutish tricks. A similar revel more common in England was the mock election of a Boy Bishop. These and other like abuses set the more serious clergy against stage acting and the prohibition of ludi theatrales by Pope Innocent III in 1207 was sometimes interpreted by the more zealous - notably by Robert Grosteste the reforming Bishop of Lincoln in 1244as directed against all dramas. This helped, too to secularize . the drama On the other hand the institution of the feast of Corous Christi by Pope Urban in 1214 gave a marked impulse to the las performance of religious plays. For the trade guilds in England adopted the miracle play as a feature of the solemn procession of the triumphal church with which they were ac customed to celebrate their chief holiday of the year. It was thus under the fostering hand of the guilds - out of whose body be it remembered the civic officers of the medieval town were recruited - that the miracle play developed into the sumptuous and elaborate spectacle that it became and it is owing to the pains with which in certain cases the civic records were kept and preserved that we owe our first hand knowledge of these interesting avocations of our medieval forefathers

Four cycles of collective miracle plays remain extant and all have been carefully reprinted and edited from the original manuscripts and studied in themselves and in their relations The earliest manuscript is that of the York Plays and dates between 1430 and 1440 The Towneley Plays are not much later and those of Chester and the Ludus Coventriae as the fourth is inaccurately called follow after in the same century though practically all show signs in certain places of later revisions and the performance of some of the scenes must date far earlier than the manuscripts. All of these cycles begin with the creation or the fall of Lucifer and extend to the day of doom and all deal with comparative brevity of Old Testament subjects to centre interest in the birth the passion and the resurrection of Christ The York Cycle was acted yearly by the craft guilds of that town and is mentioned as long in progress as e rly as 1378 It consists of forty eight scenes or plays, each acted by a separate guild. It is written in a variety of styles and stanzas and may be regarded as a compila

tion rather than the revision of a single author. The Yorl Cycle represents most fully the life and work of Christ Towneley Plays, it is now believed, were acted by the craftguilds of Wakefield in Yorkshire at the important fairs held at Woodkirk. They consist of a composite, made up of three groups, and show relation in part to an earlier form of the York Plays But other parts of the work stand out as the anonymous composition of a single author whose qualities of humour, effective satire and homely realism have earned for him the title of "our first great comic dramatist, the playwright of Wakefield." The Chester Cycle was acted by craftguilds at Whitsuntide and shows close relations to the French Mystère du Viel Testament. It is of somewhat unequal excellence and sophisticated in its effort to achieve dramatic effect Unlike the cycles of York and Wakefield, it draws on the legends of saints for material, and on the Apocrypha the so-called Ludus Coventriae is not really of Coventry at all It may possibly have been of Norfolk Its scenes fall into several groups, separated by "conclusions" and introduced and explained by a personage, called Contemplacio Other abstractions figure among its persons, and it draws on matter without the bounds of scriptural story. It is not altogether clear that the Ludus Coventriae - better called from a sometime owner the Hegge Plays — was acted under clerical supervision and its scenes appear to have been presented not on movable pageants but in "a pleyn place" on scaffolds

The four cycles with the scattered scenes and parts of scenes, once parts of now lost cycles or existing apart, from a considerable body of material. Not unlike the medieval ballad, we have here less the collected work of many individual writers than the results of repeated revision and workings over of material, successively adapted to gradually changing conditions. Save for the bond that makes all before and after, the promise and fulfilment of the life of Christ, no unity knits the loose succession of scenes. The sanctity of their biblical sources and a becoming awe for them contrived to keep the more important personages—Jesus, the Maries, Joseph, and the disciples—figures of dignity and measurably faithful to their scriptural models. Neither clumsiness of hand nor dramatic inefficiency could destroy their human and often pathetic appeal, while, in some of the finer scenes of York and Towneley, we

meet with homely but genuine dramatic quality and success. As to less important matters the authors of the old miracles drew from their own experience and imagination giving us again and again little glimpses into mediaval character and touches of the life that existed about them I he most famous example of the last is The Second Shepherds Play of the Touneles Cycle in which is told the story of a thievish rascal named Mal with his theft of a sheep from the shepherds who are awaiting for a sign of the coming of Christ on downs unmistakable of Yorkshire and amid the rigours of a York shire winter. In the upshot Mak gets away with a sheep and conceals it in the cradle in his hovel, where it is at last found by the shepherds who toss the rogue in a blanket despite the asseverations of Tib his wife, that the sheep is really a change ling left unbeknown to her and her honest husband by fairies who had spirited her own child away. Here is a bit of actual life cut free from all intent save that of diversion. In such scenes English comedy was born

From the manuscripts of these old cycles many interesting particulars may be gleaned. The pageant at Chester is de scribed as a high place made like a house with two rooms, be ing open on the top in the lower-room they appareled and dressed themselves, and in the higher room they placed and they stood upon six wheels. The decorations were of the simplest and apparently the auditors stood on all sides of the wagon. However imaginative realism was not wanting the ark in the pageant of the flood was shaped like a ship, and hell mouth with its flames of fire its rattling chains and instruments of torture and the grim and hideous semblance of its devils served its purpose as a deterrent from sin doubtless as well as our boge, fear of public reprobation. The actors though ama teurs and trades people members of the various crafts received each his fee for acting and other services and long lists of pay ments remain in the records some of them amusing enough to us. One series of entries begins solemnly God two shillings, with later entries to Cairphrs and his wife netting each four pence more. There are items for five sheepskins for God's coat for a slop for Herod and for painting and repairing the devil s head. Among payments for theatrical services one Fawnston is allowed four pence 'for hanging Judas' to the same artist is paid as much more

for "cock-crowing" 4 Apparently the strolling minstrel, familiar and engaging figure of mediæval revelry, took his part in lightening the didactic gravity of these serious representations of bible story, for we hear of the professional Vice (traditional comedy figure, with the devil, of the miracle plays), as employed " for his pastime before the play and after " Doubtless occasionally a young priest or tradesman of histrionic aptitude developed a reputation for his acting above his fellows Such a one must have been the minor devil whom Heywood's Pardoner met in his infernal journey, one who in life was famous for "playing the devil at Coventry" As to the settings and costumes of these old plays, both preserved an ingenuous contemporaneousness in which the variegated and brilliantly coloured garments of the different classes of the time, lay, clerical and official, must have served admirably well. Where these did not answer, the devices were simple The suit of a knight's old armour clad St Paul before the miracle at Damascus, a bishop's canonicals thereafter, a turban, a crooked sword and a bearded face made up for the ranting part of Herod, and the nakedness of our first parents in the Garden of Eden was clothed rather than suggested in suits of leather or white linen Devils were obviously clad in black, "black," says the King of Navarre, in Love's Labour's Lost, "is the badge of hell" And correspondingly the saints and angels were robed in white and their wigs were flaxen And yet rude, even shocking to our more delicate sensibilities, as these old dramas are in places, they are neither irreverent nor do they confuse, as did some later plays, the elemental laws of right and wrong or sophisticate a plain morality 'It is ever to be kept in mind that the miracle play took its part along side of the picturesque ritual of the mediæval church in convicting the wayward of a consciousness of sin, in bringing the guilty to repentance and in uplifting men to a truer appreciation of religion and right living Can we wonder that dreamers and those that see visions have hoped that we might some day restore to the stage its important function as a guide in religion and morals?

But, as we have seen, the miracle play was not always acted in cycles. Single plays exist which could not have formed parts

⁴ For these and many other like particulars see, Thomas Sharp, On the Pageants or Dramatic Mysteries anciently performed at Coventry, 1825, passim

of a cycle Such for example are two plays of the Dieby Manu script which may be dated about 1485. In the one Mars Maedalene this touching bible story is treated in much the manner of its second source The Golden Legend and expanded with inventive freedom and no mean dramatic aptitude to embrace Mary's earlier life as the sister of Lazarus and Martha with her later conversion of the King of Marcylle and final apotheosis. The other important play of the Digbs Manu script The Conversion of St Paul is scarcely inferior this substitution of an individual theme in the single miracle play for the universal one of the cycle more was gained from a dramatic point of view than was lost. The sanctity of the momentous subject the story of the Saviour forbade inventive freedom to the writers and revisers of the cycles who therefore expended their ingenuity on unimportant personages and details It does not seem too much to say that the breaking off of the single miracle play from the cycle had the effect of humanizing the subjects of these plays and bringing them nearer to the un derstandings and sympathics of their auditors

Other influences however were ready further to disintegrate the old sacred drama. It is one thing to tell histrionically or otherwise a story and let it convey its own impression it is another to provide an expositor, as in the Chester Plays to make clear the application. No part of the old acred drama is free from a didactic intention for that drama existed that it might teach first by symbol and secondly by actual representation on the stage. This involved very early a new departure has recently been contended that the actual source of the moral its play is the homily or illustrative sermon an important part of the services from the earliest times and a part not less readily capable of development into dialogue and drama. The middle ages furnish many examples of compilations intended to guide the clergy in the preparation of sermons and furnish them espe cially with illustrative material. These sermonaires were fol lowed by collections of exempla such as The Alphabet of Tales and they shade off into mere collections of legends of the saints and anecdotes often involving the allegorical way of present ing things Without here pursuing this subject into its many in teresting details, we may agree that The determination to carry

See E N S Thompson The English Moral Play Connecticut Academy of Arts and Sciences Publications 1910 is 303 the teachings of the church directly to all classes of men and women in the most effective and the most interesting way, a determination that forced the clergy to make the sermon, both in matter and form, something other than a religious treatise, led directly to the recognition of the drama as a legitimate and useful aid"

In the moral play, or morality, the uniform theme is the struggle between the powers of good and evil for the mastery of the soul of man. The personages are abstract virtues or vices, each acting and speaking in accordance with his name, and the plot, often of extreme ingenuity, is built upon their contrasts and influences on human nature, with the intent to teach right living and uphold religion. In a word, allegory (so dear to the mediæval mind) is the distinguishing mark of the moral plays These plays were no less international than the miracles. It is customary specifically to refer the origin of the morality to the famous allegorical Latin poem, Psychomachia, written by the poetical churchman Prudentius, about the year 400 and devoted to a description of the warfare between virtues and vices after the Homeric example, as his less known poem Hamatigenia describes the siege of man's soul But Prudentius by no means originated these similitudes, however he may have amplified the vivid figurative language of certain passages of St. Paul, Tertullian and Cyprian however, impossible to overestimate the influence of the Psychomachia on mediæval literature at large, and therefore specifically on the morality, although we may agree, none the less, on the intervening influences of the homiletic and like writings in which allegorical illustrations abounded and where doubtless a larger number of suggestions for moral plays will be found than have yet been acknowledged.

The morality appears to have taken its position along side of the older miracle plays not much before the latter part of the fourteenth century. Such a production was clearly the *Play of the Pater Noster* which Wycliff reports as "setting forth the goodness of our Lord's Prayer, in which play all manner of vices and sins were held up to scorn and the virtues were held up to praise" The *Play of the Creed*, acted also at York from 1446 onward, seems to have been likewise a species of morality. Earliest and most typical among extant moralities may be named *The Castle of Perseverance* in which Humanum Genus is led away in youth by Temptation and the Seven

Deadly Sins but takes refuge after absolution in the Castle where he withstands the assaults of the Vices led by the Belial while ecclesiastical exposition and argument are carried on by the Virtues Led once more into sin by Avarice Death an pears to call Man to judgment and there ensues a further ar gument between Mercy Justice Truth and Peace before the throne of God with the result of Man's final salvation by grace Obviously all this is of the universal stuff of the ser mons and homilies contemporary with it. The staging of the Castle of Perseverance set for h by diagram in the old manu scripts is exceedingly interesting 6 The castle appropriately battlemented was set in the centre of a circular field surrounded by a ditch Beneath the castle was a couch for Humanum Genus and there were five outlying pageants or scaffolds for Caro Mundus Belial Coveytyse (covetousness) and Deus Appar ently the action took place not only on the page ints but on the field between them In this same manuscript are contained two other moralities Mind Will and Understanding a pro duction involving little more than the amplification in costume of a scholastic debate and Mankind which introduces some gross and vulgar comedy in the form of a merry devil named Tutivil lus a personage well known under other names to the miracle plays Mankind is not otherwise memorable

In these earliest moral plays it is to be noted that the protagonist is always an abstraction he is Mankind the Human Race the Pride of Life (as an old fragment is entitled) and there is an attempt to compass the whole scope of man's ex perience and temptations in life as there had been a corre sponding effort in the miracle plays to embrace the complete range of sacred history the life of Christ and the redemption of the world The most notable play of the class is Everyman the earliest printed edition of which belongs to a period between 1509 and 1530 The existence of a Dutch version in print by 1495 has led to a nice question of priority but there seems now but little doubt that the English play was in writing the earlier In its larger relations Everyman belongs to that con siderable class of the devotional literature of the later middle ages best represented by the Ars moriends published in Eng lish by Caxton in 1491 In itself it is an attempt to give a lively dramatic form to a parable told in the legend of Barlaam

⁶ This is reproduced in T Sharp's Dissertation as above p 23

and Josaphat The play details how Everyman, in the midst of a careless life, is suddenly summoned by a dread and holloweyed messenger to prepare for a journey into a distant land whence there is no return Everyman seeks out Fellowship and Kindred, but they offer empty words and refuse him company His hoarded Wealth reviles him for his folly in thinking that he, the universal servant, could now serve him Good Deeds, alone, whom Everyman's forgetfulness had suffered to lie neglected, offers assistance and helps him to the aid of Knowledge As he nears his end, even the Senses must leave him, at last Everyman goes down into his grave, penitent and fully prepared for the world to come by confession Everyman is a beautiful and touching drama, sustained by a forceable and unctuous inculcation of the spirit of England's older faith seen on the stage in its recent, effective revivals, it was surprising to what a degree the abstractions disappeared as such in the efficient concreteness of their representation and in the powerful enforcement of their underlying spiritual truth have been the effect of this old drama on an age in which it spoke directly to its auditors in the language, the faith, and the feeling of the day In our own time the example of Everyman has begotten a progeny of contemporary plays, English and other, and created, even on the popular stage of England and America, a wholesome diversion from the dismal problems and trivial improbabilities that for the most part rule there

Everyman, however, was an exceptional play, especially in the singleness of purpose with which it inculcated religious ideas With the uprise of humanism, in the latter half of the fifteenth century, and with the filtering into England of Protestant ideas. the morality was at once seized upon to fulfil new functions, chiefly ethical and educational and, before long, controversial as well Earlier than Everyman and certainly before 1500, Henry Medwell sought, in his moral play called Nature, to show "how Sensuality drives away Reason from man's side", but how, in his old age, man must return to Reason. In The Nature of the Four Elements, about 1530, John Rastell, if he be the author, frankly assumes the pedagogue and treats wearily and at length of the knowledge of the day He is not unaware of the awakening of a new spirit of inquiry, adverting with animation to the discovery "within these twenty year" of new lands beyond the sea Equally close in their alliance to the arguments of the schools, are the several plays that deal with

the respective merits of Wit Wisdom and Science and link on to the wide literature of the dialogue a favourite form of expression for the didacticism of the age A more vital group of pedagogical moralities are made up of those that treat of the temptations of youth Lusty Juventus Hickscorner and The Interlude of Youth for example And closely allied to these though it marks as has been pointed out the beginning of the breaking up of the allegorical drama is Skelton's Magnificence This is the only surviving play of that redoubtable old saturist and it is not devoid of much plain and vigorous speaking In moral plays such as these - all of them in point of date before the Reformation - we have an attempt freely to dramatize contemporary life however the figures represented remain abstractions and partake on their serious side at least of the moralising and allegory of their predecessors In morali ties of this type too the comic element emerges into greater prominence in the roistering youth (a figure ever dear to the stage) and the dissolute group of vices and revellers that sur The names of Henry Medwell who died in 1500 round him and John Skelton (1460 1529) thus stand first in our list of known English dramatists Both of these men were of the humanist clergy and both of them display the zeal for learning the reforming spirit and the satirical attitude toward abuses that brand so unmistakably the Protestant controversialists in the drama to come

Before taking up the actual humanist drama which links on naturally to such moralities as those just enumerated we must turn to the controversial morality which came to in volve not only matters of doctrine but politics as well influence of Luther and his quarrel with the church the ques tions that divided men like Cranmer and Gardiner that kept Sir Thomas More and Erasmus in the mother church and car ried Henry and Cromwell out of it those violent oscillations of opinion and faith that made and unmade England Protes tant and Roman Catholic backward and forward several times in a couple of generations - these things need only to be named to be remembered In the midst of such conditions the drama was naturally resorted to that powerful medium of public instruction hallowed by the usages of two hundred years and. the favourite form of the moment being the morality the morality was at once turned to controversial uses As is always the case the attacking party was more violent and fertile in its choice of weapons than its opponents; and the Protestant plays outnumbered, as they exceeded in violence, the few rejoinders which their triumph suffered to remain extant. The earliest play which touched the Reformation was an attack upon Luther, acted in Latin, in 1528, before Cardinal Wolsey. This is no longer extant, and it seems not to have been speedily followed by similar productions On Henry VIII's break with Rome, however, and especially when Cromwell and Cranmer advanced the English Reformation more speedily than the King's original intention had seemed to warrant, the Protestant play suddenly arose to embitter, if not always to enliven, the spirit of contention By 1543 so great had this abuse become that a royal decree was promulgated forbidding the publication, in songs, plays or interludes, of any exposition of Holy Writ, opposed to the teachings of the Church as established by his majesty. The foremost dramatic controversialist of the age was the theologian John Bale, who lived between 1495 and 1563, and was sometime Bishop of Ossory in Ireland Bale was a zealous and abusively outspoken champion of the new faith and an irreconcilable hater of priests and of popery. He has left us a catalogue of twenty-two plays, almost all of them, from their titles, clearly controversial in character. Of these several, no longer extant, appear to have formed together a species of condensed collective miracle play in a dozen scenes, beginning with the childhood of Christ and extending to the Resurrection Among the existing plays of Bale is one the lengthy title of which may be condensed into God's Promises, a species of Prophetae, two others are modelled on scenes of the old cycles and treat of John the Baptist and of the Temptation in the Wilderness Of morality type are The Three Laws of Nature and King Johan, as well as Bale's translation, in 1545, of Kirchmayer's Pammachius All of these plays are filled with abuse of Rome as coarse as voluble and incessant, for Bale forgot his enemies neither in the pulpit, in his dramas nor in his prayers.

King Johan is the most important of Bale's plays, for with it new elements enter into the drama. Although the figure of the king is absurdly misrepresented as a Protestant hero valiantly withstanding the encroachments of Rome, the informing spirit of the whole production is polemic, not political, much less historical. Yet among the abstractions by which he is surrounded—England, Sedition, Clergy and the rest—King

Johan himself stands for h. with Cardinal Pandolphus beside him in interest at least actual historical figures | Aing Johan is the earliest dramatic production to draw on the story of the English chronicles later to prove so fruitful in the drama However Aing Johan was not the first morality to cloak political allusion and satire As far back as 1527 Cardinal Wolsey had taken umbrage at a moral entitled Lord Governance acted by students of Gray's Inn wherein the miscovernance of Dissipation and Negligence had like to have ruined Public Indeed only the plea that the play was twenty years old saved the venturesome students from serious pains and penalties In A Satire of the Three Estates the most elaborate moral play extant in an English tongue the Scottish poet Sir David Lyndsay satirized with bold effectiveness and direct ness the abuses political and clerical of his own realm and created for the nonce a reforming reaction in the heart and in the court of his master King James V A Satire of the Three Estates was acted before the king at Linlithgow and for the first time most likely in 1540. Its studied elaboration and the completeness of the allegory its genuine satirical power and cutting effectiveness mark the play as the very crown of its The morality could go no further and it may be sus pected that this famous piece with its notorious performance before the notabilities of the realm of Scotland served again and again as a model for later and lesser moralities of similar type 7 Among other later moralities of political intent may be named Respublica acted in the first year of Mary's reign and the only extant polemical morality on the Roman Catholic side The two independent investigators have of late attributed this morality to Nicholas Udall 8 There is also the interesting fragment Albion Kright printed probably in 1566 in which England in abstraction is represented a prey to the contending factions of good and evil

The popularity of the miracle play was great and its vogue spread throughout England A similar diffusion as to place and an even greater diversity of occasion as to presentation appears to have been true of the morality. Moralities were acted

⁷ See A Brandl Quellen des weltlichen Dramas in England cor Shakespeare 1808

BL A Magnus in his ed of Respublica E E T S 1905 and C W Wallace The Evolution of the English Drama 1912

before princes, Lyndsay's Satire, as we have just seen, before King James, Skelton's lost Nigromansir at Woodstock before King Henry VII, several like moralities and interludes before his son and successor Bale's moralities were variously acted in England, at Kilkenny in Ireland, King John in revival at Ipswich as late as 1561, and neither the universities nor the Inns of Court disdained the dramatic form which was characteristic of its age. In a word the morality was a diversion alike the favourite of the court and approved by the people

We have now reached a period in the history of our subject at which the true drama emerges out of these chaotic, mediaval conditions; and that emergence was not single and confined to an individual species, but multiform, for the roots of the chief species of drama, later to flourish, strike back deep into these earlier times and nearly every kind of play that flourished during the reign of Queen Elizabeth may be found already presaged in interlude or morality form. The lineal descendant, so to speak, of the miracle play was the bible play, a drama, as we understand that term as to unity and constructiveness, founded on bible story Obviously the intermediary between this outcome and the cycle of miracle plays is the single scene of this last, cut off from the sequence and developed, as it came to be before long, into a single play. Such productions are the Conversion of St. Paul and the Mary Magdalen of the Digby Manuscript, and such other transition plays are some of Bale's. already mentioned It was the finer literary spirit of the Scotch humanist and historian George Buchanan, albeit he wrote his tragedies in Latin, that realised for the island of his birth the possibilities of a modern drama modelled on that of the ancients Buchanan appreciated the admirable qualities of biblical subjects in their simplicity as well as themes drawn from the story of ancient Greece and Rome His classical tragedies are little more than Latin transcripts of the Alcestis and the Medea of Euripides, his Jephtha and Baptistes are original plays though constructed in obedient observance of Euripidean rules tragedies belong to a date close to 1540 when Buchanan was a teacher in the college at Bordeaux, and it adds to our interest in them to know that they were written with a plain pedagogical intent and acted by Buchanan's own students there In a large sense Buchanan is only one of the generation of European humanists who were busy in Germany, France and Italy rewriting biblical story and devising new allegories with a zealous

educational purpose Buchanan was however above most of these in his appreciation of the literature of the ancients and is memorable as the first man north of the Alps to recognise the artistic functions of dramatic art

The story of the bible play is not long. We hear of one Ralph Radelif, a schoolmister of Hitchen in Hertfordshire whose zeal for the drama caused him to convert the refectors of an old monastery into a theatre wherein were acted many plays of his own. Many were biblical in subject none have es caped the ravages of time Nicholas Grimald too better known as the editor of Tottel's Miscellans, the earliest printed collection of English lyrical poetry was the author of two later plays of the type and John Foxe the marty rologist con tributed one. All of these are extant But even the humanist drama was now emerging out of I atin into the vernacular tongues In Godly Queen Hester printed in 1561 the Vice and the abstractions still linger as they do in King Darius 1565 and to a lesser degree in Wager's abler Repentance of Mary Magdalene 1567 All of these plays and more that might be named their contemporaries are more or less Prot estant in their birs. But the last was acted by a company of itinerant players and in the history of Jacob and Esau 1568 we leave the morality behind us and in a measure the miracle play as well. For despite its didactic intention, the unknown author of this play contrives a sort of dramatic justification of the success of Rebecca's ingenuity however he turns it to account for the doctrine of predestination and election While little intervening remains we find the immediate con temporaries of Shakespeare attempting to convert hiblical sub jects to performance on the stage. Thus Lodge and Greene wrote between 1587 and 1591 A Looking Glass for London in which the wicked life of Rasni King of Nineveh and his remarkable repentance wrought by Jonah is turned to present saturical and moral applications and in 1589 Peele's by no means ineffective David and Bethsabe was on the stage was little else than a chronicle play applied to biblical history and was in no sense a product of the sacred drima. The same is true of later scattered examples some of which we shall meet elsewhere.

9 Grimald's plays are Christiis Redicieus 1549 and Archipropheta 1547 Foxes is Christiis Triumphans 1550 all are strictly humanist drama

Returning backward to the drama of the humanists, we have already noted that a favourite subject for one class of the morality was that which dealt with the temptations of youth The biblical prototype, to be sure, of all of these elaborations, especially the contrast of the ordered and the evil life of the young, is the parable of the prodigal son, a common subject for continental humanists Among these plays an important one was Acolastus of the Dutch classical scholar, William de Volder, first acted by schoolboys at the Hague in 1528 and so popular in England, some dozen years later, that it was made into a text book by John Palsgrave for the teaching of Latin Three "moral interludes" in English are modelled, more or less, immediately on Acolastus or on work that Acolastus inspired These are The Nice Wanton, The Disobedient Child and Misogonus, ranging in point of date from the close of the reign of Henry VIII to within a year or so of the birth of Shakespeare. A more important production, from the literary point of view, and one marking the culmination of this school drama, as it has been called, is The Glass of Government, the work of the notable court poet George Gascoigne, published in Here the effect of the story is heightened by the contrast of two pairs of brothers especially in their students' life at a modern university, and Terentian situation is employed, after the manner of the elder humanists, to illustrate Christian The Glass of Government is a play of merit, regular in construction, light of touch on occasion and couched in ready dialogue, even if the intent to teach remains ever present in the author's mind It would be interesting to know to what extent some unrecorded visit of its courtier author to one of the Dutch schools, while he was a soldier in Holland, may have inspired this effort. Save for a few later university plays this was the last humanist drama in England The recurrence of the theme of the prodigal son in subsequent comedies of manners will claim later attention

We have already met with the term "interlude" in the connotation of moral interlude; and the word was loosely employed to designate almost any form of play from very early times. Whether we accept the older explanation which makes the interlude a dramatic intermezzo between more serious scenes or intervening elsewhere between the parts of some extended entertainment, or whether we define the word with Chambers as simply a dramatic dialogue, it is well to recognise that the in-

terlude emphasises the element of diversion for its own sake as contrasted with the didactic character of all varieties of sacred and moral plays. The history of the earlier interlude is wripped up with that of disguising and mumming, and this in turn takes us back to the festivals of the folk. Ladente in the fifteenth century give a literary bias to certain of the mummings at court and pregentry there following the analogy of that long invoked for religious plays developed quite early into consider able elaboration 10 So far as the interlude is concerned there are only scant indications of the existence in medica al England of a light secular drama such as we know to have flourished in contemporary France. And yet the fragments of plays on Robin Hood dramatized from the ballads the many mentions of plays of St. George, which may have been only partially religious together with what we can gleam as to the repertory of the minstrels all point towards a drama of this type largely extemporaneous and perhaps little of it written down for pres-Examples of the interlude in the sense of a scene of diversion are to be found in the miracle plays and moralities themselves Such is the scene of Mak and the Shepherds in the Touneler Cycle and such an interlude is that of Pauper between the first and second parts of Lyndsay's Saure of the Three Estates in which that unhappy victim of greed and im position makes clear his wrongs in a ludicrous recital of them But the credit of rusing the interlude to an independent place among dramatic forms I can not but feel still belongs to John Heywood the epigrammatist, poet and privileged wit of the household of Henry VIII 12. The dialogues and interludes usu

¹⁰ On the mumming of Lydgate see Brotanek Die engluchen Mattentifielt p 305 and Anglia xxii 364 Wallace finds the child dren of the Chapel first employed in a pageant and song in 1490. Evolution of the English Drama p 13

13 In repeating this statement I am not unaware of a recent effort by my friend Professor Wallace to overturn our accepted notion concerning the beginnings of the regular drama and to deprive Heywood of the better part of his work. See his The Evolution of the English Drama 1913 especially pp 33 60. This attempt involves the raising up of William Cornish into what Mr Wallace calls an Octavian Shakespeare and the interpretation of the pageants disguisings and entertainments in which Cornish figured as actor deviser and lyrist into successive steps of momentous import in the evolution

ally ascribed to Heywood lie, in point of date, between 1520 and

1540 and cover some little variety in subject Love and Wit and Witless are little more than débats of which the earlier annals of France and England alike exhibit many similar exam-In The Play of the Weather the dialogue is extended with reminiscences of the methods of the morality into a more original production Jupiter, in consequence of a disagreement among the gods ruling the weather, summons before him people of various degrees to learn their wants and thereby determine the question. Merry Report, who acts as usher, is a clever adaptation of the Vice and the fun consists in the conflict of wishes and arguments presented by personages such as the Ranger, the Wind and the Water-miller and the Fair Dame But it is in the other three interludes of Heywood that we find his most characteristic contributions to the drama "A Merry Play," as it is called, "between the Pardoner and the Frere, the Curate and the Neighbour Pratt" sets its scene in a church and develops an amusing but exceedingly scandalous altercation, a second equally "Merry Play," vivaciously sets forth how Tyb, a shrewish wife, and Sir Jhan, the priest, make a victim of a timid though by no means complaisant husband and force him to fetch and carry, while the last, the famous Four P's, ends in a match at lying. In the figure, just named, and in the Pardoner, the Palmer, the Pedler and the "Poticary" of The Four P's, the drafts from copy of the miracle play and the abstractions of the morality are left once and for all behind us, for whatever the suggestions of source for these inter-Cornish was master of the Chapel from 1509 to 1523 of the drama and we have actual proof that he was the author of one "play," The Triumph of Love and Beauty, cited by Collier as far back as 1833 however as by Cornish) He hands over to Cornish likewise Hey-

and we have actual proof that he was the author of one "play," The Triumph of Love and Beauty, cited by Collier as far back as 1833 Mr Wallace hands over to Cornish a story of Troilus and Pandor (lost but cited in The Household Books of Henry VIII, 1, 169, not however as by Cornish) He hands over to Cornish likewise Heywood's Four P's, Johan Johan and Gentleness and Nobility, in one case because Heywood's name is not on the title page, in another, questioning the contemporary title and Bale's equally contemporary ascription of the work to Heywood He further assigns the morality of the Four Elements and Calista and Meliboea, productions amazingly diverse, also to Cornish, because, he says "no other dramatist was then living who had either the opportunity or impetus or skill to work in the manner of his new style drama"

ludes from France. Heywood sketched his figures from the English life that he saw about him and found in fidelity to that life and in a humorous appreciation of its personages his real success. Henceforward I nglish vernacular comedy had at least an example and the step through such an interlude as the anonxmous Tom Tyler end his II if a bout 1560 in which a shrewish wife maintains her according despite an attempted mantal revolt, to Gammer Gurton 1 Needle (criticat regular comed) of the tradistic type) becomes a measurable one

With semi moralities like The Disoledient Child of Thomas Inveland referred to Ravisius Lextor the Leench humanist with Henry Cheke translating the Italian Bassano's tracedy of Freet ill in 1546 and Free man and Icolastus touching Dutch humanism it is clear that the forebears of English drama were not without many foreign examples. But there were influences deeper than this derived from the classics and breathed in with the education of the day. Humanism was founded on a study of the ancients and on the application of that study to the problems educational and other of the day and the drama of the humanists seized at once on the plays of Lerence and Plautus especially as its guides and examples for comedy. Not only were these authors commonly read and frequently acted in the schools but they were translated and imitated in adaptation to the condition of the time The interlude of Thersites 1537 goes back with the intervention once more of Textor to the Miles Gloriosus of Plautus and Jack Juggler 1553 is similarly modelled on the Amphitruo Even earlier in 1530 Terens in Fueluh had appeared though Terence was not so often imi Thus when Nicholas Udall sometime master of West minster School as earlier of Eton, wrote and staged his Ralph Rouster Douter he was really doing in itself no novel thing though the step that he took was momentous in the English This famous comedy tells how the boastful and thick witted hero of the title name considers it certain in Benedick's phrase that he "is beloved of all Indies" and how abetted by a rascally flatterer Matthew Merrigreke Ralph persists in court ing Dame Custance against her will and proceeds through a series of amusing rebuffs to his final discomfiture. Udall's comedy is an adaptation of the Miles Gloriosus to English manners and conditions and it is cleverly constructed and well and cleanly written. It was probably prepared for I ton boys who acted it between 1534 and 1541 and it thus preceded many

productions that remained more or less affected by imitations of the older drama 12

Several plays, however, may be named which have been held for various reasons to dispute with Ralph Roister Doister and Gammer Gurton's Needle the claims of these plays to the position of the first regular English comedy Misogonus and Jacob and Esau have both been already mentioned Aside from their affiliations with the biblical humanist drama, neither can be dated with certainty earlier than Gammer Gurton's Needle, 1552-53 With Thersites, 1537, and Calisto and Meliboca, 1530, the questions that arise are of another kind Thersites is an exceedingly lively little burlesque in which is set forth the vaunts of a childish boaster and their ludicrous consequences to him, an enormous snail putting him to flight and to the protection of his mother's apron in one scene. The play is an adaptation from a Latin original by Textor, somewhat improved and abbreviated in the process. The merit of Thersites lies in its freedom from any ulterior motive, it exists solely for the laughter it may raise and conceals neither bearings on man's conduct in life nor side lights of moral suasion. But all this was equally true of the interludes of Heywood, moreover, Thersites is a slight affair of a few scenes and, besides lacking the structure of a complete drama, is little more than a transla-The interlude of Thersites stands in the same relation to Ralph Rosster Dosster that the interludes of Heywood or Tom Tyler and his Wife hold with respect to Gammer Gurton. Calisto and Meliboea, on the other hand, is a carefully considered play, worked out at length and in detail, setting forth a romantic love story, the first important example of its kind in the drama A young gallant, Calisto, has a passion for Meliboea, a fair lady who dislikes him Through the endeavours of an old crone, Celestina, however, Meliboea is at length won to consent to lend Calisto her girdle - figurative of a less innocent concession - to recover him from a pretended illness, but repenting, confesses her indiscretion to her father and is by him forgiven We have here the earliest serious play to rid itself of allegory and abstraction, besides a

¹² See the excellent summary of the whole discussion by C G Child in his edition of Ralph Roister Doister, 1912, pp 31-42, I can now but feel that Hale's date, 1553, accepted by Wallace, is quite untenable

diction and quality of style decidedly beyond its age. But Calitic and Melibora is even more closely a translation than Therities its original being the famous Spanish rile in dramatic form Celestina attributed to the authorship of Rojas and first published in 1499. Besides the unknown Linglish translator in his version departs from his source to consert a translation of the story, into a moral interlude ending in an exhortacyon to vertew. The claims of Calitic and Melibora with all its merits are dranged alike by this moral intrusion inevitable in its age and even yet the bane of British drama and by the circumstance that the play is merely a translation.

As between Ralph Roister Doister and Gammer Gurton priority in time belongs to the former and only the degree of Udall's debt to Plautus which is easily exaggerated can im pair his claim Gammer Gurton's Needle is a coarse but ex ceedingly vigorous comedy of daily English village life its figures are as real as Heywood's its structure as a complete drama away and beyond him. The comedy appears to have been first acted at Cambridge in 1552 53 and has been variously assigned as to authorship to Bishop Still Dr John Brydges and to William Stevenson the last in the early fifties fellow of Christ Church The whole action turns on the loss of a needle conceivably a more valuable implement in that day than now and the manner in which Lnavish Diccon of Bedlam sets the village by the ears about it A conclusion is reached by ex cellent Gammer Gurton who finds the needle at last exactly where she had left it If freedom from dependence on foreign sources or any intention to teach a due consideration of struc ture and amplitude of design be taken into account together with direct sketching from contemporary life then Gammer Gurton's Needle is our earliest regular Linglish comedihaps however when all has been said it is best to observe that our English drama emerged out of the didactic state of the moralities and from the trivialities of the interlude all but simultaneously in several forms Jacob and Esau marks the way from the old sacred drama to the bible play The Diso bedient Child or Misogonus the growth from morality through the humanist college drama to a comedy measurably free from the intent to teach In Calisto and Melibora (as in the earlier tragical Freewill) romantic material of foreign origin is broached although the intent to point a moral still rules

While in Thersites and Ralph Roister Doister the influence of classical comedy appears in transition from interlude to comedy form, and in Tom Tyler and Gammer Gurton's Needle the same transition with the realistic present in place of the bookish past its inspiration Lastly, to turn from comedy, King Johan equally marks the emergence of the morality into a recognition of national history as a theme for drama, precisely as the Euripidean tragedies of Buchanan call into requisition the finest models of the past for tragedy

Tragedy in regular form and in English was later to emerge from the past than comedy, and the influence here, soon substituted for that of Euripides, was Seneca, the tragic writer of Neronian Rome The first regular English tragedy is the well known Gorboduc or Ferrex and Porrer, the work of Thomas Sackville and Thomas Norton, students of the Inner Temple where their play was acted before the queen on New Year's day 1562 Much in the way of like classical imitations had gone before, but these were mostly college dramas and all were in Latin Gorboduc tells the story of an unwise king of England who, like Lear, divided his kingdom with his children, two sons, who fought to the death for supremacy stately and well constructed tragedy. To its distinction as the earliest tragedy in the English language of anything like regular structure, Gorboduc adds the circumstance that it is the first play to be written throughout in blank-verse and one of the earliest to draw on English chronicle history for a subject This with its Senecan relations will claim a later consideration.

CHAPTER III

LYLY MARLOWE AND OTHER IMMEDIATE PRE-DECESSORS OF SHAKESPEARE

WHEN Gorbodue was staged three years were yet to elapse before the birth of Marlowe and Shakespeare and John Lyly first important literary name in the annals of English drama was a boy not yet ready for school. But much was to pass before the drama came into the hands of these greater men Gorboduc was first written and acted by students of the Inns of Court in the presence of the queen Gammer Gurton was a college play, Ralph Rosster Dosster was the work of a school master written for his scholars and acted by them the earlier plays at court performed by the gentlemen and children of the Chapel Royal were matters of the Ling's house hold Clearly we are dealing with an amateur drama as yet and one as apart from the bourgeois civic character of the old sacred drama as it long remained distinguishable from the pro fessional drama soon to spring into celebrity

It would be difficult to overestimate the influence of the court and the queen on the early pre Shakespearean drama Elizabeth was pleasure loving by nature and fond of elaborate and stately ceremonies and sumptuous display. She was like wise in her way a patron of learning and an encourager of poetry and art in a word Elizabeth was a true daughter of the Renaissance As to the drama she found all the forms for its encouragement made ready to her hand. In employing them she was only continuing a long established usage beginnings of pageantry and disguisings at court are lost in an immemorial past, and the royal records and account books, even the chronicle histories are full of recitals of the devices of poets of pageantry music and other entertainments in which figure Lords of Misrule masters gentlemen and children of the Royal Chapel and occasionally other entertainers English and foreign Moralities and interludes were among these entertainments and those concerned in them acquired as time went on a more or less professional standing

The Office of the Revels, originally perhaps no more than a temporary appointment, had become since the days of Sir Thomas Cawarden in Henry VIII's reign, a place of importance, charged with the supervision of the entertainments at court Elizabeth further developed and enlarged its functions until, by the advent of Shakespeare in London, the Office consisted of a master, a clerk controller, a clerk and a yeoman of the wardrobe and properties The mastership soon came to guide and control the histrionic activity of the age, and, from its power to license plays and to suppress even at need recalcitrant players and their playhouses, rose before long to an office of dignity Sir Edmund Tylney, master from 1579 to 1610, thus covering the whole important period of Elizabethan drama, administered this office to the satisfaction of the queen and King James after her, and with no small emolument to himself It was this office that Lyly sought in the seventies and the reversion of which in the reign of King Charles, Ben Jonson did not live to enjoy We shall meet with its intervention in the affairs of the drama more than once in the following pages

We have already found Nicholas Udall preparing his Ralph Rosster Dosster for boys to act, and have recognised that the custom of acting plays by the students of schools extends back to very early times. With an increasing demand for plays at court and the exaction of a higher grade of histrionic ability, these boy troupes, trained and drilled in acting under the ferrules of their schoolmasters, were gradually called upon to take their place beside the children of the Chapel Royal as entertainers of the queen Thus it was that school boys and choir boys became our first professional actors and that schoolmasters like John Taylor and William Elderton, Udall's successors at Westminster School, and Thomas Gyles, Richard Farrant, and Richard Bower, masters respectively of St Paul's choir, the Chapel Royal at Windsor and the Queen's Chapel, were the first professional managers and playwrights The actual contributions of the men just named to the drama are for the most part conjectural and based on entries in the records of the Office of the Revels and the like. With Richard Edwards

¹ See the valuable "Table of Plays and Masques before Queen Elizabeth," 1558-85, by C W Wallace, Evolution of the Drama. pp 199-209

(who died in 1566) and William Hunnis (active up to 1583) both of them successors of Bower as masters of the Queen's Chapel, we are on somewhat sounder ground Damon and Pithias by Edwards acted at Whitehall at Christ mas, 1564 is extant to show that its author was neither without theories concerning the comedian's art nor devoid of ideas as to the dignity of the drama Two years later at Oxford his dramatic version of Chaucer's Anight's Tale entitled Palaemon and Arcyte was acted before the queen greatly to her majesty s satisfaction But this play has been lost Edwards has been thought the author of other plays while the list ascribed to Hunnis has been enlarged to formidable proportions though really nothing remains to us to be referred with certainty to his hand Hunnis retained his position up to 1597 when he was succeeded by Nathaniel Gyles who abused the royal patent to take up children who could sing for the royal choir by actually kidnapping schoolboys and training them by force to act plays for his own emolument

Turning back to another phase of the drama in earlier Eliz abethan days the studies of young gentlemen were based on the classics and this with a growing interest in tragedy re sulted in an enthusiastic cultivation of Seneca Moreover in Italy and France alike tragedy in the manner of Seneca was the literary affectation of the moment Between 1559 and 1581 the Tenne Tragedies that then went under the name of the Roman poet were translated into English by various hands and the plays were acted and imitated again and again in Latin dramas at college Reasons for the choice of Seneca for a model are not far to seek Seneca is the most modern of the ancients and the most romantic of the classics His heightened style his moralising his lofty commonplaces unctuously ex pressed even his sensationalism his blood and terror all fell in naturally with the temper of the young romantic age While his professional manner show of technique his conventional verse and rhetoric equally suited the time Besides Seneca was the most available model his vehicle was Latin the uni versal language of scholarship and neither so remote as the Greek tragedians nor lacking in sanction as were most of the One of the distinctions of Gorboduc was its choice

² For surmises on the subject see Mrs C M Stopes Shakespeare Jahrbuch xxvii and elsewhere

of an English myth But this particular myth, the dissensions of two princes, brothers, for a kingdom, to the destruction of both, was prompted by its similarity to the well known Greek story of the Theban Eteocles and Polynices, also treated by The next Senecan tragedy of note in English was Gascoigne's was also an Inns of Court Gascoigne's Jocasta play, acted at Gray's Inn before the queen in 1566 The plot returns to a classical subject and is really not much more than an adaptation of Dolce's Italian tragedy, Giocasta Of George Gascoigne's contribution to the school drama, The Glass of Government we have already heard He was less a scholar than a courtier and while his satire, fiction and general poetry do not concern us here, he touched the drama at two other points, in comedy and in pageantry in which he was "a prime contriver" In the year 1576, Queen Elizabeth went on one of her periodical progresses among her loving subjects, journeying to Kenilworth Castle, the seat of the famous Earl of Leicester, whom rumour said at the moment she was likely to marry In the splendid welcome which the earl accorded her majesty and which Sir Walter Scott immortalised in Kenilworth. Gascoigne was one of the several poets employed to frame speeches of welcome and allegorical scenes - one among them setting forth the advantages of matrimony on the recommendation of Juno to the confusion of Minerva While space will not permit here a specification of Gascoigne's contributions with those of his fellows to what afterwards developed into the masque, it may be remarked that the pageantry of the progress is not to be neglected in the earlier annals of the drama court the custom of giving plays to signalise occasions of social importance became before long the thing obvious and expected Gascoigne marks the step from sheer amateurs like Sackville, Norton or Hughes, to the playwright and professional deviser of entertainments Legge, in his Latin Richardus Tertius, 1579, first employed later English chronicles for the subject of a Senecan play, and Peele, in Locrine, 1586 (if it be his), and Thomas Hughes and others in their joint tragedy, The Misfortunes of Arthur, 1587, continued the working of English myth in this kind With the last two plays and others of like type we are on the threshold of the new romantic drama, for The Spanish Tragedy was on the stage in the latter year.

The repertory of the early semi-professional companies of boy actors included plays on ancient history and fable, plays founded to under hy their titles on modern history or recent occurrence romantic stories comedies and mere farces Among many titles the following are typical Pamper Narciscus The Ling of Scots like Narcussus ascribed to Hunnis Murderous Michael possibly an earlier version of the notable murder play Arden of Feversham Jack and Gill and The History of the Collier in all likelihood the extant Grim the Collier of Gros Most of the types of plays just mentioned are illustrated in extant specimens of the period Among them may be named Godly Oueen Hester 1561 Applus and Pirginia 1563 King Darius 1565 Pickering's Orestes 1567 and most popular for its day Preston's Cambises Thomas Preston was a Cambridge man who rose in time to the dignity of Master of Trinity abilities in disputation and cleverness in acting a part in Gager's Latin tragedy Dido on the occasion of the queen's visit to the university in 1566 had called him to Elizabeth's attention and doubtless for the moment determined his career Cambises King of Persia acted about 1569 smacks of the old allegorical drama and is not a little morally weighted but its grandilo quence and bombast of tone was long appreciated at first seri ously later as a theme for ridicule especially by Shakespeare. In truth allegory and a moral purpose forced on the understand ing remained general qualities of this pre Lilian drama save for a very few exceptions

But these exceptions mark the vital stock of what was to come

In the preface to a narrative poem by Arthur Brooke entitled The Tragical History of Romeus and Juliet we read I aw the same argument lately set forth on stage with more commendation than I can look for This was two years before the birth of Shakespeare Several years later, in 1579 we hear of another Shakespearean sub ject in a play mentioned by Stephen Gosson as expressing ' the greediness of worldly choosers (Portia's unsuccessful suitors) and the bloody minds of usurers (Shylock's implacable pursuit of the pound of flesh) 8 To turn from what is lost to what we have Gascoigne's Supposes is a lively comedy constructed on a series of suppositions (supposes) that turn out -- like the comedy of errors - contrariwise Acted in 1566 this is the first successful adaptation of an Italian comedy and the

² The Cruel Debtor 1566 supposed formerly to be on this subject turns out otherwise See The Malone Society's Publications Collections IV and V 1011 pp 313 ff

earliest example of a play written throughout in Unglish pro " Two years later Gismond of Salern, from an Italian novelle of that title was staged, the work of five young gentlemen of the Inner Temple, chief among them Robert Wilmot v ho liter, in 1591, rewrote and published the entire drama as his own Tancred and Gismunda, as it was called in revision, is a Senrean tragedy in manner, but, as the earliest I nglish play to by under contribution that storehouse of Italian fiction. Pointer's Pelace of Pleasure, it partook of the new romantic spirit which was so soon to rule the serious drama of the age. Girmond is dr clamatory but its tragic love story, the clandertine meetings of the lovers, the father's revenge and presentation of her dead lover's heart to his daughter in an urn, with her train deria -all this, crude though it be, is in long advance of the correct morality that spoiled the story of Calisto and Meliboca. More in touch after all with older methods is George What to ie's formidable drama in two parts, Promos and Cossardra, 1578 The subject, referable to a novel of the Hecatomn itte of Cinthio, is memorable for its after treatment by Shakespeare in his Measure for Measure. Whetstone was a small post and friend of Gascoigne whose memory he celebrated in dull cleriac lines He is full of theory as to dramatic writing and the more than is needful on the subject in his dedication. However, though free from the bonds of Sencea, which Wilmot and his confrere were certainly not, Whetstone has left but an awkward, ero and verbose original for the art of his great succes or to fishion

Supposes, Gismond of Salern, and Promos and Cassardre mark, in drama, a new impulse derived from Italy direct. The immediate models and inspiration of Lyly, however, were not these. John Lyly was born in Kent, about 1554, and was therefore of an age with Spenser. It has recently been shown that Lyly came of excellent family, his grandfather being no less a person than the distinguished scholar and grammarian, William Lyly, John Lyly's father was Registrar of his native Canterbury. Lyly received his education at Oxford, with a later sojourn at Cambridge, and enjoyed the patronage of Burleigh and especially of Lord Oxford to whose service he was for years attached. Oxford was known to his age as a writer of comedies now lost and he maintained at least one company of actors, so Lyly's induction as an intertainer at Court was a natural one. In 1579, Lyly leaped to instant literary repute

by the publication of his famous prose romance Euphues the Anatomy of II it followed in the next year by Euphues and his England His plays seem to have begun with Campaspe staged first it has been supposed about 1580 at Blackfriars and, later by a combination of the Children of Pauls with those of the

Royal Chanel at court Recent researches inform us that Parrant master of the children at Windsor obtaining a lease of certain properties that had belonged to the Revels Office in Carwarden's time converted them into a regular theatre' in 1576, about the time at which Burbage was opening his new Theatre in Shore ditch, that under Farrant's management up to 1580 the drama acted by the box companies thrived in his hands and that he used his theatre to train not only his own children of Windsor for performances before the queen but those of the Chapel Royal of whom Hunnis was master the two masters thus pooling their theatrical interests. The children of Black friars play a very important part in the early history of the drama although the increasing vogue of the adult companies as disclosed by the records of performances at court created a rivalry happy for the development of the histrionic art Far rant died towards the end of 1880 and his widow assigned his lease to Hunnis who as master of the Chapel Royal was able to continue the double function of his playhouse as a public theatre and a training house for performances before the queen But before long trouble arose between the owner Farrant's widow. Hunnis and an associate of his in the conduct of the theatre named John Newman. Into these details we cannot go By a contemporary letter recently discovered and printed it appears that in the spring of 1583 the Earl of Oxford acquired the lease of the playhouse in Blackfriars This interest according to the same letter the earl gave to Lyly with other houses adjacent. It is not clear that this transfer was more than a part of the vexatious defence but up by the widow of Farrant to prevent the owner from reentering the premises At any rate Lyly did not enjoy his lease a full year for the owner won his suit Campaspe and Sapho and Phao were acted according to their title pages by a conjunc tion of the Chaoel Children with the Paul's Boys and payments were made according to the accounts of the Audit Office to the Earl of Oxford his servants for two plays' on New

Year's night and Shrove Tuesday, 1583-84 But this does not "prove" these plays to have been Campaspe and Sapho and Phao, or that the Earl of Oxford's servants were the children of the chapel and the boys of Paul's organised into one com-

pany under the leadership of Lyly

Leaving these mooted questions, it is to be remarked that Lyly was a born courtier and that all of his literary work was prompted by the moment and calculated alone to the end of his own advancement He was constantly in attendance at court and became in due time one of the queen's "esquires of the He served in parliament and married well, considering his want of any stable fortune, and he appears to have been for years an applicant for a post in the Office of the Revels he was never able to procure, though it may be doubted if there was a fitter man for the mastership in all England his plays met with a deserved success from the first for their courtliness, their choice euphuistic prose diction and their nicety of expression, perhaps even more for their allegory and covert allusions to matters of passing political interest in the inner court circle which Lyly made one of their features almost from the first For example, Sapho and Phao dared allusions in allegory to the royal flirtation with her majesty's French suitor, the homely and insignificant D'Alençon, Midas, the ancient king at whose touch and by whose greed all things were turned to gold, figured forth in a drama of that title, the master of the Indies and arch enemy of England, King Philip of Spain, and Endimion, 1585, among the complications and contradictions of recent interpretations, long supposed to refer somehow to the only serious affair of the heart which the Virgin Queen seems ever to have had, (her preference, if not her "infatuation" for the Earl of Leicester), must now be interpreted into the wider political significance that leaves Elizabeth Cythia (the unattainable moon), but makes Tellus (the earth) the captive Mary of Scots and Endimion no less a personage than her canny, unstable, intriguing son, King James 5 Dramas of this type are dependent for their success as much on their happy power of topical allusion, addressed to the limited and understanding

⁴ On this whole subject, see Wallace, as above (especially pp 174 and 224 ff), whose researches now clear up a matter long doubtful

⁵ See on this topic A Feuillerat, John Lyly, 1910, pp 141-190 where the previous theories are likewise discussed

audience of the moment as they are on their inventiveness and literary merit. The former we can no more recover than we can restore the colours of a tropical fish once removed from its native element. It may be inferred that these comedies of Lyly in their natural court environment must have had an effective ness which its difficult for us to imagine. From these model and carefully written comedies all coarseness and vulgarity was banished the ribildry of the common folk and with it the rude practical jests of the old comedy. The figures of Lyly splays realised the manners and the precious cuphuistic speech that the fashionable courtier and lady were strving to attain. It is no wonder that on the basis of his successful Anatomy of Wit its continuance in Euphues and his England and these taking court plays Lyly was up to the year of the Armada, the literary man of the moment.

However, not quite all of Lyly's comedies were so heavily freighted with matter of purely contemporary moment parpe itself is not much more than a functial rendering of a classical legend Mother Bombie 1590 is a comedy of every day life in the manner of Terence but cleverly original while Gallathea Loves Metamorphosis and The Il oman in the Moon are pastoral comedies east in mythological mould and employing little more allegory than was useful to carry a strain of complement to the queen As to Lyly s art in general it is interesting to notice how effectively he developed what he found reads at hand. The old allegors of mere abstraction turned in his hands (as in Spenser's) to a reflex reference to persons and things concrete with the result of an enormous grin in in terest. The classical apparatus of the humanists with its dis play of ponderous learning became the winged shaft of myth ological allusion or the utilisation for picturesque subject matter of material from that admirable body of classical story which the combined ingenuity of modern ages has never ap-True only a Renaissance audience could appreciate to the full an art so dependent on a specific kind of culture but Lyly's audience was just such a one made up of high born cultivated finely tempered folk alive to every allusion and as keen of wit and ready at repartee almost as the dramatist's own clever figures. To the several things already mentioned as going to make up Lyly s art of court comedy must be added his effective employment of the pastoral motive in the three comedies mentioned above in this connection. Lily may have

found his suggestion in some of the entertainments of Gascoigne, or more effectively in Sir Philip Sidney's little pastoral interlude, The Lady of May, produced for the entertainment of Elizabeth at Wansted, in 1578 But if we would understand by what steps Lyly advanced the drama we should compare this pretty trifle with Lyly's Gallathea or such a play as Endimion with the anonymous Rare Triumphs of Love and Fortune, acted perhaps about 1582, and one of several plays in which the earlier abstractions, translated into the terms of classical mythology, are represented as concerned with the doings of mortals whose story, none the less, constitutes the main interest Whether this was suggested by the shades and furies of Seneca and his imitators, certain it is that by the time it reached Lyly it was transformed into a thing new and fanciful Lyly gave to English drama a sense of unity and models of artistic form He adopted Gascoigne's innovation, the writing of comedy in prose, and developed a medium of much ease, lightness and elegance He employed dramatic disguise for the first time with effect and supplied his auditors with an idealised transcript of their own court manners and dialogue, giving to his work an immediate effectiveness by its allusiveness to affairs of the moment Lvlv took hand in the Marprelate controversy, the notorious pamphlet war between the extreme Puritans and the upholders of the bishops and of bishops' rights and preten-Aside from a prose tract or two, ascribed to him, Lyly's work of this kind included several popular satirical plays 6 These we may congratulate ourselves have perished, they could have added nothing to his fame Lyly survived the queen who neglected him, dying in 1606, late enough to see his old "court plays" succeeded by wave upon wave of the new popular drama of his successors, but when he died, at just the age of Shakespeare, he could not but have known that he had borne his part in laying foundations on which were reared the successes of greater as of lesser men

Among writers of drama for the court in the early eighties, none could be named beside Lyly, and of those who imitated him one man only rivalled him in the thing that he did so well, and this only in one effort George Peele, son of a clerk of Christ's Hospital, was born in 1558 and was therefore four years the junior of Lyly At Oxford young Peele

⁶ On the whole topic, see Feuillerat, as above, pp 211 ff

became interested in the drama through a kinsman William Gager the author of several Latin plays Peele himself trans lated one of the Iphigenias of Euripides whether into Latin or English is not known. Attaining his master is degree in 1597 Peele turned his attention as a playwright from the college to the court and with Lyly in the first bloom of his repute at once set about to rival him. Peeles Arraignment of Paris has been dated as early as 1581 it was in print by 1584. Borrowing the idea from a poem of Gascoigne, Peele dramatized the story of Paris and CErione and the discord of the goddesses wrought by the apple of Ate but diverted the award from Venus in the end to a votress of Dana, the gracious and royal nymph.

whose name Eliza is But Peele s Arraignment is not merely compliment and a following of Lyly Lyly s mastery was that of prose and his power is reteorical Peele is a poet and his graceful and fanciful dramatic poem depends for its success not alone on its theme but on its poetical quality and happy metrical facility. Of a second court play of Peeles The Hunt ing of Cupid only fragments have come down to us and soon we find Peele transferring his talents to the popular stage

whither we shall now follow him

It is impossible to fix a date for the earliest performance of secular plays in London Acting in the yards of inns and other public places by strolling players must have been old when parliament adopted, in 1543, stringent measures against mon players for their intermeddling in matters religious and almost as early the phraseology of such acts habitually classified players who were not specifically licensed with vagabonds and masterless men Adult professional companies of actors made up of men with boys playing the female parts date also very early, as does the Elizabethan practice of placing such com panies under the protection of noble or royal patrons Indeed Elizabeth's early statute of 1572 declaring all able bodied un employed men (players among them) not under patronage of some nobleman to be vagabonds was only regulating an old and established usage This practice though later little more than a legal fiction was continued throughout the reign of Elizabeth largely because of the hostility of the London Council towards all actors James on his accession placed the companies under royal patronage and ended the old system?

7 On this subject see V C Gildersleeve Government Regulations of the Elizabethan Drama 1908 pp -9 30 and elsewhere

The history of Elizabethan theatrical companies is full of The evidence concerning them is now, thanks to the researches of Professor Wallace, abundant, though scholars will have to be more than mortal if they do not find themselves at times at variance as to the interpretation of some of it Companies passed from patron to patron, coalitions, divisions and reorganisations were constantly taking place For example, we hear of a group of players under the patronage of Lord Robert Dudley, later the great favourite, the Earl of Leicester, not infrequently between 1560 and 1582 This company obtained the earliest royal patent ever granted to a company of players, in 1574. It acted at the Bull, an inn-yard in Bishops-gate Street, and later at the Theatre which James Burbage built, in 1576, in Shoreditch It appears to have been broken up in 1582-83 by the withdrawal from it of Wilson, Tarlton and other prominent actors Though some of its sometime members are found still under the patronage of the earl abroad in 1585, acting in Denmark and in Germany and, on their return, visiting several provincial towns, among them Stratford 8 In London, in consequence of this disrupture, a new company was formed called the Queen's servants who played variously at the Bull in Bishopsgate Street and the Bell in Gracious Street under the leadership of Robert Wilson and until about 1591 Their rivals at that time were the Admiral's men playing at the Curtain, and the Chamberlain's men playing at the Theatre Burbage was not connected, it now appears, at any time with the Queen's men, but succeeded in reorganising the disrupted company of the Earl of Leicester under the patronage of Lord Hunsdon who, as cousin of the queen, brought him a certain amount of court patronage Without here going into particulars, by 1585 Burbage had so improved his property in the Theatre, despite the innumerable law suits in which he was constantly involved, that he was able to make an agreement with his rival and neighbour, Laneman, manager of the neighbouring Curtain, by which the two companies pooled their interests and divided the profits of the two playhouses In 1589,

⁸ For the foreign visits of Elizabethan theatrical companies abroad, see E Herz, Englische Schauspieler in Deutschland, 1903, for their visits to English provincial towns, see J T Murray, English Dramatic Companies, 1910

on the payment of a mortgage long held against the Theatre Cuthbert Burbage became the legal owner of the property although his father James Burbage still remained the leader of the company A year later as a result of one of their in cessant quarrels the Alleyns John and Edward of the Cur tain severed their alliance with Burbage and took themselves across the river where they joined hands with Henslowe who controlled the Rose and the playhouse at Newington Butts Ed ward Alleyn was now at the head of the Admiral's men and we hear of these theatres as variously occupied by them in the ensuing years by the Earl of Pembroke's players those of the Earl of Sussex and by a company known as Lord Stranges in 1593 94 called Lord Derby's Some of the earlier plays of Shakespeare were acted by these companies controlled by Henslowe and Alleyn it does not appear that there is any evidence of Shakespeare's association with Burbage before 1594 Lord Strange Earl of Derby died in April of that year and in the reorganisation that followed several of those who had constituted that company William Kempe Thomas Pope John Heming Augustine Philips and George Bryan combined with Richard Burbage and William Shakespeare in the organisation of a new company under the patronage of Lord Hunsdon Burbage's old patron which under his name that of his office of Lord Chamberlain and as the King's players after 1603 be came permanently Shakespeare's company As servants to the Lord Chamberlain Shakespeare and Burbage received the first payment for a performance at court in December of that year They were then acting at the Cross Keys in Gracious Street but their principal houses were the Theatre and the Curtain where Romeo and Juliet was acted in 1598 In this year, difficulties arising concerning the renewal of the term of the lease of the ground in Shoreditch on which the Theatre stood the Burbages pulled down the building in spite of the lessor's protest and re erected it with some improvements on a piece of dumping ground the only site available near to the Rose on the Bankside in Southwark This piece of ground was situated, as the deeds that Professor Wallace has unearthed make undeniable just north of Maiden Lane on the same side of the street as the Rose" and across the street from the site commemorated by the present tablet. A lease for twenty one years was granted by the owner Sir Nicholas Brend a

neighbour of Shakespeare's in the parish of St Mary Aldermanbury, and before the year 1599, the famous Globe had begun

its long and prosperous career.

Of the character and constitution of these earlier companies we know comparatively little, and it is by no means certain that all were constituted alike. The company to which Shakespeare was attached was a sharing company. It held a lease for the Globe theatre and for the theatre in Blackfriars, which latter is sublet for a time. The number of sharers varied from five to seven, and while the original cost of the shares was no more than the rent of the ground and the obligations attending building and management, in time the shares became quite valuable. On the other hand, from Henslowe's Diary, an account book kept by Philip Henslowe concerning his transactions as part owner, financier and backer of several theatres, the organisation of these appears to have been much less democratic

In considering the theatre of Marlowe and Shakespeare, we must keep in mind the conditions of Elizabethan London, a mediæval town of less than 200,000 inhabitants, unlighted, undrained, crowded and threaded with narrow streets in which the upper stories of the timbered houses almost met in places There was little of the town beyond the Tower. Bishopsgate and Temple Bar respectively, though houses extended beyond the several gates - Bishopsgate, leading to Shoreditch, Ludgate, Cripplegate, and the rest - on the main roads leading out The Thames was the main thoroughfare from one part of the city to another as well as to Westminster. Its swift and unpolluted waters flowed through many a park and its banks were embellished with handsome houses of nobles and wealthy tradespeople The river was crossed but once, by London Bridge, which united the city with Southwark on the Surrey side where was situated the Bankside. London was ruled by a Lord Mayor and a council of Aldermen, men prominent in the various trade-guilds of the city. With the welfare of the city at heart quite as much as because some were of Puritan leanings, the city council mistrusted the theatre from the first, and reasons for this mistrust were not far to seek Assemblies of unpoliced crowds led to disorder and occasionally

⁹ See especially the valuable paper of Professor Wallace on "The First London Theatre," *University Studies*, 1913, x111.

to not and in time of plague - a very real danger in the old age - to the spread of pestilence Moreover the contents of many of the plays were ungodly or at the least vain and trifling Laws were therefore passed restricting theatrical performances and closing all playhouses when the plague became prevalent and the erection of playhouses within the precincts of the city was forbidden However the jurisdiction of the mayor stopped at the several gates and at the middle point of London Bridge and hence the evil was only transplanted to the suburbs earliest playhouses were built beyond the walls for example in Shoreditch, Bishopsgate without where the Theatre first struc ture of its kind in England dating 1576 and the Curtain near it in Moorfields 1577 were erected or across the river along the Bankside where in the nincties there wrose the Globe the Rose, the Hone and the Swan. There were other theatres however besides these and the earlier inn yards. Such were the playhouse at Newington Butts back from the river in South wark, and the Fortune a large and fine theatre built on a new plan in 1600 by Edward Alleyn the famous actor son in law of Henslowe in St Giles Cripplegate

The public playhouses of Elizabeth's day undoubtedly dif fered in size and structure as do ours of to-day but some gen eral features may be accepted as characteristic of them all They were ordinarily circular or octagonal in form and built about an open space, a feature derived from their probable original the inn yard. This yard was open to the sky and supplied standing places to the groundlings as they were called It was surrounded on three sides by galleries two or even three, and in the lowest of these were placed the most desirable seats On the fourth side of the yard and opposite to the entrance door was situated the stage a platform jutting far out into the middle of the yard so that the audience there might stand on three sides of it. There was a roof partially cover ing the stage supported by two pillars or pillasters precise position is somewhat doubtful though it seems not un likely that they were placed rather close together to produce the effect of a structure near the middle of the stage. leaving a space on either side and in front for free action on the stage around them Certain it is that they were not placed far at the side to produce the effect of the modern stage a framing for a Whether a curtain was stretched between them is a moor question. If so as seems not unreasonable or if hung as

some think, underneath a balcony further back, or elsewhere, it was drawn, not dropped, for the drop-curtain came in only after the Restoration. Mention has been made of a balcony, this was an important feature of the Elizabethan stage, and the stage directions of old plays show a constant recourse to it. We have thus a stage of three divisions, the forward stage in front of the curtains, really a platform for declamation, secondly, a back or inner stage, before which a curtain might at need be drawn, and third, a balcony or gallery—best conceived as running across the whole diameter of the stage—so arranged as to be visible and practicable whether the curtain dividing the two parts of the stage was drawn or not. Abundance of evidence declares that there were ordinarily at least three stage doors on the Elizabethan stage; and it is likely that the two side doors were obliquely placed.

No assumption of former scholarship is more gratuitous than that which denies scenery to either the private or the public theatres of Elizabeth's time. Scenery in the modern sense was assuredly not in use, and several total changes of it were noted as a novelty as late as 1636; 10 but this is quite a different thing from a statement to the effect that scenery was unknown to the Elizabethan stage. 11 Doubtless the humbler theatres were as bare and preposterous in their attempt at stage illusion as some provincial houses are to-day. But from extant lists of properties, and more especially from the stage directions of contemporary editions of our old drama, we are able to affirm the existence of much to help the imagination to a realisation of place In a list of Henslowe's properties occur such items as a rock, a cage, a wooden canopy, a tree with golden apples, and two steeples with a chime of bells, while "the cloth of the sun and moon," Belendon's stable, and "the city of Rome," suggest structures and painted canvases of some dimension other hand, it seems not improbable that the Elizabethans were often content with suggestion on the stage where we demand minute realism A bed, a hanging and a chest, in which Iachimo might hide, may have sufficed for Imogen's chamber. and Juliet's tomb and the Castle of Inverness may have been alike simply suggested. But houses, two or three at a time, trees practicable for climbing or uprooting, caves, groves, a hill, to say nothing of furniture, all must have been, upon occasion.

¹⁰ The Royal Slave, by William Cartwright.

¹¹ See Lee, Life of Shakespeare, p 38

the common garnishings of the popular stage. It seems reason able to regard Elizabethan as the logical outgrowth of mediaval staging precisely as its modification to conform to certain new ideas introduced from abroad (especially in the masques) finally led to modern conditions Medireval staging it will be re membered frequently assembled on one large platform the set tings of several scenes. It has been held by some that the Elizabethans often did much the same placing incongruous ob iects - a throne in a wood a hearse in a lady's chamber upon the stage side by side. In some ruder plays the stage was actually set off into localities so that action in the centre meant (as in one case) Colchester to the right Malvern to the left Hardwick. It was this species of simultaneous scenery that Sidney criticised in his Asia of the one side Africa of the other' and Sidney was not alone among those of his time in such criticisms Of late too the preposterousness of such ex tremes has led the orderly critical mind of some investigators to theorise an explanation wherein they assume that heavy proper ties were invariably placed on the inner stage. The outer stage a mere platform before the curtain might stand then by con vention for anything a street an outer room or indeed remain indeterminable. On the drawing of the curtain however a chair of state suggestive of the presence chamber the counter and goods marking a shop an arbour denoting a garden and so forth could be disclosed to help the illusion and the action of the scene concluded, the curtains were redrawn From the more or less regular alternation of outer and inner scenes re sulting from the supposed necessity of moving in and out these suggestive properties, this has been called the alternation theory' and some have even endeayoured to show in Shake speare a dramaturgy conformable to this state of things So strict an alternation of scene as this theory demands can neither be proved for Shakespeare nor for the old drama at large although neither the scene of indeterminable locality nor the suggestiveness of certain properties on occasion is for a moment to be denied 12

¹² For further discussion and bibliography of this subject see the present writer's The Elizabethan Playhouse Proceedings of the Numimatic Society of Philadelphia 1910 and the interesting paper of A H Thorndike From Outdoors to Indoors on the Elizabethan Stage Autredge Anniversary Papers 1914 p 273

Popular staging was affected by the devices and maskings at court, to affirm anything else is to deny the most certain of man's simian inheritances, imitation. Therefore if we know (as we do), that canvas was painted, spread on frames and shifted on and off the stage in grooved boards at court, we may feel sure that such things were not unheard of in London While we must be careful to remember that chronology counts for much in an age of such rapid development, we may cite none the less with confidence an allusion by one of the characters of Tonson, to "a piece of perspective," on the stage, in 1600, an allusion that would not have been made concerning a novelty, unheard of until that date. So, too, Dekker's off-hand remark as to one who "may stand at the helm and steer the passage of scenes," throws light on the subject, though made in 1609 and possibly referable, like Jonson's allusion, to a private theatre 13 One thing is certain, the costuming of Elizabethan plays, even on the popular stage, was often rich and expensive, though little governed by that sense of fitness as to things past that distinguishes our efforts after we have laboured with history and archæology. To those who know the Elizabethan drama at large, the sea-coast of Bohemia, the pistol of Pericles and the striking clock of Brutus seem venial offences Anachronism was a misdemeanour little recognised as such; and it is likely that Jonson was the only dramatist of the age who would have thought of criticising the acting of Macbeth, Tamburlaine and Cæsar all in the contemporary doublet and hose, and with contemporary accessories of war and court attendance. Even Sidney and Whetstone who recognised, in their earlier time, the incongruities of contemporary staging, were talking of Gorboduc and the like, with classical ideals in mind, the age soon became accustomed to the aberrations of romantic art.

Before we turn to the remaining members of the group of playwrights known par excellence as "the predecessors of Shakespeare" let us glance at the popular drama immediately contemporary with the earlier efforts of Lyly. Two shadowy figures stand first in point of time, these are Richard Tarlton and Robert Wilson. Tarlton was the most celebrated clown of his day and furnished many anecdotes to the rude humours of the jest-books. He died in 1588 and has been supposed the

¹⁸ See Cynthia's Revels, Induction, Gifford-Cunningham, Jonson, 11, 210, and Dekker's The Gulls' Hornbook, Grosart, Dekker, 11, 248

author of two plays The Famous Victories of Henry V earliest and rudest of the chronicle plays and The Seven Deadly Sins of London evidently a great effort involving a series of well Lnown subjects. From the sketch or plot whence information of this latter play is derived, it seems that the scenes in their general content were merely indicated and the actual dialogue supplied extemporaneously. It was this sort of thing especially the extemporal clown such as Tarlton that Shakespeare later so reprobated in Hamlet 14 Wilson has been identified with the player who introduced Greene to a dramatic career if we are to believe the vivid account of the matter in that romancer's Greatsworth of II it Wilson was an actor of note and left behind him no less than four plays quasi moral in character printed in the eighties and earlier nineties most important among them The Three Ladies of London Another play by some attributed to Wilson is Fair Em the Miller's Daughter of Manchester Here an absurd pseudo-romance about William the Conqueror (and his quest of a wife in Sweden to correspond with a picture emblazoned on a shield) is tied up with the underplot which gives title to the play wherein under guise of the affairs of Fair Em and her sisters there seem figured forth allegorical wise particulars of the stage history of the day

It is a mistake to suppose the romantic element in literature the specific introduction of any age or time. The art that lays stress on novelty and seeks to produce its effects by means of strangeness belongs to all time though it may not always rule the variety of its manifestations is infinite Calisto and Melibora Spanish in origin Promos and Cassandra Italian each was possessed of this quality and so was Fair Em the major plot of which belongs to a type of story that strikes its roots far back into the fiction of the middle ages. The Accounts of the Recels contain several titles that suggest material of this The Irish Anight Herpetulus The Blue Knight The Solitary Anight The Anight in the Burning Rock subjects such as Paris and Vienna are well known in their prose form or like Palaemon and Arcyte of Edwards are stories already treated by Chaucer To dramas of this type we may give the title heroical romances in dramatic form as the term heroic play, has long since been applied to a more specific and a far later variety of a not dissimilar species. The

¹⁴ III 11 42

step from the morality to the heroic play, for example, is represented in The Marriage of Wit and Science, 1569. Here Wit has been metamorphosed into a knightly lover, passionately enamoured of his lady, Science Common Conditions, of much the same date, is a "romance" of the class run wild in which a Duke of Phrygia, an Arabian knight and a French lady, all figure, turbulently in love and plotting through three continents, besides "the Isle of Marofus" Of the same type are Sir Clyomon and Sir Clamydes, variously placed between 1570 and 1584 and ascribed perhaps hastily to the authorship of Peele, and Greene's Orlando Furioso, different though its literary origin, yet little less absurd in its romantic extravagance of personage and plot. When we add that it was out of such productions that the conqueror play of Tamburlaine type was evolved, we establish still another line of growth from early

times into the period of regular drama

We left George Peele, an imitator of Lyly at court, as he had been an imitator of Gager at Oxford It seems likely that, finding little prospect of success such as Lyly's at court, Pecle turned, about 1586, to the popular stage to write plays alone and with others for a living Peele was one of those men of Bohemian disposition to whom careless revelry and unregulated conduct are matters of second nature. He died early, in 1597, it is said worn out by his excesses. The range of his authorship must be surmised rather than determined, and the conditions of the moment account for this state of things with Peele It was the prevalent custom to regard a play. as with others once accepted, absolutely the property of the company, and publication, in earlier times (other things being equal), usually meant that the company had done with it The professional actor was held in little esteem, it was worse with the professional playwright, for he was not even recognised as existent Mention of the poet, that mere contriver of the devices, would have struck an early Elizabethan, we may believe, as quite preposterous, as preposterous, indeed, as our printed mention of the wig-maker and the stage upholsterer Once more, the habit of collaboration in the writing of plays was general and the outgrowth of the immediate and constant demand for new plays When a play was thus written, nobody claimed it and the incessant revision of old plays, revised, as often as not, by another hand than that of the original author, further complicated the question Peele was a playwright for seventeen or

eighteen years and he seems not to have been 'a slow writer Therefore when we find less than half a dozen plays assigned to his pen in his collected works, and some of these even doubt fully we wonder what has become of the rest of his work Besides The Arraignment of Paris and Sir Cleomon which is probably Preston's four other dramas are usually printed as Peeles these are The Old II nes Tale a pleasing extrava ganza apparently a burlesque of the heroical romances just described David and Bethrabe a bible story revived and treated chronicle wise Fdu ard I a chronicle play far from conspicu ously able and The Battle of Aleazar a conqueror drama of the class of Marlowe's Tamburleine All these plays have been clustered in point of date of writing about the year 1590 and while they are diverse enough in subject matter, all exhibit the metrical facility lyrical readiness careless easy conglomeration of plot together with certain mannerisms of diction and style recognised as Peele s. In addition to this Peele has long been thought to be one of the several poets that appear to have worked together on our earlier English chronicle plays those on Henry VI (in their later revised state included in editions of Shakespeare) The Troublesome Keign of King John the older Richard III And now a share in Jack Street Marlowe's Fdu ard II and the older hing Leir is added to his list together with two more or less romantic comedies II ils Be guiled and The II udom of Doctor Doddy poll the last an imi tation in part at least of A Midsummer Night's Dream and an earlier draft of the satirical medley Histriomastix

Recent criticism too has been incidentally busy with Peele and three plays of importance in the history of tragedy ret involved Alphonius of Germany I octine and Titus Andronius. The first is a revenge play remarkable for the idiomatic German which it contains it was thus attributed long ago by Anthony a Wood and the notion is now revived despite long association with the name of Chapman. If Locrine is Peele's it must have been early work as it shows close touch with Seneca at court even if relieved by comic scenes in a very contrasted vernacular manner. Most important is the serious ascription of Titus Andronicus so long and disturbingly accepted as Shakespeare's to the part authorship of Peele 35. While it is impossible in the space here at command to enter into the intrincaces and nice weighing of Mr. Robertson's arguments it

¹⁵ J M Rolertson Did Shakespeare Il rite Titus An fronteus 1905

must be frankly confessed that he makes out a very strong Titus was first published in the recently discovered quarto of 1594, and thereafter several times, never as Shakespeare's until its inclusion in the folio. It is mentioned contemporaneously as Shakespeare's only by Meres, and this is explain-Tonson refers to it contemptuously and in connection with work older than any of Shakespeare's could possibly have been. Moreover, Titus was originally in the possession of a theatrical company, the Sussex men, with which Shakespeare was never associated, however it may have been subsequently claimed by reason of revision The subject of Titus was old to the stage and had been repeatedly recast If the Titus Andronicus that we have is the work of Shakespeare, it must be dated early to account for its difference from his later works and its extraordinary crudity, but plot, diction, and metre point to a date not much before that of publication, and at that date Shakespeare was writing in no such manner On the other hand, internal evidence, elicited by a comparison of the plotting, diction, versification and vocabulary of Titus, discloses many of the qualities and mannerisms of Peele in particular and of Greene in lesser degree Wherefore the conclusion that "between 1590 and 1592, Greene revised and expanded an older play in which Peele had already a large share", with "the alternative possibility that Peele revised an old play by Greene and Kvd" Before the reader of Mr Robertson's acute brochure pooh-poohs the idea of depriving Shakespeare of the authorship of this tragedy, let him carefully reread Titus Andronicus and ask himself if it were not a genuine service to the greatest name in English literature, could we relieve Shakespeare of the onus and the odium involved in the callousness to human suffering and the accumulation of gruesome and nauscating details that distinguish this tasteless example of the horrible overdone to Peele dramatically, it is obvious that he tried to do everything, court drama, biblical play, masque, Senecan tragedy, chronicle play, comedy, burlesque, and what not Possibly after The Arraignment of Paris, Peele's most characteristic contribution to the drama is to be found in the fantastic irony of The Old Wives' Tale in which he daintily turns the absurdities of the old historical plays and romances to ridicule in a series of burlesque scenes capitally conceived and executed. Does it seem altogether preposterous, considering this and the extravagant bombast and overdone classical allusions of Locrine, to believe

that Peele (if Titus Andronicus be his) was doing much the same for Senecan tragedy in this case?

And now the newly awakened popular stage was more and more attracting men of education Thomas Lodge was the son of a Lord Mayor of London and received his education at Oxford and Lincoln's Inn Almost before he left college Lodge threw himself with zeal into criticism and general pamphleteer ing although he published no poetry until 1589 Lodge's voy ages by sea and adventures concern us even less than his rather voluminous prose translations and poetical plunderings espe cially of the French lyrists In general he gave interest for the things that he used for Lodge had the stuff of poetry in him His early life in London seems to have been of much the Bohemian nature of Peele's and Greene's but unlike these his associates he recovered himself to become in the reign of James a reputable physician and to outlive almost all his literary con temporaries Lodge's contact with the drama is difficult to trace for he was clearly ashamed of it - perhaps not without reason We know that he had a share with Greene in the absurd hodge podge of biblical story modern farce and moral application called A Looking Glass for London and England acted in 1580 and that his name occurs as the sole author of The Wounds of Civil War a classical chronicle history of no unusual merit concerning Marius and Sulla published in 1504 The rest is surmise If Lodge's renunciation of the stage is to be taken seriously he may none the less have had a hand in the older King John and in King Leir in A Larum for London a dramatization of the all but contemporary siege of Antwerp and in the murder play A Warning for Fair Women all of which has been alleged Two comedies have also been assigned to Lodge the enormously popular if trivial Mucedorus first printed in 1598 and the older Taming of a Shrew which we know that Shakespeare revised to make it The Taming of the Shrew If it be possible to reconstruct a dramatic Lodge out of these scanty traces we can find him taking the part of a collaborator in earlier chronicle plays dramatizing items of con temporary interest mere hack work and showing his best talent in lighter romantic comedy such as naive Mucedorus and the comedy scenes of Aing Leir At best Thomas Lodge is but a shadowy figure among 'the predecessors of Shakespeare'

We proceed on firmer ground with Robert Greene notorious for his grudging slur at Shakespeare the first unmistakable allu sion to the great poet's activity as a dramatist Greene was the son of a minister and born at Norwich, in 1558 He studied both at Oxford and Cambridge, a matter that he was never weary of boasting Travel abroad and an early acquaintance with the low life of London little bettered his disposition to self-indulgence On the contrary he fell away from his friends, patrons and family, and at last deserted his wife to live dissolutely among his inferiors Greene was famous in his day for his pamphlets and stories, many of them more or less autobiographical His Groatsworth of Wit Purchased with a Million of Repentance, 1592, is best known for the allusion to Shakespeare, in it Greene tells, too, a circumstantial story of his own induction into the craft of playmaker by a portentous personage who has been identified with Robert Wilson, mentioned above Greene's career was short at best He could have written little before 1580, and was dead of his own excesses in September, 1592 Though constantly deploring his evil ways and, in moments of misfortune, sincerely repentant, little can be said in extenuation of his life which he threw away in his folly to the unhappiness of those who loved him and to the impoverishment of his genius

Greene in his work, like Peele, was imitative yet little bound by precept or example. The list of his plays includes The Looking Glass for London, as we have seen, showing the influence of Wilson, Orlando Furioso, 1592, an heroical drama, a slight advance on the absurdities of Common Conditions, Alphonsus of Aragon, an unsuccessful effort to out-bombast the Tamburlaine of Marlowe, Friar Bacon, 1590, a charming comedy of "white magic," matched against the "black magic" of Faustus, and The Scottish History of King James IV, 1591, an effort to play upon the popularity of chronicle history in a comedy of romantic interest Haste, carelessness and a want of constructive forethought, all are characteristic more or less of these acknowledged works of Greene, and yet they are not without their merits Again and again we meet in them with passages of poetic quality, with personages, especially in comedy, that live and breathe naturally, with delicacy and beauty of sentiment, and a power to give reality to picturesque or romantic Friar Bacon and King James afford us, too, in Margaret of Fressingfield, Lady Ida, and the Queen, three of the most genuine and charming women in the drama preceding Shakespeare, while above all we have in Greene a fine fidelity

to English scene and English life In the last named play we find too a constructive excellence an ability to seize the dra matte moment and use it that suggest unfulfilled possibilities in the genius of Greene could his life hive been less disordered Perhaps it was the recognition of this and the contrasted image of his successful younger rival Shakespeare, that so embittered Greene The dying man must have felt with that instinct that is the poets that in Shakespeare's benignity and silent artistic thrift lay the inevitability of an achievement that was never to be his own

Conjecture has been no less busy increasing the limits of the authorship of Greene than in the other like cases There seems little reason to doubt that his hand was engaged in some of the earlier chronicle plays especially in the plays on Henry VI with the lifting of a line from one of which he specifically charges Shakespeare Selimus a tragedy on Turkish history in the vein of Tamburlaine was confidently ascribed to Greene and edited as his by the late Dr Grosart Robertson believes Greene a collaborator with Peele in Titus and Locrine with Kyd in The Spanish Traged, and Soliman and Perseda find ing Greenish traces too in Sir Cliomon Alphonsus of Ger many and the older Leir And on the score of similarities in action vocabulary and figure he would ascribe all of Eduard III the finest parts of which are often assigned Shakespeare to Greene's authorship as well Whatever the merits of these in vestigations - and there can be no question of their scholarly seriousness - one other play George-a Greene or the Pinner of Wakefield which is now more doubted than believed to be Greene's the present writer would like to be able to preserve for him so characteristic it seems of the man. In it is told the story of the simple hearted service and provess of the excellent young yeoman whose name and office of under sheriff give title to the comedy while in the denouement George refuses knight hood at the hands of his sovereign preferring to be first in his father's class rather than abjure it. Here was an appeal of a popular tone eminently at variance with that of the court drama of which we have heard Nor is this the only case among the plays of these elders of Shakespeare in which we find the drama reaching out for the approval of him whom we should now call

the man in the street It is essential that we should recognise that however aristocratic may have been many of its ten dencies and ideals the London drama of the heyday of Eliza

beth truly deserves the designation popular. Shakespeare wrote emphatically for the many, not, as did Jonson at times, for "the judicious few"; and, however the select taste of the court may have determined the drama of Lyly, writing for the choir boys of Blackfriars, the plays of Greene, Peele, Kyd and Marlowe, delivered at the Theatre, the Curtain, the Globe and the Rose,

constituted a great, popular, national utterance.

In Thomas Kyd we meet with a man of somewhat different type from the gentlemen and schoolmaster playwrights, from illiterate actors turned makers of plays, or the "university wits" as Professor Saintsbury somewhat unhappily dubbed Peele, Greene, Lodge, and Marlowe, a sobriquet that appears to stick. Kyd was born in London in 1558, the son of a scrivener. He attended the Merchant Tailors' school while Richard Mulcaster was master, and may have caught under that encourager of the drama the taste that made him a playwright. Investigation has not shown that Kyd was ever of either university, but he knew his classics well, if somewhat carelessly, and was not unacquainted with the three important modern Latin tongues. The career of Kyd as a dramatist probably lay between 1585 and 1590 at the latest, and his authorship and collaboration is even less certain than that of other members of his group. It must have been close to the earlier date just mentioned that Kyd made his leap to immediate fame with The Spanish Tragedy This play shared for years with Tamburlaine the greatest popularity of any tragedy outside of Shakespeare, and became the parent of a considerable group of successors, known as the tragedies of revenge In The Spanish Tragedy is set forth the pathetic situation of a father who has lost his son by murder at the hands of assassins unknown his endeavours to learn the authors of the crime, Hieronimo, the father, totters on the verge of madness and in the end, finding his prince the instigator and redress by ordinary form and process of law therefore impossible, he attains his revenge by means of a play arranged within a play The Spanish Tragedy came at a time when Gorboduc and Tancred and Gismunda represented the height of English tragic achievement
It would be too much to expect any tragedy at such a moment to have been unaffected by the prevalent Senecan ideals And Kyd's master work is Seneca in bone and sinew, if clothed with Renaissance romantic flesh The Spanish Tragedy is well planned and constructed, even in view of what was to come,

its figures are vital and the dramatic moments are seized with appreciation and effectively handled. Even the verse and style if somewhat stiff and inflated mark a long stride forward. In short, the popularity of this famous tragedy was thoroughly well deserved.

If we recur to the story of The Spanish Tragedy for which by the way the ingenuity of scholarship has as yet failed to find a source we are struck at once by its likeness to the well In Hamlet to be sure it is the father known story of Hamlet that has proved the victim and the son is left to avenge him but the situation a secret crime, the perpetrator above the law the burden on the avenger suggesting insanity the discovery (in Avd's play the revenge) arranged by a play within a play here are striking parallels. As far back as the year 1589 there are allusions to a tragedy by name Hamlet the play of Shakespeare that we know belongs at earliest to the very last years of Eliza beth's reign Moreover a German version of the drama of early date differs materially in certain particulars and the two quartos of Shakespeare s Hamlet with certain differences in the folio disclose the likelihood of a revision from an older play We are not left then wholly to a certain famous passage by Nash in his prefatory epistle to Greene's Menaphon 1589 in which the title Hamlet is coupled darkly with Kidde in Æson for the inference that Kyd was the author of this earlier version of the master tragedy of Shakespeare This revision save for traces in the German version and in the Shakespeare texts (if indeed there be such traces?) is now totally lost. But it is of interest to note looking forward for a moment that about the time that Shakespeare was submitting the old Hamlet of hid to a thor ough rewriting for the Chamberlain's men Ben Jonson was en gaged by Henslowe to add scenes to The Spanish Tragedy and further to develop the character of Marshal Hieronimo for the Admirals men their rivals

Two other plays that have been assigned to Kyd are less memorable. They are the First Part of Ieronimo printed in t605 and purporting to be a fore piece to The Spanish Tragedy and Soliman and Perseda Ieronimo is probably the work of an imitator anxious to profit by the popularity of Kyd. Soliman and Perseda is more like The Spanish Tragedy in conduct and style though distinctly inferior. It too utilises the subject of the more popular Tragedy dramatizing at length the play in the fifth act by the means of which Hieronimo reaches

Although the evidence on the subject is slender his revenge enough, it seems reasonable to regard Soliman and Perseda as the work of Kyd, written soon after The Spanish Tragedy and in consequence of the success of that greater effort. Among the several other plays with which the name of Kyd has been more or less ingeniously associated, may be named Titus Andronicus (if Shakespeare is to gain by the loss of it), The Taming of a Shrew (if Kyd's, his only essay in the realm of comedy), and Arden of Feversham Kyd is likewise the certain author of an able translation, in 1594, of the Cornelie of Robert Garnier, the contemporary French Senecan This is dedicated to Lady Sussex, an aunt of Lady Pembroke, who presided over a literary circle especially interested in French tragedy A more important association of Kyd's was that with In 1593, Kyd was arrested on the charge of sedition, being supposedly implicated in certain libels against foreigners, found affixed to the wall of the Dutch churchyard The unfortunate dramatist was tortured without eliciting a confession, but, as a disputation of "atheistical" contents was found among his papers, he was remanded for further examination. The documents in this case, especially the defence of Kyd, inform us that, at one time, Kyd and Marlowe occupied the same chamber where, according to the affirmation of the former, their papers became mixed on the same table. We do not know the outcome of the matter It appears to have been confused with the accusations against Marlowe Nor does Kyd's own conduct, judged by his words, seem either ingenuous or fair to his associate Doubtless through this affair, Kyd lost any chance that he may have had for advancement by his patrons He was dead in December 1594, when his parents significantly renounced their right to administer what must have been the exceedingly slender estate of their deceased son 16

Christopher Marlowe was born in Canterbury in March 1564, and was thus a month older than Shakespeare Marlowe's father was a shoemaker, his mother a clergyman's daughter. He was about as well born as Shakespeare, but he had the good fortune, living in a larger community, to attract attention by his precocity (we may infer) while a student at the King's School, Canterbury, and he was accordingly sent up to Cam-

مرد

¹⁶ On the whole topic see F S Boas, The Works of Thomas Kyd, 1901 This renunciation was discovered by Schick

bridge There he remained until 1587 taking his bachelor's and master's degrees in course and perhaps falling into disfavour towards the end There has been much 'mystification to call it no worse as to the life of Marlowe criticism now turns to the agnostic attitude Francis Kett Fellow of Corpus Christi was convicted of holding unorthodox views as to the Trinity and later was burned at the stake for them Kett left Cam bridge in 1580 the year of Marlowe's arrival Whether these two men met or not is a matter of little importance. That an impressionable youth with an innate tendency to free thinking should have remained uninterested and unaffected by influences that were notorious at his university when he entered it is simply unthinkable the more especially that we now know that Marlowe's actual deflections from orthodoxy appear to have been much those of Kett The tale that Marlowe was an actor of notous life in London who brake his leg in one lewd scene' has now been definitely traced to the fabrication of that able scholar and antiquary gone wrong John Payne Collier a matter of fact we know much less about Marlowe than we know about Shakespeare and about Marlowe's life his char acter and his authorship hover the same clouds of doubt which have onen rise to guess work and conjecture in lesser mass only because the world is naturally more interested in the greater Marlowe's authorship as a dramatist begins with Tam burlaine a stupendous effort to treat in two whole dramas the subjugating career of Timur Kahn the conqueror of Asia Here the young poets choice of subject was as daring as his treatment was novel and untrammelled by previous examples Moreover he was entirely conscious of what it was that he was doing and as confident of success as his hero Tamburlaine must have been on the stage by 1586 or 1587 it is a moot question whether it preceded or followed The Spanish Tragedy Even if Marlowe wrote later he could have owed little to the work On the other hand, there is none of the boisterous and dynamic romanticism that characterises Tamburlaine in the modified Senecinism of The Spanish Tragedy Kyd's play is above all things a drama indeed its merits lie along the line of action and in the forcible stage realism of an effective story Tamburlaine on the contrary is essentially an epic in which the sheer force of poetry has triumphed over difficulties to produce with all its faults a really surprising result. It is im possible to make clear except by actual example how far the

poetry of Marlowe, the quality of his words, the pomp and music of his lines, tower above the rhetorical niceties of Lyly, the effective eloquence of Kyd, or the graceful prettiness of Peele. Marlowe's Tamburlaine caught the age no less by its poetry than The Spanish Tragedy by its tragic quality and the problem of the character of Hieronimo, and Marlowe held his audience as long, beginning the series of conqueror plays—Greene's Alphonsus of Aragon, Selimus, Peele's Battle of Alcazar, and his lost Turkish Mahomet among them—as Kyd's

Tragedy inaugurated the tardier tragedy of revenge

The play of Marlowe next in chronological order is Doctor Faustus, on the stage by the year of the Armada It has come down to us unhappily in a fragmentary and imperfect text Faustus tells the world-story of the man who, seeking for all knowledge, pledged his soul to the devil, only to find the misery of a hopeless repentance in this world and damnation in the world to come The motive, like much of the conduct of this tragedy, is that of the old moralities, witness the alternate promptings of the good and the bad angel and the dance of the seven deadly sins. More important is the typical character of Faustus who is any man and every man But Faustus is, none the less, an individual in whose pathetic plight we are interested for himself, and the appeal of the work is primarily artistic Doctor Faustus is a better play on the stage than the careless reader might suppose it; and it is worthy of note that what the old story has gained in other hands in variety of incident, by the infusion of the love story of Margaret for example, it has lost in the singleness of purpose with which Marlowe concentrates attention on his unhappy protagonist Even the wide allegorical significance, the masterly obliteration of time and space of the second part of Goethe's Faust with the hero's redemption, scarcely compensate for this loss The tragic and untimely death, too, of Marlowe, the daring character of his genius and the stories of his doubts of God have conspired to make this play one of the most interesting in our literature Beside all this, it is unimportant what editions or translations of the Faustbuch Marlowe utilised in his work. His was the poetry that fired the genius of Goethe, who sophisticated with modern brilliant philosophical speculation a theme which was the product of an age of sterner and, dare we say, of sounder theology than that of his own

Our interest in The Jew of Malta, 1589-90, is of a different

kind and concerns Shakespeare's relations to it. In the Jew as in the figures of Tamburlaine and Faustus we have a crea ture of heroic and overweening passion but in the place of the passion for conquest or the passion for all knowledge we have substituted a gigantic malevolence that degenerates from its very excess into inhuman caricature The Jew in Elizabethan as in other ages is a subject more interesting to those of the Hebrew race than to others Shakespeare owed something in his Shylock to Marlowe's Barabas who is more nearly the conventional Tew of scandalous mediæval tradition It is only fair to Marlowe as to Shakespeare in this connection to re member that both simply recorded for the stage the prejudices of an age not much more bound by such prejudices than the world of to day if somewhat more brutal in its avowal of them Barabas is a monster but the play in which he perpetrates his impossible crimes is as a drama decidedly an advance on its predecessors even if as must be acknowledged less sustained by the buoyancy of Marlowe's poetry The dramatic master piece of Marlowe however, is his one chronicle play Eduard Recurrence has been made in these pages more than once to this species of drama and early suggestions of it have been described in the figure of Bale's King Johan in the subject matter of Gorboduc and elsewhere A chronicle play is a drama based as to source on the chronicle history of Great Britain a history transformed into a play and it is conceivable that it may exist in many varieties Edward II which appears to have been first acted in 1592 is by no means an early speci men of its class In the probable collaboration with Peele Greene and Lodge we have met with such productions as Jack Straw The Troublesome Reign of King John The Conten tions of the Two Noble Houses of Lancaster and York and The Chronicle History of Richard Duke of Gloucester All are chronicle plays and so too in a sense are the plays on mythical British history Locrine The Misfortunes of Arthur and Aing Leir The epic character which imbues most of these plays was not preserved by Marlowe in his Edward II and it may be suspected that he wrote his play more for the tragic pathos which the story of the unkingly and discrowned sovereign exhales than for any other reason It is worth not ing however that in this tragedy Marlowe raised the whole species of the chronicle play to a higher artistic level and reached the crown of his own dramatic art The Tragedy of

Queen Dido, published as his in collaboration with Nash, in 1594, is below his independent work, and The Massacre at Paris, acted 1592-93, save for the character of the Duke of Guise, is distinctly inferior. Historically, however, this work is of interest, as apparently the earliest effort to apply the method of the chronicle play to the history of a contemporary foreign country, and it led to important things after. Marlowe has been thought a collaborator with the others of this group in several plays, Shakespearean and other. Henry VI, Titus once more and even Richard III among them. It seems, however, less consistent with his independent and insolent spirit thus to have submitted his genius to harness, and the degree of such servitude, if it were ever his, is likely to continue indeterminable

There remains one matter as to Marlowe His age, especially after his indubitably tragic death, acclaimed him, in the loose language of the time, "an atheist" Indeed Greene had touched him on this point in his Groatsworth of Wit, and, when Marlowe was killed, there was out against him a series of accusations, brought by a professional informer, named Baines, in which specific charges of this tenor were maliciously So far as we can make them out, the bases for set forth Marlowe's disrepute in these matters are several First, there was the association with Kett or at least with his ideas at Cambridge - many a man has been made or unmade by the reputation thrust upon him at college. Secondly, Marlowe was the personal friend of Sir Walter Raleigh and a choice circle of kindred spirits, poets and men of science who discussed many things with a greater freedom than the cautious orthodoxy of the age was likely to approve. The misfortunes and unpopularity of Raleigh in the next reign caused this little set of inquirers to be spoken of as a "school of atheists", but it was the zeal of the Tesuit, Father Parsons, that so dubbed them. Again, Marlowe was evidently a man of free and unguarded speech, imprudent and incapable of concealment. He may even have enjoyed the intentional mystification of such an unimaginative fool as the informer Baines, whose "Note Containing the opinion of one Christopher Marley concerning his damnable judgment of religion," be it remembered, is wholly ex parte More

¹⁷ See C F Tucker Brooke, The Authorship of the Second and Third Parts of King Henry VI, New Haven, 1912

serious than any of these things is an extant letter in which Thomas Kyd under indictment of a similar charge declare that Marlowe was arreligious adding that he was also in temperate and cruel of heart But Kvd was in difficulty him self and it was the cue of a cowardly spirit to shift as much of his misdemeanour as possible on the man who had shared his room and his writing table Fortunately we are not left in this matter wholly to conjecture A second document, turned up in the scholarly researches of Mr Boas not long since and flambovantly endorsed vile heretical conceits denying the deity of Jesus Christ our Saviour' turns out on examination to be a methodical defence based on scriptural texts of theistical or Unitarian doctrines' denying neither God nor the authority of the scriptures 18 Lastly let him who believes that Mar lowe ever said in his heart with the fool there is no God read the poignant scenes of *Doctor Faustus* We do not really know the circumstances of Marlowe's death He was buried June first 1593 in the churchyard of St Nicholas Deptford near Greenwich and in September of that year so little had been the noise of his death that Gabriel Harvey a notorious shoul reported that he had died of the plague The disgrace ful particulars which tradition has attached to the poet's death the quarrel the bawdy house the serving man and the rest - begin four years later when the Puritan author of The Theatre of God's Judgment employed the fate of this play maker and atheist as one of his warning examples of the vengeance of God In 1598 the parallels of Meres Wits Treasury a comparative discourse throughout of classical and English authors suggested that Marlove was stabbed to death by a rival of his in his lewd love ' for such was the parallel death of the Greek poet Lycophoron And so the matter grew until the poet became the aggressor and the was cut down in the very act of murder and blaspheming God It seems worth while that we should now recognise that the disgraceful particulars of the death of Marlowe are wholly the invention of pious ingenious

18 On these documents see Boas Works of Kid as above and J H Ingram Christopher Marlouse and his Associates 1904 Mr Ingram attacks the validity of these documents For a summary of the matter more at large than is possible here see the present author's Elizabethan Drama 1 234 ff

and untruthful good men who used this glaring example of the fate that befalls the ungodly to point a moral and adorn a tale

The youngest of "the predecessors of Shalespeare" is Thomas Nash, and he was truly "a university wit," although his touch with the history of the drama is really exceedingly slight Nash was born in 1567 and entered St. John's College before Marlowe left Cambridge, forming an association with that poet, further proved by the joint authorship, at an uncertain date, of The Tragedy of Dido However, the talents of Nash were of a different type, as the notable series of his entire al prose pamphlets, his controversies, the Marprelate ones and those with Harvey, go to show. While at college, Nash was in difficulties for a satirical Latin comedy, and his Isle of Gulls, in English and equally sharp of tongue we may believe, kept him a prisoner in the Fleet for months and was so successfully suppressed that we have not a shred of it. As a matter of fact but one dramatic composition remains from the pen of Nash — the masque-like comedy Summer's Last II ill and Testament, 1597, acted before the queen and a late following of Lyly's mythological and allegorical court drama of much claboration and exceedingly little plot.

If we turn back to the group of writers just discussed reviewing them as a whole, it is clear that while they formed in no sense a coterie, they must have been more or less intimately acquainted in a small city such as Elizibeth's London. We may assume that Lah dwelt more continuously in the precincts of the court; though Nash, Bohemian of the Bohemians, fought by his side in the Marprelate controvers. Nash, as we have seen, was associated with Marlowe and was Greene's champion against the attacks of Harvey Peele, Greene, and Lodge variously collaborated. Kyd, who was not a university man, appears to have stood apart from the group; and yet he was room-mate of Marlowe. It is a mistake to suppose most of these men actors Only of Peele are we certain, and it is not impossible that these university bred men may heartily have disdained "the quality," as the profession of acting was then designated. Indeed "the actor-playwright" originated, as we have seen, in personages such as illiterate Tarlton and Wilson with his belated moralities, wherefore Greene's attitude of resentment towards the "upstart crow beautified with our feathers," for Shakespeare too, was an actor-playwright

The third decade of the reign of Elizabeth (1579 1588) is the period of Lyly with whose popularity none could vie unless it may have been Dr Gager with his Latin plays at Oxford or an occasional academic success such as the comedy Pedantius the work of Anthony Wingfield or Edward Forcett staged at Cambridge in 1581 As to the popular stage the best that it could boast in the early eighties was Wilson's Lords and Ladies of London The Famous l'ictories of Tarlton and Peele's paro dies (may we believe them such?) Locrine the Senecan craze outdone and The Old Wines Tale lively take off of the he roical romances But in The Spanish Traveds Tamburlaine and Arden of Feversham English Tragedy sprang to maturity Enough has been said of the other two the outcome respectively and the protest against Senecanism Arden is the most truly indigenous of our earlier English tragedies Here is told with realistic and simple frankness the story of a futbless wife her infatuation for a coward beneath her and the busy plotting of the wretched couple to rid themselves of Arden, the unfortunate husband who suspects their amour. The story the unknown author found in Holinshed and it has been followed with a fidelity that might be called slavish were not the result so effec And yet the material has been well ordered and the personages rationalised to a degree that no other English tragedy had reached before the year 1590 There is a power in the conception of the character of Alice Arden and a dignity about her repentance that places her among the great heroines of Elizabethan drama, and justifies a curious inquiry into the authorship of tragedy of such superlative merit Arden has been thought the work of Kyd But surely its unvarnished tragic actuality is widely in contrast with the romantic spirit and heightened Senecinism of The Spanish Tragedy More strenuous has been the advocacy of Shakespeare's authorship concerning which it is sufficient here to say that the art of the author of Arden of Feversham is mature in its ease and re straint of style in its weight and power to sustain character and in its grim mastery of humour and a peculiar irony of its None of these qualities were Shakespeare's at any time before the year 1592 the date of the publication of Arden and moreover never is the quality of Shakespeare's art so divorced from the magic touch of poetry

The period from 1586 to 1593 is par excellence the period of Marlowe Therein are contained not only his own tragic

successes but those of his imitators, and the chronicle play develops by rapid strides from the stuttering attempts of Tarlton and the panoramic trilogy on Henry VI to the realisation of historical character in the older King John and the grasp of inevitable tragedy in Edward II. No less important here is the natural comedy of rural life, compassed by Greene in Friar Bacon and The Pinner of Wakefield and his success in the more serious romantic comedy of The Scottish History of King James IV Nor need we recur to other matters already sufficiently treated Happy as Shakespeare was in his art and his genius. he was no less fortunate in these his "predecessors". It is somewhat remarkable how thoroughly they prepared the ground before him with experiment in what he was afterwards to triumph Lyly offered to Shakespeare's imitation court manners and dialogue, wit and repartee, Greene the naturalness of every day comedy, its humour, on occasion, its pathos Kyd is the most constructive tragedian, touching with rough but not unskilful hand the psychology of revenge, while Marlowe gave the supreme example up to his time of tragic force and the power of the magic of poetry. And now having acted each his part, like well tried players, each hurried from the scene. Greene, Marlowe and Kyd were gone respectively in 1592, 1593 and 1594, Peele by 1597 Only Lyly and Lodge were left to know the future of the drama the foundations of which they had helped to lay. But Lyly's was a repute of the past, Lodge was now interested in other matters

CHAPTER IV

SHAKESPEARE AND HIS CONTEMPORARIES IN HISTORY AND ROMANTIC COMEDY

For the production of great works of art we are told that the man and the moment must conspire. The moment in the his tors of our drama had now arrived. The time of preparation and experiment was past. Early rivals had done their part in warning and example and now had gone their way speare were realised the ideals of Elizabethan life and thought about life as such ideals had never been realised before. In his dramatic poetry is to be found the breadth and heights of Eliza bethan hope and aspiration as well as an interpretation of the things that inevorably are in the fulness of their reality this last we sometimes repine, wishing in him more circum spection, more reserve after the manner of these our later days of propriety and innuendo. But the largeness of Shakespeare lies in his fidelity to the actualities of human life and conduct in all its phases and sweeps such as his take us both aloft into regions that we can see however they may remain unattainable and into the depths the petty nooks and crannies in which hide the littleness the baseness and even the bestiality of men Shakespeare's scope is the widest among poets and the most completely justified for he sees things in their true relations There need be no himits to the freedom of an art such as this for he is at will idealist realist sentimentalist and satirist unerringly where ideality realism sentiment or satire apply To see the world habitually through any one of these lenses is to be biased unsteady and afraid Shakespeare's is the cour age of freedom and we may commit ourselves unreservedly into his hands sure that wherever he may lead us ours is ever the steadying hand of truth in a cosmos sane ordered and eminently rational

As to the man in a work such as this there can assuredly be no need for the rehearsal of an often told tale. Shakespeare's extraction out of the sturdy yeoman stock of England, neither too high nor yet too low, was certainly no disadvantage to him Nor could he have been happier than in the midland place of his birth, however it leaves the critics at a nonplus to explain his wit as an inheritance of the leavening salt of Gaul or his poetry by that magic wherein he is easily first, although he was assuredly no Celt. In his education and earlier experiences in life, too, Shakespeare was fortunate In Stratford neither men nor ideas were crowded. There was time to think and time to dream, but who that knows those trifles of easy allusive memory, "Will Squele, a Cotswold man, and Perkes of the Hill," "Marian Hacket, the fat ale-wife of Wincot," or "the whisters in Dachet-mead," can suppose that in Stratford Shakespeare only thought and dreamed? A mayor's son might learn a little Latin and with it anatomize the character of a pedant in Holofernes or Hugh Evans A mayor's son, too, as a mere lad, might welcome the return, at no infrequent intervals, of player-folk from London and receive in their rude performances the powerful bias of his life, to be turned to an immediate and unexpected account when the necessity of providing for wife and child struck home to the youth of twenty

Of late the painful industry of generations of biographers of Shakespeare has been supplemented by a number of additional facts We learn that Shakespeare's name appears in a couple of subsidy lists as a delinquent in the payment of his share of certain grants to the queen, a matter accounted for by the entry that "the said William Shakespeare had removed from Bishopsgate (the neighbourhood of the Theatre) to the Liberty of the Clink in Southwark," a locality not far from the Globe Once more, in the case at law, Mountjoy vs Bellott, the name of Shakespeare occurs as a witness again and again of these occurrences he is described as "William Shakespeare of Stratford on Avon, gentleman," another is his deposition signed with his own hand. From these documents it further appears that Shakespeare lodged with Mountjoy, the plaintiff, who was a wig-maker and resided at the corner of Silver Street and Monkwell in the parish of St Olave, not far from Cripplegate We have thus no less than three localities of residence established for Shakespeare in London Another case incidentally describes at length the details of the organisation and process of sharing which characterised the company of players to which Shakespeare was for years attached By means of it we learn

that Shakespeare held originally a tenth share in the Globe theatre which by the admission of other sharers was finally reduced to a fourteenth though the process could have involved no decrease in the value of his share that he also owned a seventh interest in the private theatre in Blackfriars and that these shares seem originally to have been acquired merely by an agreement to assume responsibility for the rental and main tenance of these playhouses. In time these shares became very valuable but the statement of the plaintiff in this case that an interest such as Shakespeare's was worth £300 in the con temporary value of money and therefore £2000 or more in our money is clearly an exaggeration intended to increase damages Shakespeare was well off for his station and for his time and it is a credit to the discernment and taste of his contemporaries that his plays should have made Shakespeare's fortune another case turned up as were these two last in the Records Office by the industry of Professor C W Wallace is endorsed with the remarkable caption, Shakespeare vs Bacon 1 Tew will deny indeed that Shakespeare has had of late years owing to the activity of certain eccentric and uninstructed persons in cryptograms and in digging under English rivers an unusually good case against Bacon But unhappily the defendant in this Jacobean law suit was not Francis but an obscure Matthew Bacon who according to the poets bill of complaint dated April 26 1615 (just about a year before his death) was alleged wrongfully to have detained certain 'letters patent deeds evidences charters and writings concerning the title of Shakespeare and others plaintiffs to various houses 'within the precinct of Blackfriars in the city of London ference as to Shakespeare is not unimportant as it discloses him actively interested in his business and property ventures in the city to the last and not, as has hitherto been accepted in his latter years retired from them as from writing for the stage

Other new discoveries concerning Shirkespeare are less important however interesting. That he was paid 445 in gold 1 for the design of an impressa or semi heraldic pictorial badge with its attendant motto for the Earl of Rutland that

¹ See the various publications of C W Wallace in The London Standard Oct 1905 Englische Studten xxxv: 1906 The Times Oct 2 and 4, 1909 Harper's Maga vie March, 1910 the Gentury Maga zine Sent 1910 and elsewhere he was regarded by a splenetic contemporary critic of the Heralds' Office as one of those who "outrage truth and decency" in his endeavour to secure a coat of arms; that his father, described as "a merry cheeked old man," is reported to have said that "Will was a good, honest fellow, but he darst have cracked a jest with him at any time"

Conjecture is easy where the facts are so disconnected and remote, and there are many more varieties of pen portraits of Shakespeare than there are pictures of the day and of later fabrication that purport to record the features of his face With the plays before us and their attendant poems, with the circumstances of their writing so far as we know, their acting and their publication, all so natural and so absolutely in accord with the practises of the time, it is wantonly gratuitous to find any difficulty or invent any mystery about them. As to Shakespeare personally, we have a hundred contemporary testimonies and traditions galore that he was "excellent in the quality that he professed," that he was gentle, thoughtful and kindly, that he was capable and alert in argument. What more could we wish to know of his estimable nature, for example, than the fact that he "was adored on this side of idolatry," by a man like Jonson who customarily adored, however he may occasionally have approved them, few men and poets save himself As to the plays, judiciously considered and at large, they tell us indubitably what manner of man Shakespeare was, however they may fail in those petty matters biographical that men, infinitely meaner in their natures, may conceal with unimportant cunning in the pages of their works. We may assume with confidence that Shakespeare was neither abandoned sensualist, a sinner the loss of whose immortal soul was the price of his matchless experience in the world, nor yet an impeccable Prospero, exercising his art of legerdemain with a condescending pity for that human weakness and passion in which he had never shared There is no condescension in Shakespeare, and the absolute success with which he has made himself one with his personages, that actor's power that has enabled him to wear many masks, each for the nonce sympathetically, without once disclosing the actual face behind it, alone is sufficient to account for the many portraits that ingenious malice and ignorant adoration have contrived in distortion To the superficial reader who takes his impressions from the passage before him, and then, laying his Shakespeare aside,

generalises a cosmos from his chosen point of departure this great poet and philosopher must remain an enigma if not a subject for ingenious speculation. To him who knows the age his Shakespeare entire and the other writers that stood a mighty forest rich in undergrowth as well about him to him who remembers that the first condition of the drama consists in a 'notable feigning and in the sinking of the author's self in his personages there is no higher example of order and consistency than the works of Shakespeare With his hundreds of personages and thousand situations expressing opinion and idea we can usually tell none the less the author's position and learn his attitude towards the personages involved this attitude is commonly more charitable more kindly more logical too and just than our own Wherefore Shakespeare's consistent fulfilment of the highest function of poetry that of offering us a guide to a wider and truer outlook on life

The details of Shakespeare's career as a dramatic writer are as irrecoverable as are similar details concerning his contem poraries who were similarly circumstanced in life danger in assuming too early and phenomenal a success for the countrybred lad of Stratford Shakespeare even must have needed time in which to learn and to observe. At any rate he must have been well past the period of mere apprenticeship by the year 1500 for two years later he had attracted the envy of Greene and inspired his slighting allusions in a Groatsworth of Wit together with Chettle's recognition and apology in Aind Heart's Dream which immediately followed. In the succeed ing years come the dedications of Venus and Adonis and of Lucrece to Shakespeare's patron the Earl of Southampton and the beginnings of the long series of entries of published plays in the Stationers Register Shakespeare had found himself by the date of the death of Marlowe and the town had recognised him As to the manner of his apprenticeship there is no rea son to believe it different from that of other playwrights Shakespeare must first have been 'a hired man' or assistant about the theatre charged with bringing out and putting away the properties and aiding the actors and sharers He was un doubtedly employed very early in small parts and possessing less the genius of mimicry than that of creation never rose to the repute of his friend Richard Burbage as an actor Tra dition relates that Adam in As You Like It and the ghost in Hamlet were roles of Shalespeare Clearly a sonorous voice

and a fine bearing must have been imperative in the latter part Perhaps, as Professor Matthews believes, Shakespeare preferred the rôles of thoughtful elderly men. The folio of Ben Jonson's Works, 1616, is witness that Shakespeare took a part in the Roman tragedy of Sejanus and in the comedy of Every Man In His Humour, but what parts it is impossible to say The listing of actors came into vogue only late in Shakespeare's career, hence the paucity of our information on the subject But Shakespeare's opportunity came otherwise In the incessant revision to which acting dramas were subject under the exigencies of revival, performance at court, in the provinces, with a greater or a smaller number of players, a ready pen and inventive dramatic cleverness were in urgent demand. And here Shakespeare was able to show his mettle The degree of Shakespeare's participancy in the plays assigned by common consent to the earliest period of his authorship must remain matter of perennial debate, for the evidence recoverable is and must continue insufficient, however assured we may feel of the general proposition. It is not enough for the critic to feel in his inner consciousness that Shakespeare could or could not possibly have written this, that or the other line or passage, his doubts must be based on external evidences, however graceful a superstructure of inference his ingenuity may be able subsequently to rear For example, take the whole vexed question of the trilogy of plays on Henry VI, included by general consent and the sanction of the folios in all editions of Shakespeare For the first of these plays, no version save that of the folio exists, the other two occur in a very different form as the first and second parts of The Contention between the Two Noble Houses of York and Lancaster, printed in 1594 A comparison of this version with that of the folio discloses a line for line revision of the two Contentions, a correction of obvious mistakes and an occasional reordering of material in the interest of a more effective dramatic presentation. As to the first part of Henry VI, there is no opportunity for such a comparison; but there is a striking allusion by Nash to the extraordinary success, in 1592, of a play in which Talbot figured as a hero in his warfare against the French The scenes that concern Talbot in the first part of Henry VI, as we have it, are written with peculiar animation as compared with many other scenes of the same play The all but certain inference is that the success of this revision of an earlier and now lost

version of the play now known as Shakespeare's first part of Henry VI was due to Shakespeare's insertion or rewriting of the scenes that depict Talbot Once more, there remains ex tant an old drama in two parts called The Troublesome Reign of Aing John which covers very nearly the ground traversed by Shakespeare's play on that king Here comparison reveals a different process What Shakespeare did was to retain the general course of events and the personages of the old play, and then refashion the material into one drama of a superior unity and workmanship. But it was not in the chronicle play alone that we find Shakespeare thus working over old material Similarly it is problematic to what extent Shakespeare's hand remade The Taming of a Shrew into The Taming of the Shrew certainly the scenes between Katharine and Petruchio are thoroughly remodelled while even as to Romeo and Juliet existent in two Shakespearean versions who knows had we the lost tragedy alluded to by Brooke as on the stage three years before the birth of Shakespeare that the case might not exhibit a parallel in the matter of revision to that of Aing John?

This period of work with the material of other men must have been well over for Shakespeare before the death of Mar lowe more definitely than this it is impossible to speak with confidence And now there succeeded a time of experiment and imitation When Shakespeare first came up to London the popular stage was ringing with the successes of Kyd and Mar lowe and tragedy and chronicle history held the vogue of the moment If Shakespeare had any hand in Titus Andronicus which the present writer would like to believe that he had not this must have been the time when writing of matter at the furthest extremity from his own feelings and experience he strained his art to outdo the grewsomeness and horror of popularised Seneca in this revolting tragedy We are on safer ground when we turn to Richard III the most Marlowesque of the Shakespearean dramas Richard is conceived by the help of tradition and previous stage representation as a monster of moral depravity a figure of heroic proportions and heroic wickedness and perfid; stalking through life regardless of any thing but his own ruthless ambition. This is Marlowe's con ception of the tragic protagonist and comparable not only in conception but likewise in execution - in a certain largeness of phrase force of passion and objectiveness of poetic spirit with Tamburlaine Faustus and Barabas the heroes of Mar

Plainly the young Shakespeare recognised this commanding genius in tragedy and strove here to rival him in his own art In comedy, too, Shakespeare was at first equally imitative, and here his supreme example was as naturally at Comedy lagged after her sister tragedy on the popular stage, and there could be little choice of models between the jejune moralities of Wilson, heroical absurdities such as Fan Em or even light, trivial Mucedorus and the finished comedies of Lyly at court Love's Labour's Lost is Shakespeare's endeayour to write an original comedy in the manner of Lyly Shakespeare's play is as politically allusive and as personally satirical, as bright and packed with wit and repartee. And it has, too, the Lylvan quality of having been carefully prepared and of not always being resonant with the timbre of spontaneity Shakespeare threw aside mythology and allegory in his play which Lyly had for the most part preserved To mythology Shakespeare afterwards returned for atmosphere in A Midsummer Night's Dream, the latest of his plays to be affected by Lyly's art of the court Love's Labour's Lost has the distinction of being the only play of Shakespeare's in which the plot has not been traced to an extraneous source in whole or in part Clever and interesting though it is, its picture of the conversation and manners of gentlewomen and courtiers is amateurish, however excellent a copy of like converse in the comedies of Lyly It is perhaps worthy of note that in both Love's Labour's Lost and A Midsummer Night's Dream a play is attempted within the play by ridiculous amateurs before great people, and in both the conduct of the great as to these well meant endeavours is not above reproach on the score of consideration and common civility Could Shakespeare have known the merciless banter that takes advantage of intrenched position? Or did Shakespeare laugh, as most of us are wont to laugh, with the majority and feel, even as early as Love's Labour's Lost, the professional's contempt for that creature, most loathed of gods and men, the would-be player.

Shakespeare made no second attempt at the allusive court drama of Lyly, but he tried other experiments. The fun and mischance of disguise, already well represented in Gascoigne's Supposes and in the intrigue of Roman comedy, now attracted his attention and The Comedy of Errors was the result, a play in which the improbabilities of mistaken identity, as exhibited in his source the Menæchmi of Plautus, are frankly seized and

doubled and all attempt at characterisation is as frankly and rationally sacrificed to farcical situation But although Shake speare employed the mistake in identity again (in Viola and her brother Sebastian in Twelfth Night for example), The Comedy of Errors remains his only experiment his one success Plautine comedy With The Two Gentlemen of Verona Shakespeare found his bent in comedy Free from the allu sive satire of Lyly the intrigue of Plautus and the impeding morality element of Wilson here was a romantic love story told dramatically for its own sake with opportunity for event, char acter and situation all harmoniously to develop into artistic The Two Gentlemen of Verona is not among the most successful comedies of Shakespeare but it is the first comedy of a kind destined to become exceedingly popular and a produc tion of extraordinary promise Moreover it presages many of the personages that Shakespeare afterwards worked up into a completer realisation. Julia the resourceful maiden arrayed as a page seeking her lover yet womanly withal Lucetta, the pert waiting woman the contrasted two gentlemen faithful and the recreant Launce the droll serving man all are sketches subsequently developed and differentiated among the enduring comedy folk of Shakespeare

To return to the chronicle play if Shakespeare imitated the gast and manner of Marlowe in Richard III he had that poet almost equally in mind in Richard II Edward II must have been Marlowe's latest play It was published very shortly after his death and we have no reason to doubt that it main tained to the full the repute of his earlier dramas The theme of Eduard II details the fate of an unkingly king one whose unfitness to rule and wanton disregard for the obligations of his office and his manhood convert him into a pitiable object dethroned disgraced and at last miserably murdered only other English monarch whose career and end can be de scribed in terms almost identical was Richard II the careless and dishonourable son of the Black Prince It seems incredible that Shakespeare should have chosen such a theme and produced his Richard II not long after the death of Marlowe, without a full recognition of his own daring Moreover whatever its similarity in subject, Richard II is written with an independence and spirit new as compared with Shakespeare's previous efforts in the chronicle play Richard II is Shakespeare rivalling Mar lowe but free from his leading strings and example for what could be further removed from the Marlowesque hero than the poetic egotist and poseur, Richard II, consoled in the hour of his discrowning and almost in the very moment of his taking off by his theatrical sense of picturesqueness. Richard II is Shakespeare's first great study in character, for John was a copy, and Richard Crookback the imitation of an established tradition. In the tragedy of King Richard II Shakespeare emerged absolutely from tutelage in serious drama to display unmistakably that fine scrutiny into the mainsprings of human passion and conduct, ever subordinated to artistic and dramatic limitations, that distinguishes him above other poets

It is familiar to students and to most general readers that our popularly accepted chronology of the Shakespearean plays is the result of a consensus of scholarly opinion and that much of it is founded on inference and argument, neither lightly to be disturbed nor yet to be accepted otherwise than in a spirit of hopeful and provisional faith. The famous mention by Francis Meres, in 1598, of twelve plays by name has been described as "our one rock of certainty in a sea of surmise" Yet even this rock is not absolutely secure. Meres' book is entitled Palladis Tamia, or Wit's Treasury, "a comparative discourse of our English poets with the Greek, Latin and Italian poets," and his method requires a nice balancing of names, titles, and characteristics, in all of which he is somewhat priggish, over. Meres may not have been infallible. His testimony in including Titus Andronicus among the tragedies of Shakespeare has fastened that dubious clog about the poet's neck; and the critics are still happily undecided as to what comedy Meres could have had in mind under his title Love's Labour's Won. Was it All's Well That Ends Well or Much Ado About Nothing where Beatrice and Benedick in a sense both win? Was it The Taming of the Shrew wherein the hapless Katharine is won with labour but assuredly not with love? Or may it have been some comedy, now lost save for this solitary record of its title? Moreover does the mention of just twelve plays by Meres preclude the possibility of Shakespeare's having written more, unknown to this pragmatic critic of 1598? As to the order of the plays of Shakespeare thus far mentioned, leaving the revisions of the plays on Henry VI aside, the poet seems to have been busy with the three experimental comedies, Love's Labour's Lost, The Comedy of Errors, and The Two Gentlemen of Verona, up to the close of 1591, when apparently

he turned seriously to chronicle history writing Ling John Richard III and Richard II perhaps in this order during 1592 and 1593 and then turning back to comedy in The Merchant of Venice and A Midsummer Night's Dream in 1594 and 1595 Romeo and Juliet published in a form suggesting revision in 1597 comes in somewhere here and in 1597 too Shakespeare continues his work in the chronicle play with the first part of Henry IV. So much for the generally accepted order of Shakespeare's drams up to the date of the list of Meres.

And now Shakespeare bloomed forth in the full strength of his dramatic maturity freed once and for all from competi tion that he need never to have feared In some respects there is no completer example of Elizabethan dramatic art than The Merchant of Venice however our appreciation may be staled by the base use of this exquisite comedy in childhood for educational purposes' Here is a just intermingling of romance with the hard actualities of life passion trembling on the verge of tragedy and comedy charmingly triumphant after To the Elizabethan Shylock was the ogre in the fairs tale a mixture of the fearful and the comically grotesque. An tonio was the Christian gentleman who spat upon him as a creature noisome and righteously detested Bassanio the gentle man adventurer frankly a suitor for the golden Portia's wealth as much as for herself and unashamed Modernity plays frightful pranks with the artless truth of our old drama and nowhere more so than in this play We sigh as we think of Portia sacrificed to the fortune hunting Bassanio and recognis ing modern perhaps Ibsenesque examples of like occurrences wonder if the couple could possibly have been happy after. In Antonio we find that his heartlessness in not claiming the Jew from the first as a brother is really the cause of his all but un doing and we feel dissatisfied that the drama should not have ended as a tragedy. But our greatest transformation is that of Shylock in whom some unwise critics have discovered the prophetic answer to current anti Semitism Save for the u1 filial daughters of King Lear and Iago perhaps Shakespeare has scarcely ever drawn a personage wholly and irrevocably bad and that is because he is so unaffectedly true to human nature The pathos of Shylock is totally of nineteenth century manu facture and as absurd as it is gratuitous. It is referable like our modern shudder at the robust punishment meted out to the Jew to our emasculated contemporary sentimentality that habit

ually meddles with clumsy hand to interpose between human acts of folly and criminality and their logical consequences. Least of all writers does Shakespeare need our help in reading into his works high ideals, fine distinctions and the metaphysics of twentieth century conduct. Barring some cases in which the conventions of his time clung about him, as they must about all whose lot is mortal, Shakespeare's are the ethics of all time, ours, when we seek to expand his humanity or explain away the conditions of the world in which he lived, are ridiculous and

misguided

A Midsummer Night's Dream is Shakespeare's latest return to the manner of the old court drama The intention of this play for a performance at court or as part of the entertainment on the occasion of a noble marriage has been thought sufficient to explain its nature in this particular Whether the comedy is to be interpreted as containing no more than a passing allusion in complimentary terms to the Earl of Leicester's courtship, some twenty years before, of the imperial votress fancy free or much more, now hidden from us by the lapse of time, must depend largely on the success of ingenious scholarship matters it is as easy to treat the subject carelessly with preconceived ideas as to probabilities drawn from our own contemporary experiences as it is to carry our own interpretations of this old drama into details that must remain forever beyond Indubitably the age was fond of enigmas, involved allusions, veiled compliments to monarchy and the nobility and the like, and Shakespeare was not above his time in these respects. witness the allusions to Elizabeth in this play and the more direct ones in Henry V to the friend of his patron Southampton the unfortunate Earl of Essex² More interesting to us is Shakespeare's composite art in this fanciful comedy and his delightful uplift of the fairy-lore of his country into a dainty mythology that has set the standard in this particular for all literature that has followed What for example, could be more unpromising than the current superstitions of the countryside as to that uncouth oaf, Lob-Lie-by-the-Fire, a lout and the conception of louts, and the malicious goblin Robin Goodfellow, fused and transformed into the lithesome and volatile Puck, winged servant of those delightful little creatures Oberon

² A Midsummer Night's Dream, 11, 1 148-174, Henry V, the chorus preceding Act V

and Titania with their human foibles and bickerings and their delicate retinue Cobweb Mustardseed Pease Blossom and the rest. And then the daring juxtaposition of these sliphs with the delicious humour of Bottom and his base mechanicals the wandering lovers in the mazes of the wood and the semi-classical figures of Theseus and Hyppolita a background for all Never has there been art more unorthodox more incongruous more warranted in its success than this. We have only to compare Shakespeares fairies with those of his contemporaries. Jon son or Drayton say for example to see how immeasurably he stands above them in this world of imaginative finicy as in that which has to do with the realities of human passion.³

It was about 1596 or 1597 that Shakespeare turned once more to the chronicle play to reach in the trilogy of Henry II' and Henry V the height of Elizabethan attainment in this species of drama In these plays Shakespeare returns to the epic quality of this variety of drama and retains another early feature their mingling with deeds of high historic import an invented underplot of humorous relief. For his material the poet has recourse as usual to Holmshed's Chronicles of Eng land his customary quarry where the history of his native coun try was in question but as usual with Shakespeare in his earlier work here too an old play intervened to suggest the subject The play in question is the half illiterate Famous History of Henry V ascribed to Richard Tarlton In no place has Shake speare so transfigured the old material although the sugges tion of the relations between Prince Hal and his austere father the scene of the purloining of the crown and even the hint of a humorous companion of the Prince, old stout unvenerable and named Oldcastle all come from the older play of Oldcastle a couple of allusions in Shakespeare's text point to the circumstance that that was the name under which Falstaff first appeared in Shakespeare's first part of Henry IV Noth ing could have been more unsuitable than such a misrepresenta tion of the famous Lollard nobleman of old time and whether because of objection by those interested or for other reasons the name of Sir John Falstaff was soon substituted for the part

³ On the general subject see the present writer s Some Features of the Supernatural as represented in Plays of the Reigns of Clizabeth and James Modern Philology 1 June 1903 Such an opportunity was not to be lost and in Sir John Old-castle, a rambling chronicle play by Michael Drayton and three collaborators, "the true story of Oldcastle" was set on a rival stage and the Shakespearean personage, Falstaff, was frankly imitated in the character of the "humorous" hedge priest Sir John of Wrotham It was the success of Falstaff that encouraged not only his appearance in 2 Henry IV but the composition of The Merry Wives of Windsor which was written, according to an old tradition, at the request of Elizabeth who was desirous of seeing Sir John in love

Falstaff was by far the most popular comedy figure on the Elizabethan stage, he is more frequently mentioned in contemporary allusion than any other personage and was again and again imitated but never approached. The idea of a group of "irregular humourists," as they have been called, such as Falstaff and his rout of folly, may have been suggested by the immediate success of the moment, Jonson's comedy, Every Man In His Humour Certainly Ancient Pistol, with his playhouse phrases and his Cambyses vein of rant and bombast, is a personage simply enough compact of "humours," and so is Nym and, to a less extent, bottle-nosed Bardolph As to Falstaff, the complexity of his personality and the triumphant transcendency of his wit stand out immeasurably beyond anything that Jonson, with all his power and constructive ingenuity, ever compassed It is a moot question as to whether the Falstaff of The Merry Wives is really the same personage as Prince Henry's resourceful, and incomparable companion in arms and mischief, and assuredly it is something of a shock to find the Hector of Dame Quickly and Doll Tearsheet, he who fought with fierce Percy of the North for a long hour by Shrewsbury clock — for have we not his word for it? — reduced to the adventure of the buck-basket and to pinching at the hands of mock fairies to reduce his lecherous blood might not be difficult to show that an absolute artistic logic rules the character of Falstaff throughout the plays in which he appears Indeed nowhere is Shakespeare's fidelity to human nature and to those invisible laws that rule human nature more consummately exercised than here With a personal charm absolutely irresistible we recognise none the less in Falstaff to the full his worthlessness, his immorality, his chicanery and incurable grossness and we approve while we regret the rigour of the Prince's final repudiation of him, however we share

Dame Quickly's pious hope expressed in her pathetic account of his death that 'he is in Arthur's bosom

That Shakespeare was led on from Richard II his earliest independent study of historical character to the plays on Henry IV seems considering their probable sequence in point of time altogether likely Politic Henry Bolingbroke offered a striking the skipping king contrast to Richard and no less dis tinctly is Henry represented once more in contrast with the son whom he so little understood as the Prince is set against his engaging rival Hotspur A fine heroic spirit pervades Shakespeare's scenes of the old chivalric warfare to take joy in the barbarism of these internecine feuds their pageantry pomp of war and ceremony lent themselves admirably to Shakespeare's artist's sense of the picturesque while the deeper well springs of thought and action which life involves offered him his true theme to raise his historical plays im measurably above the contemporary craft of most of his competitors It is difficult for the educated modern reader to do justice to the historical personages of Shakespeare because he is habituated to think so absolutely in their terms thetic child figure of Prince Arthur the monstrous Richard III calculating Bolingbroke smitten with the mouldering fire of remorse thick spoken impetuous Hotspur devout heroic Henry V - these princes of England have received like many a lesser personage the stamp of Shakespeare's royal mint once and for all and mere history may interpret and explain as it will the impression of the master poet alone remains lasting usual to think of Shakespeare as a patriotic writer which of our poets has devoted a third of his activity to the celebration of the heroic deeds of English men and princes? And which has accepted love of country so unaffectedly so as a thing to feel and not to prate about as this same gentle Elizabethan? To the noble list of his chronicle plays Shake speare was to add but one more Henry I III and that at a later time when the recent death of Elizabeth called the atten tion of the nation to the annals of the Tudor princes which were staged in play after play as we write up the career of a deceased monarch in our newspapers upon his demise we shall not follow now but turn back to some of Shakespeare's immediate contemporaries in romantic comedy and history more particularly during the last dozen years of the old queen's reign

Shakespeare wrote many more chronicle plays than any one of his fellow playwrights, but several of them joined, as others had preceded him, in this endeavour to place history in a series of vivid epic and dramatic scenes on the popular boards Were we looking so wide afield, it might not be difficult to make clear that this vogue of historical drama was only one manifestation of the national consciousness which the repulse of the Armada and other English successes in arms and diplomacy had fanned into blaze In literature this begot, besides these patriotic plays, the ponderous prose chronicles of Halle, Holinshed and Stow, innumerable lesser "histories" and biographies, and poems lyric, epic and topographical such as those of Daniel, Drayton, Warner and more 4 To return to the drama, the rise of the chronicle play in the hands of the predecessors of Shakespeare, Greene, Peele, Marlowe and the rest, and the place of earlier chronicle histories such as the older King John, the older plays on Richard III and the two "Contentions" which concern the Wars of the Roses and furnished Shakespeare with materials, are matters already sufficiently discussed Besides these more kingly plays, there were, in the nineties, several biographical chronicles, as they may be called, that differed very little from the main species in their conduct and subject-matter Such a play is the anonymous Sir Thomas More which so prudent a critic as Spedding once thought good enough in parts for Shakespeare's hand Such, too, was The History of Thomas Lord Cromwell, the capable Machiavellian minister of Henry VIII and that of Captain Stukeley in which is set forth the career of a fascinating adventurer who endeavoured to carve out a kingdom for himself and found comfort and abetment among the enemies of England, to die at last an heroic death as the ally of Don Sebastian against the Moors at the battle of Alcazar

An important name among the immediate competitors of Shakespeare in the chronicle play is that of Thomas Heywood, long to maintain, as we shall see, for other dramatic work, a high place in the favour of the lovers of popular drama. Heywood began experimentally, as did Shakespeare, and one of his earliest endeavours was chronicle history. The subject-matter of this early attempt, Heywood found in the annals of King

⁴ For a list of these works and their relations to the historical drama, see the present writer's The English Chronicle Play, 1902

Edward IV, but he combined with material merely historical the pathetic story of Jane Shore the royal mistress thus giving to his scenes a bias towards the domestic drama in which he was later to reach his most permanent recognition Eduard IV is a rambling production containing however many scenes not only dramatically espable but reaching the heart with that unaffected pathos of which this old poet was one of the masters I have not hesitated elsewhere to declare that touching little scene in which the two youthful princes children of King Edward are represented in the Tower separated from their mother and their protectors with the shadow of murder stalk ing towards them as capable of holding its own in its natural simplicity against the poetry that Shakespeare employs to de scribe the same situation 5 and the whole episode of Jane Shore and her relations to her wronged husband is as wholesome ethically as it is effective from a dramatic point of view. But all this is little of the stuff of chronicles The Heywood of later years and achievement will claim our attention in his place Edward IV represents the chronicle history diverted into a drama of the domestic relations Other interests entered in to dilute history thus the anonymous Look About You 1599 although the story includes King John among its personages is mainly a diverting comedy of disguises. Munday and Chet tles two plays on Robert Earl of Huntingdon (otherwise Robin Hood) 1598 concern also the unlawful pursuit by the same John Lackland of Matilda Lord Fitz Walter's daugh while many other plays make the historical setting of the scene in the reign of a given English sovereign simply the background of a play of totally different interest less it is possible to gather together of dramas treating English kings and historical personages a goodly number. And this can be extended to more than a hundred titles if we include plays on subjects set in the scene of the mythological Britain of Geoffrey of Monmouth and his like To this class belong Gorboduc Macbeth and Aing Lear as well as many plays the titles only of which have been handed down The easy faith of a credulous age made no attempt to distinguish between the historical authenticity of Brutus founder of Britain Merlin the magician or King Arthur with his knights of an impossible chivalric age and such personages as Edward I the Black Prince or Henry Monmouth and the playwrights scraped up

⁵ Ibid D 147

every adventure, every war, intrigue and petty conspiracy, and added matter of their own from British and Roman times to the coming of the Saxons, Danes and Normans, to say nothing more of later times There is no English monarch from Edward the Confessor and William the Conqueror to Philip and Mary who is unrepresented in some one of these plays and some of them, as for example Richard III, enter into half a dozen plays or more 6 When James came to the throne he might have seen the "facts" of the Gowry conspiracy, a personal adventure of his own, enacted on the stage The age was free spoken and, certain matters of state, religion and foreign affairs excepted, any man might say what he liked. Elizabeth meddled very little with freedom in such matters and most of the cases of royal intervention, that have come down to us in her reign and even in the next, are referable to the complaints lodged by an ambassador or other foreigner of importance One matter regarding a play of Shakespeare deserves a word in this connection. It appears that in 1599, when the conspiracy of Essex was in process, several of his followers induced the Chamberlain's men (Shakespeare's company) to act before them a play "of King Harry the IVth and of the killing of Richard II" by promising the players "forty shillings more than their ordinary for it" Elizabeth was very much affected by this and afterwards told her Recorder of the Tower, Lambarde, that by Richard she herself was intended and her dethronement aimed at therein 7. It may be remarked that the scene of Richard's deposition in Richard II does not appear in any of the quarto editions of that play and that it was restored to its place in the text for the first time in the folio, years after Shakespeare's death

The chief contemporary rivals of Shakespeare in the production of chronicle plays were the playwrights employed by Philip Henslowe who was the backer and exploiter of two or three theatrical companies, and who fortunately left behind him a Diary, as it has been called, of which we shall hear much more in the next chapter. Henslowe employed many poets and the habit of collaboration was prevalent among them, sometimes as many as four — as in the familiar case of Oldcastle, the work of Drayton, Chettle, Munday and Wilson — engaging in a

⁶ See the table and classification of these plays in the same

⁷ See Nichols, Progresses of Queen Elizabeth, 111, 552

single play It was thus that a ready supply was to be had for an immediate demand, and the only wonder is that work thus hastily and even perfunctorily done should often remain so vital and readable As to the authors just named, Michael Drayton was the friend of Jonson and Shakespeare and became in later years the most popular successor of Spenser in general He appears to have been somewhat ashamed of his connection with the stage and covered up his tracks with a success discouraging to the modern investigator Henry Chettle is memorable aside from one extant play as the editor of Greene's notorious Groatsworth of Wit and for his own apology to Shakespeare in his pamphlet Kind Heart's Dream soon after Robert Wilson the younger is distinguishable from Robert Wilson the elder author of The Three Lords and Ladies of London and of him we know little more while Munday was a well known balladist translator and pamphleteer in addition to his contribution of much loose work to the drama men together with Day Wilkins Haughton Hathway and several others continuously appear in Hensloue's Diary though their work was by no means confined to the chronicle play but ranged through every variety of drama which the teeming imaginations of their fertile time could invent

Besides his Eduard IV Heywood is the author of one of the less interesting of the group of plays which chronicle Tudor subjects If You know Not Me You know Nobody 1604 This rambling production concerns the life of the late Queen as did likewise Dekker's strange allegorical Whore of Babylon in which suffice it to say King Henry VIII appears as the fairy King Oberon Of the same date and kindred in title to Heywood's If You know Not Me is Samuel Rowley's When You See Me You know Me the coarse and occasionally ribald scenes of which detail events in the life at court of the same monarch much as they might have been seen from below stairs and traditionally reported The relation of this play to Shake speare's King Henry VIII (at some time known to the stage as All Is True) appears in the prologue of the latter. Its probable later revision by Fletcher does not concern us here Still another chronicle play of the Tudor group is the slightly earlier Sir Thomas Wyatt 1602 in which the well known dramatists Dekker and Webster collaborated to tell of the un fortunate young conspirator who attempted to antedate the accession of Oueen Elizabeth by a few months to his own complete undoing Among authors in the kindred group of plays on mythological British history we find Thomas Lodge accredited by some with the older version of the story of King Lear, 1593, which he spelled "Leir" and concluded happily, and Robert Armin, the comic actor, author of The Valiant Welshman, 1595, 2 mediocre play on Caractacus diluted with much invention The Birth of Merlin and The Shoemaker a Gentleman, both interesting works of William Rowley, later the distinguished collaborator of Thomas Middleton, and Middleton's own Mayor of Queenborough, in which is told the supposedly historical matters relating to the first landing of the Saxons on British soil, are all deserving the attention of the student of our English historical drama however their subjects stretch out into the domain of sheer fiction

A romantic spirit informs much of this material and this is true likewise of the kindred group that sets forth the adventures of Englishmen beyond the seas, of notorious pirates and other matters as strange as circumstantial Thus The Travails of Three English Brothers by Day, Rowley and Wilkins is a hastily dramatized version of the actual experiences of the Shirley brothers in Italy, Russia and Persia, transferred direct from a contemporary pamphlet, and A Christian Turned Turk by Robert Daborne is even less, and might be called a dramatized penny-dreadful On the other hand, in Fortune by Land and Sea, Heywood and Rowley appreciated the possibilities of a current story wherein a young man of broken fortune and in disgrace, retrieves the past by a brave and happy capture of redoubtable pirates Even more full and buoyantly smacking of the salt of the sea is Heywood's fine play in two parts, The Fair Maid of The West in which womanly faith and devotion triumphs most unconventionally in a drama set in Plymouth, at the court of the Sultan of Morocco and especially on the high seas between These plays come somewhat later, in the early days of King James It was in happy, off-hand dramas such as these, taking up as they often did the current topic of patriotic, curious or other interest, that Henslowe sought to rival in his several play-houses the learning of Jonson and the genius of Shakespeare The not infrequent success of ephemeral drama and fiction lies in the very circumstance that it is such Which of us has not given the preference to a newspaper account of a contemporary scoundrel while poetry and serious literature lay close at hand and postponed? We may

assume that many of these plays of our lesser drama reached their auditors as they can never reach the belated reader of to day for they were above all things timely fresh and calculated for the consumption of the moment. And the moment passed they passed with it. It is a curious commentary on art that that which is most up to date as we express it is in variably the least calculated to carry beyond the present. It is satily to the credit of the Elizabethan age that it was able so unerringly to choose between the plays of the henchmen of Henslowe which it suffered to lie to a large extent unpublished and the permanent dramas of Shakespeare and his greater fel lows which his contemporaries not only attended to applicable the purchased for after reading in a remarkable number of editions if we take into consideration the population of the time and the

illiteracy of the lower orders

We found the romantic drama rising to art in the tragedies of Kyd and Marlowe while comedy flourished at court with Lyly to find in Greene all too chary a popular exponent have traced too Shakespeare's earlier career as a writer of comedies and turn now to other developments of the romantic spirit as well as to Shakespeare's fellows in that lighter art before proceeding to consider Shakespeare's own later career It will be recalled that one of the earliest manifestations in the drama of that craving of human nature for the novel and the strange that we denominate the romantic we found in a variety of play which we called the heroical drama. Plays of this type developed directly out of the moralities and were closely akin to the prose and metrical romances that formed so staple an article of the literary diet of our medieval forefathers their general kind Marlowe contributed the conqueror play represented by Tamburlaine Greene his dramatization of Orlando Furioso and the writers of chronicle plays their dramas of Merlin Arthur and other heroes of the Round Table Even more strictly of the type are such productions as Charle magne an anonymous work of about 1590 which Mr Bullen printed under the title of The Distracted Emperor The Thra cian Wonder (of dubious authorship and doubtless first acted about 1598) and The Dumb Knight as late as 1607 or 1608 the work of two very young writers Machin and Markham who were following older example as the uninspired young are apt to do All of these plays deal in knightly prowess heroic combat remarkable adventure and they occasionally add the

element of magic, although this last led to the differentiation of another group They must have enjoyed an unusual vogue, with the citizens of London in particular, as the titles of many now no longer extant - Dick Whittington, The Life of Sir Thomas Gresham with the Founding of the Royal Exchange for example - would go to prove, and they reached the height of their absurdity in Heywood's Four Prentices of London which must have been acted not later than 1594. In this preposterous performance (wherein Heywood is clearly writing down to his auditors), we hear how the good old Earl of Bulloigne, suffering from poverty, apprenticed his four sons to four honourable trades in London, how they and their sister as well—the last clad as the inevitable page—went forth into the world to carve out each his own fortune, how each won a kingly crown and their sister a royal husband, and all were reunited at the siege of Jerusalem Such material begot its own antidote in satire. Beaumont's famous Knight of the Burning Pestle is a take-off on the whole class of heroical romances and on Heywood's play in particular. It was the work of a clever young literary man of whom we shall hear considerably more in this volume and appears to have been somewhat belated in point of time, as it was not printed until The Knight of the Burning Pestle is an apprentice lad who is literally thrust upon the stage and into the midst of the play by his master and mistress to act a burlesque part in accompaniment to other parody of the heroical drama We are not surprised to learn that the city that so acclaimed The Four Prentices should not have appreciated the joke. The drama has seldom been reformed by means of parody and it was reserved to more cultivated and courtly auditors of the next reign to appreciate to the full this remarkably clever production that resembled in spirit more than it borrowed in kind of Cervantes' immortal Don Quixote

However, romantic comedy less outrageously absurd than The Four Prentices and its like was not wanting among the playwrights contemporary with the young manhood of Shakespeare. But with them for the most part it is found as a component element in plays made up of other material as well. Thus the charming episode of Margaret and Lacy in Greene's Friar Bacon is subsidiary to the element of magic for which the comedy exists, as the graver royal temptation of Lady Salisbury in Edward III and the courtship of Cordella by "the

Gallian Prince in King Leir each is but an episode in a chronicle play So too The Weakest Goeth to the Wall is a romantic comedy of Italian origin told history wise and A Anack to Know an Honest Man also of unknown authorship with its duels its banishments and tests of lovalty in friendship and love comes nearer to material of Shakespeare naive though its spirit than many a more ambitious performance

Among Shakespeare's contemporaries in whom the romantic note in comedy ruled however the stridency of other tones the result of forced work and necessity, came to mar it is Thomas There is an unaffected tenderness about certain of his work that is as engaging as it is rare. Among early com edies of Dekker none is so pervaded with poetry as Old For tunatus 1500 in which is told the old tale of folk lore of the beggar who offered by Fortune his choice of 'wisdom strength health beauty long life and riches chose the last and despite the addition of the wishing cap to his wealth dies unhappy this with the continuance of the story of the sons of Fortunatus the whole set in an allegorical contention of Vice with Virtue is admirably poetic. No subsequent work of Dekker's is so completely romantic in spirit until we reach late in his career The Sun's Darling a moral masque 1623 in the composi tions perhaps revision of which Dekker appears to have been assisted by John Ford Dekker's intervening work in the chronicle play has already been indicated His domestic dramas and his touch with Jonson fall more logically within the next chapter Dekker's Fortunatus is only one of a considerable group of Elizabethan romantic dramas that employ for the stage the delightful material of folk and fairy lore To this group belong Greene's Friar Bacon and even Marlowe's Faustus which it emulated, as we have seen and A Midsummer Night's Dream however different its immediate sources A curious old play by Anthony Munday half chronicle half fairy tale but depending mainly on unexpected and almost farcical situa tions is John a Kent and John a Cumber 1595 in which two wizards strive in their art each to outdo the other much as Friar Bacon strove to overcome his German rival Vandermast The Merry Devil of Edmonton 1604 of unknown authorship links on to the Faustus story in which the supernatural accom plishes little more than the straightening out of the crooked course of two interesting young lovers As to fairies in com edy however they may have obtruded before his time in Lyly s

Maid's Metamorphosis for example, or in Greene's Scottish History of James IV, where we meet Oberon for the first time, subsequent to A Midsummer Night's Dream all fairies

wear the livery of Shakespeare

This is only one example of the extraordinary and immediate influence which Shakespeare exerted on the drama of his time, for, however his followers might fail to imitate the simple romanticism of his earlier comedy, the influence of his refinement of tone and sentiment and of his ideal treatment of character appears in many contemporary plays. John Day, whose vivacious comedies were printed in the reign of King James, is more Lylvan and Jonsonian than Shakespearean His two memorable plays (if we except the delightful Parliament of Bees which is satire in dialogue and inconceivable acted) are Law Tricks and Humour Out of Breath But even Jonson in a first effort, The Case is Altered, 1508, fell momentarily under Shakespeare's spell. This comedy Jonson never acknowledged as his, and, Plautine though the source of the intrigue, Jonson never approached nearer to romantic art than in the character of Rachel, the fair and virtuous beggar maiden of this play indeed it might almost be said that Rachel is Jonson's only vital female figure

With the completion of the trilogy of Henry IV and Henry V, Shakespeare returned to romantic comedy to which he adhered in some half dozen plays, from Much Ado About Nothing to Measure for Measure, which followed in quick succession within the last half dozen years of Elizabeth's reign There are no more perfect comedies of light and joyous type than Much Ado, As You Like It and Twelfth Night, all of them referable in theme as in atmosphere to the well-born, social life of Italy which was recognised, and justly, by the Elizabethans, as marking the very beau ideal of modern cultivated living The atmosphere of youth hovers in a golden haze about these charming scenes and nothing is so serious as to impair, save for momentary pathos, the joy of life that sparkles in their exquisitely conceived personages and in the delightful poetry that clothes them Moreover, the romantic people, Duke Orsino, Viola, Benedick and Beatrice, Orlando and his Rosalind - only to name which is to remember fascinating friends of intimate acquaintance - exist always, in Shakespeare as in the world itself, in a milieu of the practical, the commonplace, the stupid and the absurd, all of which the

wizard transmutes with a wave of his wand into enduring art yet never once trespasses beyond what is human and probable in an orderly world viewed with the sanity of genius. The amazing thing about Shakespeare is this obvious sanity of his. Once accept his guidance and you think naturally in his way He transforms reality less into a something rich and strange than he shows you a beauty in reality which you had never seen before. With a Browning or a Meredith we wonder and admire the keenness the originality the intellectual subtlety that can so invent and distinguish as we yield to the wayward guidance of a Shelley or Keats who refines this world in his imagination into a dream wherein are discovered strange beau ties that the earth knows not. In the comedies of Shakespeare our thought is always How delightful it is to be out in the sunshine of life thus to see things as they are the shy things of the human forest that come not forth for him who too currously seeks them are Shakespeare's at the beek of his hand

In All's Well Measure for Measure and Troilus and Cres side in particular, the tone is deeper, the colour darker than in the lightsome comedies which criticism agrees preceded them It is customary to refer this minor key to some circumstance in Shakespeare's experience that saddened him in Troilus actually jaundiced him to look upon life for the nonce with the eyes This is not the place in which to take up the eternal question of the biographical significance of the famous Sonnets which have been associated with these more sombre comedies and with the tragedies that came immediately after Before however we assume lightly that the mood of the man was reflected thus infallibly in his works we must remember that the whole art of stagecraft is dependent upon a notable feigning as Sidney calls poetry, wherein the very first condition is the suppression of the author's personality composer ever written a capriccio and a requiem within the same fortnight? And is there anything more amizing in Shakespeare's having written a lively and a serious comedy in reasonably close juxtaposition than in the versatility that he constantly displays in the personages and episodes of a single play? But other questions concern these plays. An unequal style is traceable in All's Well pointing to the possibility of an earlier play revised in a later rewriting. Some have suggested this as the Love's Labour's Won of the list of Meres in 1598

a title which the accomplishment of Helena's difficult task, however dubious the method of compassing it, may perhaps justify. The repellent grossness of detail in certain scenes of Measure for Measure the poet found in his originals, Cinthio's novel and Whetstone's English versions. These the fidelity of his art demanded that he retain. But the unassailable chastity of Isabella and the pathetic Mariana, betrothed of Angelo, by means of whom the brutal original story is converted into a satisfying conclusion, these things are due to the ingenuity and the finer ethical instinct of Shakespeare Troilus and Cressida offers one of the most difficult questions within the range of the plays. The scene is ostensibly classical, but the old Greek heroes, Achilles, Ajax, Ulysses and the rest, are distorted to something monstrous. The story is a romantic tale of lovers, but their passion is impure and Cressida, unlike any other prominent female figure of Shakespeare's (if we except the ineffable daughters of Lear), is fickle and wanton This play has been called Shakespeare's drama of disenchantment and its component elements make it doubtful whether it really belongs to the comic or to the tragic category Yet Troilus is among the most unmistakably Shakespearean of the plays and abounds in passages of depth, wit and wisdom, clothed again and again in glittering eloquence and splendid poetry. The idea that Troilus was conceived as a Titanic satire on classical learning seems preposterous in view of the author's employment of well known mediæval material; and the alleged part of his play in the dramatic squabbles of the moment, as we shall see, may at least be questioned

Leaving aside Troilus and Cressida, it has been said that Shakespeare's comedies, as contrasted with the tragedies, show a greater interest in incident than in character as such. Where for example in tragedy the play centres about a single personage, Hamlet, Lear or Othello, at most about two, as about Macbeth and his Lady or about Antony and Cleopatra, in the comedies the interest is in a group, the gentle folk fleeting the time carelessly in the Forest of Arden, the involutions of the love affairs of Orsino, Viola and the Lady Olivia, or the effects of the Duke's test of absence in Measure for Measure. Yet such is always the strength of Shakespeare's touch with reality that not even in the tragedies do his figures more truly live Again, Shakespeare has been praised for his remarkable realisation of foreign scene and for the success with which he depicts

the Italian and other foreigner in his national traits. Indeed the first has so impressed certain of his foreign critics that they have credited him with journeys to Venice to Elsinore and elsewhere notebook in hand wherein to transcribe the impres sions of local colour that he subsequently transferred to his plays Such is the method of pedantry not that of genius Though, after all, the local colour of Shakespeare has been much exaggerated and most of the touches so praised by his commentators are the result of that honest employment of his material ultimately Italian or other in which faithfulness and an equal fidelity to the portrayal of the familiar things around him Shakespeare leads all dramatists As to Shakespeare's per sonages in comedy it seems altogether probable that they are all contemporaneous English men and women practising the graces the mannerisms or the absurdities that were prevalent in the England of his own day And it is unlikely save in a few definite cases such as Othello the Moor or Shylock the Jew that Shakespeare thought very definitely of those race differ ences and diversities of nationality to which it is easy after all to attach an undue importance The foreigner as a subject for comedy if not for ridicule Shakespeare used after the manner of the time Already in Love's Labour's Lost we meet with Don Armado the fantastical Spaniard in the second part of Henry IV with the Welsh Scotch and Irish captains the ad mirable Fluellen first among them while the broken French of Henry V and the gibberish of Dr Caius in The Merry Wives may have amused a class whose lineal descendants go numer ously to the theatres of the present day to applaud similar The period from 1593 to 1603 from the death of

humour The period from 1593 to 1603 from the death of Marlowe to the close of Elizabeth's reign is par excellence that of Shakespeare Therein were contained not only the comedies and histories of which we have heard in this chapter but like wise the tragedies of Romeo and Julies Julius Cæsar and Hamlet beyond which Shakespeare attained many varied and tragic notes but few deeper Chronicle history save for the obituary plays of a year or two after, was dead with the death of Queen Elizabeth Further to proceed in the story of romantic comedy would bring us into touch with Chapman Marston Middleton and Fletcher each distinctive for new departures in the drama for the most part to come This much however may be said Chapman appears to have been not uninfluenced by Shakespearean comedy in The Gentleman

Usher and Monsieur D'Olive, ambitious and romantic efforts at romantic comedy of a graver type and both in print by 1606 Chapman's métier hitherto had been Terentian comedy of intrigue These later comedies are of higher type and the former, at least, is by no means unworthy either of its author or their example. Marston, too, with all his vaunted originality, again and again essays the Shakespearean gait, as his serious and able Malcontent, in which the hero is affected with a melancholy not unlike Hamlet's, plays a rôle not dissimilar to the disguised Duke of Vienna in Measure for Measure. The romantic note in Middleton came later by way of his collaborator, William Rowley, not by way of Shakespeare, but that of Fletcher, however resonant of Shakespeare in some of the earlier plays, soon became a note as different as it was insistent and prevailing among Fletcher's own compeers. These matters we shall postpone for the nonce, as things of closer sequence claim the next chapter.

CHAPTER V

DEKKER HEYWOOD AND THE DRAMA OF EVERY DAY LIFE

LINES of classification and division in a subject so complex as the drama can never be more than provisional helpful in making clear what would otherwise remain in confusion viously life may be seen through a pane of clear glass as well as in the dilation of romance or scrutinised with the helittling lenses of sature. In neither of the latter cases is the world reproduced from such a point of view in any wise accurately represented though each method of art in its success again and again substitutes the higher truth for a mere category of real The literature of fact is the oldest imagination and istic facts amplification came after So too, in the modern drama the earliest amplification of the facts of bible story added material within the observation of the playwright's every day experience whereby Cain became a boorish yokel the wife of Noah a village scold and Joseph an awkward craftsman diffident before strangers and superiors. There is in a word no stronger strain in our drama than this strain of simple realism however affectation or passing fashion may have overlaid it at times or genius have transformed it. It was this strain that made the success of John Heywood and our two earliest English com edies however foreign suggestion may have intervened deed it may be doubted whether with all its poetry its flights of imagination and its transmutations of things mundane into things rich and strange there is a more characteristic trait of Elizabethan drama than its contemporaneousness the quality by which all things are translated into immediate terms com prehensible to the average man and therefore universal in ap peal Shakespeare possessed this quality he possessed likewise But many a contemporary of Shake as we know much more speare succeeded in his time because of this quality alone with the drama of every day life during the lifetime of Shake speare that we are concerned in this chapter less with this drama as a feature that enters into plays as one component

among many, than with that very definite group of Elizabethan dramas in which the realism of every day life is the ruling

spirit.

And first a word as to what went before. Gammer Gurton's Needle was only an amplification into a complete drama of the interlude and the comedy scenes which the moralities, and even the miracle plays, had long popularised So Gammer Gurton became the mother of a large progeny in which types, such as the thick-witted, blundering husband, the clever shrewish wife none too honest, the mischief-making Diccon of Bedlam, are repeated again and again For example in Tom Tyler and his Wife that stupid victim of his wife's neglect and unreasonable temper regains a momentary mastery by following the advice of a friend and giving his spouse a sound beating, but pitying her miserable condition, he confides the source of his sudden mastery to his immediate loss of it and total undoing eral plays, Like Will to Like, the underplot of Edward's Damon and Pithias and Grim the Collier of Croydon, a personage of this name figures who is as diverting and homely as he is thoroughly English Such plays were, some of them, on the stage before the birth of Shakespeare and their material remained the essential material of comedy and a part, according to immemorial usage, of many in other respects devoted to more lofty subjects In the group of Shakespeare's immediate predecessors, Kyd and Marlowe were always more or less lofty and tragic, the rest all employed comedy scenes of common life But chief among them was Greene who, had he but known it, might have stood foremost in his age for the simple truth of his dramatic talent in scenes such as those that concern the love affairs of Margaret, the fair maid of Fressingfield, and her noble suitors and the delightfully fresh rendering of a Robin Hood theme in George à Green and the jolly shoemakers of Bradford speare might well have had Greene for his example, had he needed it, in these scenes drawn from the observation of English daily life, and it was the same thing that Shakespeare was doing (howsoever he transcended the lighter art of Greene) in The Merry Wives of Windsor and in the comedy scenes interspersed throughout the chronicle plays Among early plays of the type the authorship of which remains doubtful, is Wily Beguiled, certainly on the stage as early as 1505. There occur early types of several well known Elizabethan personages, Gripe the usurer, Churms the confidential rascally lawyer, Fortunatus the bluff

soldier and a capital loquacious nurse who seems more like a possible suggestion for the immortal Nurse of Juliet than an inferior borrower of her humour

But if we would know the little that can be known of the contemporaries of Shakespeare who wrote for the lesser theatres this drama of every day life we must make the acquaintance of Philip Henslowe pawnbroker moneyed man and exploiter of plays and players What we know of Henslowe depends in the main on the fortunate survival of one book popularly known as Henslowe's Diary 1 This is really a manuscript book employed by Henslowe from 1591 to 1609 in which to note all manner of accounts memoranda private and domestic as well as those connected with his various ventures of the felling of trees the lending of money and the fitting out and performance of plays These last entries assume different forms One series gives us the name of the play the date of acting and Henslowe's share in the takings in of that day another concerns advances and payments to playwrights and property men a third records dis bursements by Henslowe on behalf of the several companies in which he was interested Incidentally a great many signatures of poets and others conversant with the stage are preserved witnessing agreements acknowledgments of payments or promises of plays Finally outside of the Diary but preserved with it among the Alleyn papers at Dulwich College are certain lists of properties once Henslowe's letters and other documents all of interest to the history of the stage concerning half a dozen companies and almost as many playhouses beside the mention of scores of plays Henslowe was a shrewd illiterate man of busi ness who grew rich by his foresight in building playhouses where they were wanted and in furnishing them at the least expense to himself with the kind and number of plays that met with the popular demand An alliance by marriage with the famous actor Edward Alleyn creator of the chief roles of Marlowe's tragedies gave Henslowe a standing in the theatrical world that enabled him to dictate terms to the poets. Henslowe does not appear to have been more avaricious than many of those who have exploited the drama since his time and there is nothing to show that his appreciation of the theatrical art was much below theirs Without his book we should lose a valuable chapter in the history of the popular stage

² See the excellent edition by W W Greg 1904-08 3 vols

From the Diary, then, we learn that Henslowe managed two or three theatres simultaneously and that he employed both actors and playwrights at his own terms Of the latter he had at times no less than ten or a dozen on his books, and he appears to have averaged something like a new play every two weeks Although Henslowe's relations with his men were close, it cannot be shown that he was altogether unfriendly to the shiftless bohemian small poets to whom he advanced money on promises, often badly kept, or bailed out of that "consistory of unthrifts," the debtors' prison His books disclose that he occasionally bestowed small gratuities on the authors of unusually successful plays or paid for a supper at the Mermaid on some business occasion. But for the most part he so contrived to dole out payment that he kept his people securely in his grasp. In the palmy days of Henslowe's traffic with the stage "an acting" play commanded from six to eight pounds sterling, and this was often divided among three or four authors In the reign of James the price of plays rose with other things, and three years before, 1613, Robert Daborne, a very second rate dramatist. received twenty pounds and declared that he could have had as much as twenty-five How the playwrights of the day contrived to live would remain a complete mystery, even with William Rowley producing fifty-five plays in twenty years or Heywood with his two hundred and twenty in twice that period, did we not recall the contemporary system of patronage and the circumstance that some of the playwrights were also actors on regular wages or sharers in the playhouses For example, take the case of Michael Drayton, next to Spenser the most popular general poet of his day For a period of a little more than three years, Drayton gave his attention to the writing of plays, mostly in collaboration with others in the employ of Henslowe concerned in twenty-four plays during that period and collaborated with eight other writers. In his best year he received forty pounds for play-writing But Drayton had noble patrons, had been a tutor and must have received some income from the many editions of his poems It is not remarkable that only one or two of the plays, in which he had a hand, are extant and capable of identification Moreover, Drayton covered up the tracks of his sojourn in captivity to Henslowe, ashamed of that to which his hand had been subdued. Many others were not so fortunate

In no respect, however, is Henslowe's Diary more interesting

than in the contrast which it offers to what we may justly infer to have been the conditions governing the company of Shake speare Indubitably Shakespeare's fellows no less than Hens lowe were in the theatrical business for what they could make out of it and both succeeded Shakespeare and Burbage as well as Henslowe and Alleyn dving rich according to the standards of their time and station But Henslowe's success was based on shrewd dealing with his poets and on a willingness to follow wavering popular taste. Shakespeare created and guided the taste of the public in the very act of reaching its favour as it had never been reached before. From a table of court per formances between 1594 and 16032 it has been estimated that the Lord Chamberlain's company of players (that is Shake speares) performed twice as many plays at court as its four competitors together The success of the latter in the popular playhouses may have been less disproportionate but the fact re In short the romantic dramas of Dekker Heywood Rowley and others among Henslowe's writers wanted the finish and perfection that Shakespeare could give them chronicle plays were rude and straggling in comparison to his nor did any other dramatist so specialise in English history the simple comedy of every day life and in serious domestic drama alone whether tragedy or less did the authors of Hen slowe's eclectic haphazard experimental school succeed in rival ling the writers of the Chamberlain's company and in giving to the London tradesman a drama based on a faithful rendering of the life of his own class

If we look at this citizens drama as some have called it we find it falling naturally into several classes as action prevails or passion. There is rural life and London life the latter by far the more popular dependent as it was on local colour and typical allusion the success of which lay in its familianty to the auditor and within the limits of each there were farce intrigue comedy satire and tragedy all centring in domestic scene and realistic in presentation. The dramas of Greene that is those of the domestic type already mentioned turn upon the simpler emotions love generosity wifely devotion and the like and their scene is for the most part rural or at least not urban. An exceedingly interesting comedy of the type is Henry Porter's Two Angry Women of Abungton on the stage by 1598 and

² See F G Fleav Chronicle History of the Stage P 125

exceedingly popular. The plot turns on a quarrel between two "curst wives" and the consequent embroilment of their families The action depends as much on situation as on vivacious dialogue and humorously drawn personages Porter is a typical poet of Henslowe's mart We hear of him twenty-five times in the Diary and in connection with five plays, all, save this one, lost, and we know no more But in this, his repute in the comic drama is sufficiently established, for The Two Angry Women is verily "full" in Charles Lamb's phrase, "of business, humour and merry malice." Another comedy of rural English life, The Merry Devil of Edmonton, 1600, has been doubtfully ascribed to Drayton Here we are introduced, at the outset somewhat seriously, to the English Faustus, Peter Fabel, but the current of interest changes to a very pretty love-tale and a group of "humourists" whose wanderings and mistakes through Enfeld Chase by night parallel a similar concluding scene of The Two Angry Women It is interesting here to note a third comedy of English village or country life, which ends, as these, in a night scene in a park and agrees with them in point of date. It is usual to remember The Merry Wives of Windsor, as we have above, for its connection with the chronicle plays through Falstaff. But The Merry Wives is conspicuous among the comedies of Shakespeare as the only one in which he lays his scene frankly in England, an experiment never repeated haps the romantic spirit that achieved remoteness in time by the former age and setting of the historical plays needed remoteness of place in comedy to preserve it from that which was too familiar Yet if we are seeking Shakespeare's contribution to the drama of every day life, we may find it not alone in the vivacious scenes and admirable personages of The Merry Wives of Windsor, but in the delightful comedy that follows Falstaff and holds over into Henry V, who in his palmy days, as Prince Hal at least, lived not afar off in the atmosphere of an heroic Agincourt, but in the streets and taverns of Elizabeth's own England Shakespeare has brought us up to London from Windsor and the country-side Before we sojourn there with some of his lesser fellows, we may mention an experiment of Ben Jonson's that agrees here in date and kind A Tale of a Tub, which may be assigned to the neighbourhood of 1600 rather than later, is a rustic comedy of English village life, in which, with characteristic accuracy, the poet endeavours to heighten the

effect by the use of dialect This comedy of Jonson is unworthy his genius which lay elsewhere as we shall see

If our subject were Elizabethan prose instead of Elizabethan drama we should recognise in Thomas Dekker and Thomas Heywood the successors of Greene in that ready writing up of things of contemporary interest that journalistic instinct that turns anything into copy which is the distinguishing characteris tic of the group of writers known as the pamphleteers. It was precisely these qualities that made Deller and Heywood most successful in domestic drama and enabled them to add a lifelikeness to the scenes of many a drama otherwise below the level of some of their more ambitious contemporaries. Thomas Dekker was born somewhere between 1567 and 1570 in Lon don The form of the name suggests a Dutch extraction which a knowledge of that language in some of the plays and a power of minute relation as to common things which we associate with Dutch art go far to confirm Dekker is first mentioned by Henslowe in 1598 some have thought that his career as a play wright began a few years earlier He continues traceable in termittently well into the thirties and it is not certain when Dekker was a born unthrift constantly the victim of poverty and often in the debtor's jail He appears to have turned his hand to many varieties of writing following closely the example of Greene in pamphlets realistic moral satirical or religious and mending changing adapting and rewriting plays his own and other men's for Henslowe year after year Dekker is a notorious example of the Elizabethan practice of collaboration in play writing In one of the earliest plays with which his name is associated Patient Grissil 1598 he had as coadjutors Chettle and Haughton This comedy is a dramatic version of the favourite mediæval story of the much enduring wife and is memorable for several very beautiful songs which common assent has given to the authorship of Dekker Dekker s lyrical gift slender though its runnel of song is as exquisite and tuneful as that of any poet of his time Further expression of it may be found in Old Fortunatus already described in the The Shoemakers last chapter for its romantic and poetic spirit Holiday on the stage by 1599 is Dekker's typical contribution to domestic comedy and here we have the daily life of the small trades folk of London done to perfection their humours their pleasures ambitions and hearty good fellowship. The person

ages of this delightful comedy are as distinctly drawn and differentiated as the story is naturally unfolded. Simon Eyre, the bluff and hearty master-shoemaker, with his crew of jolly apprentices about him, must have been an admirable character in the hands of a great comedian such as Kempe or Armin, and the circumstance that Dekker had his plot from a well known novel, as we should call it, of the day, The Gentle Craft, by Thomas Delony, enhanced its popularity. Much of the genial humour and kindly spirit, Dekker had from his original. But he lightened all, gave a touch of the romantic to the story of "Hans," who became a shoemaker because of love, a touch of pathos to the underplot of the faithful lovers, Ralph and Jane, and raised the whole production to a higher literary and artistic

plane

In Patient Grissil we have a favourable specimen of one of the most important groups of the domestic drama, the story of the faithful wife The underplot of The Shoemakers' Holiday has just been noted as concerned with a not dissimilar theme The ideals of Elizabethan days were, of course, not ours, and it was not only in Puritan circles that talk was at times of "the weaker vessel" or that the dominion of man was upheld by men and women alike and commended It is a mistake to confuse the status of Elizabethan women with that which came to obtain in the degenerate days of gallantry when the Merry Monarch thrust English manners down with English morals to a level with those of the brothel and the tavern charm about the free and natural intercourse of the young people in the comedies of Shakespeare, Greene and Dekker, which we lose sensibly in the next generation when manners turned towards sophistication There is a candour, a give and take in dialogue, a recognition of woman and a delight in her power and charm, which comported none the less with a recognition that after all she is not the stronger animal Wherefore the many dramatic pictures of that favourite of the day, the faithful, the much enduring wife, often contrasted, not only with the obvious foil of her own sex, but with that incorrigible rascal, the favourite of fiction and of life, the prodigal son To be sure, the scene of these dramas is by no means confined to England nor their portrayal to the conditions of any one age Shakespeare's Hermione, devoted and forgiving beyond the range of our present ideals, and his Mariana at the moated grange are both of the type of patient Griselda, and so, too, is innocent

and confiding Desdemona But it is needless for us here to stray into the lofty regions of romantic tragedy or even where a saturical outlook has transformed our ideals from the simpler representations of the drama of every day life Thus in Hou a Man May Choose a Good Wife from a Bad The Fair Maid of Bristow in The London Produgal and in Marston's Dutch Courtesan all of them between 1602 and 1605 we have prac tically the same group of personages the faithful wife the neglectful spendthrift husband the alluring faithless and cruel courtesan with the emphasis as the case may be on the one or Marston s is by all odds the best play indeed it rises above its species for its style, its variety and its substitution of an artistic for a moral contrast Heart and soul of the domestic type on the other hand is the homely circumstantial drama en titled The Miseries of Enforced Marriage in which George Wilkins staged in 1605 the actual details of the unhappy mar ried life of one Calverley Wilkins we now know was per sonally acquainted with Shakespeare and was concerned in some way not altogether clear with Pericles As to Calverley his produgal life soon after led to crime and his murder of his wife and children was made into a play The Yorkshire Tragedi though not by Wilkins soon after Here as so often we have the old drama performing a function of the newspaper in dis seminating knowledge of some recent event. The drama of our English speaking lower playhouses has never lost this practice though the moving picture lends itself more readily to this obvi ious mode of exploiting topics of current interest Still another play of this same group is Heywood's Wise Woman of Hogs don not printed until 1638 but unmistakably of far earlier acting Here the familiar story is varied the spendthrift hus band becomes a recreant lover and produgal seeking to recoup his fallen fortunes in a wealthier match The faithful wife is here a resourceful country maiden who follows her betrothed up to London and succeeds with some help from the 'wise not only in winning him back but in marrying her wealthy, and in this case virtuous rival to a worther suitor Here verily is the stuff of ordinary every day life for Heywood relies on no art save the simple and truthful presentation of his story

Thomas Heywood was born about 1575 in Lincolnshire and became a student at Cambridge and later a fellow of Peterhouse We meet with him as early as 1596 in Henslowe's Diarv in a covenant "not to play anywhere in public about London [for two years] but in my house" He is traceable as an actor up to 1622 and continued an active pamphleteer and playwright until the closing of the theatres in 1642, dying some five years later. Indeed, Heywood is by all odds the most productive of our old dramatists, confessing in one place in print to having had "either an entire hand or at least a main finger" in two hundred and twenty plays. This would make an average of five plays a year for forty years, and Heywood was productive otherwise. Of his dramas only some thirty-five have been preserved, and he, doubtless, would have thought that number too many; for Heywood was modest and rated his work, hastily done as it was and for the moment, at its true value Indeed the little that we can glean as to the personal character of Heywood makes him out an estimable, scholarly but unbookish man who found in the average lives of the people about him abundant material for the smiles and tears, the pathos and the tragic emotions that make up the life of prince and beggar alike Among the many cheap generalisations of this generalising age of ours, it is not uncommon to find remarks on what is called "the feudalism" of Shakespeare's age, the emergence of man as an individual somewhere in the later history of our fiction and other like things A slight acquaintance with Heywood and the domestic drama might correct much of this, though, unhappily, anything but a superficial acquaintance with the past is disdained by these forward generalising members of the race

Heywood's most important play is A Woman Killed with Kindness, printed in 1607 In several respects this drama is a remarkable departure from the traditions of its time theme of the major plot is that of "an ingrate friend and a wife unchaste," a situation almost precisely paralleled in two other plays of Heywood, the Jane Shore story of Edward IV and The English Traveller It is familiar that the code of the day demanded violence at such a juncture Heywood dared to solve the problem in a manner novel to his time, separating the unhappy wife from the husband whom she had wronged and from their children, and suffering even the seducer to go the victim of his own remorse Not only does the story thus rise to the dignity and pathos of tragedy, but all is accomplished without the usual extraneous aids of bloodshed and terror A Woman Killed with Kindness is constructed with a care and the plot developed with a skill beyond Heywood's usual power

Nor did he surpass this success in the interesting recurrence to a similar theme in The English Traveller notwithstanding the creation therein of the character of young Geraldine de scribed by Lamb as one of the truest centlemen of Elizabethan drama. In this matter of character as is his treatment of incident and dialogue. Heywood is so natural so unobtrusive so truly modest in his art that we cease to wonder at an effect so easily accomplished. It is impossible to better the words of Lamb as to this admirable man and dramatist. ambition seems to have been confined to the pleasure of hearing the players speak his lines while he lived. It does not appear that he contemplated the possibility of being read by after ages. What a slender pittance of fame was motive sufficient to the production of such plays! Posterity is bound to take care that a writer loses nothing by such a noble modesty

To the category of domestic drama belong the two slightly earlier plays entitled The Honest II hore the joint work of Delker and Middleton however their scene is transferred after the current practice of the time to an imaginary Italy first of these two plays was on the stage about 1603 and the second part must have followed as is usual in such cases soon after Here is told the story of Bellafront who has fallen but who is regenerated by a sincere love and is aided in her deter mination to lead an honest life by her own father who has repudiated her in her evil days but now in disguise befriends There is no finer dramatic presentation of the eternal struggle of woman and man than this play of forbidding title and it would be difficult to find a cleaner one or one more ethically sound. The old age was more outspoken than ours but it was no less clear in its perceptions of right and wrong and it may be questioned whether the gain in reticence is al ways a gain in true delicacy The story of Bellafront in both her unreclaimed and in her reclaimed condition is admirably told and the character of her father 'the merry seeming Or lando Friscobaldo, with his pathos and suffering at heart alone is enough to keep this fine drama unforgetable. A clever foil to the main story is that of Candido the enduring husband and his mischievous teasing wife a palpable take off on the popular theme of patient Griselda Indeed the age was far from un appreciative of the comic possibilities of subjects such as these

⁸ Specimens of English Dramatic Poets 1 130-

Have we not seen the repugnant dispositions of man and woman, the theme for the struggles of Noah and his wife, about to enter the ark, and one of the common topics of interludes which precisians would have us label "made in France"? The shrew is at least as old as the patient wife, and it is not altogether certain which Cain found for a wife in the land of Nod. comedy entitled The Taming a Shrew was on the stage as early as the coming of the Armada, and it was this old play, still extant and to read, that Shakespeare made over in combination, with an underplot from Gascoigne's Supposes, as The Taming of the Shrew, about 1597 In both forms the play was an ever popular success and in due time was followed by a sequel, The Tamer Tamed, the composition of John Fletcher, wherein is told how Katharina dying soon, as the reformed are apt to do. is succeeded by the redoubtable Maria who turns the tables completely on Petruchio and solves the question once and for all in a manner the cleverness of which may be commended to her vounger militant sisters

We have found gravity of subject and a clear moral purpose characteristics of several of the plays already treated in this A striking group of the domestic drama is the murder play, already exemplified above in its most successful example, Arden of Feversham, in print by 1592 and on the stage probably before the Armada From titles found among the accounts of the Office of the Revels, The Cruelty of a Stepmother and Murderous Michael, 1578 and 1579, it has been surmised that the murder play was of even earlier origin, and that perhaps the latter play was another version of Arden.⁴ We may leave these earlier plays to note, in the nineties, a revival of interest among the playwrights of Henslowe in tragedies of this From other sources we have reason to believe that plays of Henslowe's mention, such as Black Bateman, Cox of Collumpton, The Stepmother's Tragedy and Page of Plymouth, were of the type of the domestic murder play Chettle, Day, Dekker, Haughton and even Jonson are named among the authors of them, but all, in dramatic form, have perished There remain however several tragedies beside Arden to make clear the continuance of the type A Warning for Fair Women, 1599, recently shown to be by Heywood, relates the murder of one Master George Sanders, "consented unto by his own wife,"

⁴ See Wallace's "Table," Evolution of the Drama, p 207

with her trial confession 'godly contrition and execution Two Murders in One details the sorded murder of one Beech a chandler in Thames Street Finding the material scant the author one larrington eled out the play with an alternation scene by scene with the old tale of the Babes in the Il'ood A year or two later saw A Yorkshire Tragedy staging as we have seen a recent murder and published in 1608 as one of the many efforts of the dishonest publishers of Shakespeare's day to profit by his name. Although this short play is exceedingly well written and imitative in places of Shakespeare's manner we may feel sure that his pen was not concerned in it A Yorkthire Tragedy was acted by Shake speare's company and so was A II craing for Fair II omen a matter of wonder when we recall that these were the years of The Merry II sees Much Ado and As You I ske It The ace be it remembered was as robust as it was catholic and theatrical success then as now depended not alone on the verdict of the judicious (as Jonson called those who appreciated his own whose many pence

It is a comfort to

ders was ever acted at the Globe or at the Blackfriars. This was one of Henslowes plays and doubtless we have lost little in Gartieright the murder of a clergyman in The Bristol Tragedy or in The Six Yeomen of the Hest wherein one Cole comes to his death like Buribas in Marlowes Jew of Malta in a boiling cauldron

In The Yorkthire Traged, we have apparently the last of the murder plays which had flourished by this time some twenty years beside the traged, of revenge and other serious drima that partool more or less fully of the romantic spirit. There were later revivals of the plays of the type such as The II itch of Edmonton 1621 by Dekker Ford and Rowley. Into this interesting drama of every day life a new element enters that of the supernatural for its subject like that of The Lancathire Witcher 1633 deals with witcheraft that dangerous outcropping of the primitive superstitions that cost so many innocent subjects of King James and his son their lives. A homelier and more certain revival of the old fashioned murder play is The Vow Breaker or the Fair Mad of Clifton by one William Sampson printed 1636 in which apparently we have a making over of the old lost play of Henslow. The Black Bateman of over of the old lost play of Henslow.

the North. It was the homeliness of the murder play. like several of the comedies of domestic life, that preserved them from that heightening of effect by means of the imagination that we denominate the romantic, as it was their seriousness that kept out of them the levity of satire Among the many plays that suffered neither of these deviations may be named The Fair Maid of the Exchange, 1602, with its interesting and novel figure, the brave cripple of Fenchurch, and Fortune by Land and Sea, 1607, the story of the victory of a disinherited vouth over fortune and false friends. Heywood, with the help of Rowley, contrived the latter charming, natural play, and while The Fair Maid is not certainly his, it is after all much in his manner The Hog Hath Lost his Pearl, The Honest Lawyer, and A Cure for a Cuckold, are all later examples of the recurrence of the homelier manner or more familiar scene of the earlier domestic drama, and all were acted within a year or two of Shakespeare's death. In the first a repulsive crime is frankly told, but allowed to lead to a reconciliation where the logic of the older drama would have demanded In A Cure recurs the theme of a demand by a heartless lady that her lover kill his best friend (already employed in The Dutch Courtesan and The Fair Maid of Bristow), whilst in The Honest Lawyer by a certain "SS" we return to country manners in the town of Bedford, despite a repetition of several well known comedy figures, the usurer, the jealous husband and the faithful wife, once more among them. The gross titles of the first and third of the plays just mentioned, each of them taken from the underplot, denote the deteriorating taste of the hour of which we shall have more to hear in later chapters

Before we leave the domestic drama, with its homely English scene and its direct methods, we may look forward to the finest, later specimen of its type, A Fair Quarrel by Middleton and William Rowley, printed in 1617. The subject turns on an insult to the fair name of his mother, offered a young man, Captain Ager, at the hands of his own Colonel. A challenge, after the custom of the age, is the immediate and inevitable result. But Lady Ager, fearing for the life of her son, who has but recently returned to her, to frustrate the meeting, insinuates that perhaps the Colonel's words are not mere slander. The meeting takes place none the less, but now the young and

-ourable Captain feeling that he no longer has cause for a hor rel refuses to the disgust of his seconds to fight At last quai Colonel calls him a coward and thanking God that he the has a true cause the Captain fights and desperately wounds nowintagonist In the upshot the Colonel recovers retracts his his mny and the virtuous Lady Ager is forgiven by her son for calu desperate ruse to save him A Fair Quarrel is one of the her t Elizabethan plays and unequalled in the two great scenes grea of Lady Ager's struggle between her pride her sense of that or and her terror lest she lose her beloved son and the honograble scene of the duel A Fair Quarrel however like A adminan Killed with Kindness mixes in the underplot more or Wor xtraneous elements In Middleton and Rowley's play we less mere intrigue and the play like the same two dramatists have er tragedy, The Changeling becomes disappointing as a maste. It was in present questions such as these that the wholibethan presented the problems of his time Dare a man Ehz in a quarrel in which he knows that he fights to uphold fight; Is there any conduct save that of traditional violence a lie fiable to an honourable man who has been wronged by justif and friend? And is our charity and for iveness never to wife d to fallen womanhood in that most terrible of struggles extens world the effort to regain lost honour? These are some e questions that the Elizabethan dramatic casuists put to of th audiences giving them again and again with all their their t speaking and occasional grossness answers as sound as directable and as satisfying as any that we with all our re charients have reached in our time

finem. When we to continue our search for scenes of dramatic Wrm in the drama of the age we should have to confess that realis all is said for outlandish romance and borrowings classic when, ther it is this that remains the essential fibre of the writ and 6f the age whether we consort with Dogberry and Verges ings cur very unItalian adventures of the watch peer into the in thunGreek theatrical affairs of the Athenians Snug the very rand Bottom the Weaver or hurry Danish Ophelia into Joine've dug with English spade and mattock Jonson with all a graining of the ancients found the warp of his drama in his learning of the ancients found the warp of his drama in his learning of the ancients found the warp of his drama in his learning of the ancients found the warp of his drama in his learning of the ancients found the happiest scenes and person content the plays of Beaumont and Fletcher are those often to ages and in the inventive underplots which they had not from

Spain, France or elsewhere, but found at hand in the England that they knew so well With this acknowledged and filed as a caveat, we need not fear to proceed.

There remains one topic properly to be considered in this connection, and that is the dramas that have to do with the contemporary beliefs in the supernatural, more especially in the manifestations of witchcraft and demonology. obviously belongs elsewhere, as it was fancifully raised to a poetic potency by the genius of Shakespeare, and there is a quality of the truly imaginative about that abiding human faith that gives to those mortals who have gone before the power to return and revisit the glimpses of the moon To grasp the effectiveness of the old and popular superstitions, we must conceive ourselves in a very different environment from our own There was a universal belief in omens, in lucky days and in the powers of devils and witches Comets were thought to foretell disaster and wise-men and wise-women were consulted concerning serious actions and weighty affairs. To bleed at the nose was ominous, a notion used effectively in Heywood's Edward IV and in The Duchess of Malfi The elements foretold and sympathised with the doings of men. Not only did a lioness whelp in the streets of Rome and sheets of rain and the terrors of lightning foretell the fall of Caesar, but foul weather accompanied the witches in Macbeth and the familiar stage thunder preluded many a tragic event. An excellent story is told, somewhere, of a provincial performance of Doctor Faustus in which, when the players had come to the dance of the Seven Deadly Sins about that abandoned scholar, they looked and behold, in the whirl, there were eight. Now they knew the number of their company, all were on the boards, there could be no mistake, whereupon with one accord they fell on their knees and prayed for forgiveness and their auditors stampeded terrorstricken out of the room. These beliefs were not only the superstitions of the vulgar, the Earl of Leicester consulted the celebrated Dr Dee as to an auspicious day for the crowning of Queen Elizabeth, and her wise councillor, Sir Francis Bacon, with all his philosophy, shared many of the popular notions of his day Ben Jonson, too, who attacked alchemy, dared not raise his voice against witchcraft, and Reginald Scott, who wrote a lengthy treatise on the abuses of witchcraft, hesitated to deny the existence either of witches or to question their supernatural interference in the affairs of men. With superstitions such as

these universally prevalent many a scene that we read now merely with a curious interest must have carried a conviction

and a terror difficult for us to conceive

We may pass by the black magic of Faustus and the white magic of his English compeers Peter Fable and Friar Bacon as already treated A sufficient illustration too for our pur poses of the employment of the superstitions of devil lore and witchcraft in the drama may be derived from a brief considera tion of several plays involving these things and allied as well to the domestic drama from their general context and realistic The well known mediæval tale of Friar Rush in whom a devil is disguised and sent into the pious precincts of a monastery to tempt the brethren was dramatized apparently as early as 1568 Far later in 1610 Dekker brought out his dramatic amplification of the story in the elaborate though hastily written production If this be not a Good Play the Devil is in It Here no less than three devils are sent to earth to tempt respectively the virtuous court of Naples a supposedly upright merchant and a monastery as in the original tale and there is an attempt to apply the story to present times by the introduction of such contemporary malefactors as Ravaillac the assassin of Henry IV and Guy Fawkes Machiavelli s saturical jeu desprit The Marriage of Belphegor which has sometimes been confused with the tale of Friar Rush also furnished material for Elizabethan playwrights. The earliest is Grim the Collier of Croydon the major plot of which de tails how a suicide Spenser's Malbecco pled before the in fernal judges that he was driven in desperation to his death because of the outrageous wickedness of his wife and how the devils doubting this sent one of their number Belphegor to earth to investigate the matter which he did with such effect that he returned assured that there was no wickedness that any devil could teach mankind This extraordinary story received a further dramatic amplification at the hands of no less a per sonage than Jonson in 1616 the year of Shakespeare's death Such an opportunity for satire on the depravity of mankind was not to be lost by the great dramatic satirist but with all its merit The Devil is an Ass of Jonson is not to be reckoned among the unquestioned successes of the author Notwith standing the royal acceptance of a belief in demons and the possession of men and women by them set forth conclusively in King James's Demonology of 1597 it cannot be said that his age believed in devils with so simple a faith as did that of his predecessor. The saturical attitude of both Dekker and Jonson, in these two devil plays, is very different from the atmosphere that pervades Faustus As we turn to witchcraft, which was nearer the folk, we find another attitude "Witches and sorcerers within these last few years," the pious Bishop Jewel solemnly adjures Elizabeth, "are marvellously increased within this your grace's realm These eyes have seen most evident and manifest marks of their wickedness," and he begs that "the laws, touching such malefactors, may be put in due execution" This was in Shakespeare's boyhood Shakespeare's own attitude may be variously interpreted by his retaining the burning of Joan of Arc for a witch in his revision of the first part of Henry VI and his representation of the wizard, Bolingbroke, and Margery Jourdain, a witch, in the second part of the same trilogy, or by his agnostic rejection, with the good Duke Humphrey, of the impostures of Simpcox in the same play Much use of popular demonology will be found in the maunderings of Edgar while pretending madness in King Lear. As to the witches in Macbeth, they tell us less of what Shakespeare thought about witches than of his imaginative art that could transform the obscene hags of the superstition of the countryside, with their malicious tricks and trivial wickednesses, into supernatural agencies tempting the man prone to evil to the violation of eternal law Shakespeare did for the witches in Macheth what he had already done for the fairies in A Midsummer Night's Dream, translated them from folk-lore into the realms of poetry and the imagination The age followed him as to the fairies; witches were another matter, for who could know, after all, that it was safe to doubt these malevolent ministers of evil?

For a popular exposition of current beliefs as to witches, we must turn from Shakespeare to Jonson and lesser men. Jonson's witch of Papplewick, in The Sad Shepherd, admirably presents us this picture. She is as repulsive as she is malignant, she assumes the shape of various beasts and even of persons, and is hunted as a hare with a full cry of hounds. Unfortunately Jonson's play, which is a fragment, ends just as we are coming to a full acquaintance "with her spindle, threads and images." This minute realism Jonson gives us in his Masque of Queen's, the antimasque of which is sustained by a bevy of witches, equipped with all the gruesome horrors that the reading and re-

search of their learned author could lavish upon them the Elizabethan witch outside Scott's famous Discovery of Witchcraft there is no such authority as Jonson tion of Middleton's drama The Witch with the witches of Macbeth has already been adverted to in this book ton's Witch with true Renaissance confusion of ideas is first linked on (as in our version of Macbeth) to the classical figure of Hecate with whom English and Scottish witches have noth ing to do and then employed to elucidate the intrigue of a romantic tale derived from Belleforest Middleton's play is unimportant except for its association with the revision of Macbeth We may conclude this matter with two late plays that involve witchcraft and hark back as well to the older domestic drama In the first The Witch of Edmonton Dekker was assisted by William Rowley and John Ford if indeed the latter be not a reviser about 1620 of the other's earlier work In the other The Late Lancashire Witches printed in 1633 Heywood was associated with Richard Brome This latter play is a perfect mine of current witch lore and tells the story of the transformation of a supposedly respectable housewife into a witch by night her escapades her injury by a stroke of her husband s sword while transformed into a cat the discovery of her converse with evil her trial and delivery over to justice. The story was based on actual and recent happenings so recent indeed that it is not impossible that the play may in some wise have affected the verdict against the unfortunate Mistress Generous and her supposed confederates In The Witch of Edmonton we have a drama as superior to Heywood's in its execution as it is humane in its conception of this monster misconception of the age The story is that of a forced marriage and its con sequent tragedy which it is suggested rather than insisted is due to supernatural agency Mother Sawyer, the witch is represented as a wretched poverty stricken old woman who is driven by the heartless ill treatment of her neighbours to her converse with evil A demon comes to her in the shape of a black dog and surprises her in one of her fits of impotent curs ing After the usual pledges he becomes her familiar is Mother Sawyer's black dog that brushes against the legs of Young Thorney and fawns upon him at a moment when his innocent young wife has become a burden to him thereby in stilling murder into his heart. But above the homely fidelity and truth of this latest of the domestic murder plays is to be

placed its pathos and the touch of sympathy for the miserable old hag whom the persecution and uncharitableness of her neighbours has driven to extremity. This recognition of an ultimate responsibility outside of the victim of persecution is remarkable in view of the fact that the play contains no word of doubt as to Mother Sawyer's actual possession by the powers of evil This, too, was an actual event dramatized Could we recover them we might find, among the lost plays of Henslowe and later, many other examples of the kind.

Our pursuit of the domestic drama has carried us far afield and in point of time ahead of our object. But other influences came so thick and fast in the reign of King James to confuse the simpler elements of earlier Elizabethan drama, that it seems best to anticipate in this respect. The close alliance of many plays already treated among romantic comedies and chronicle plays especially, will not have escaped the observant reader. Such a comedy for example as Heywood's Fair Maid of the West was as strong in its scenes of the tavern life of the adventurers of Plymouth as in its scenes on the high seas or in romantic unknown Morocco. The essentially English fibre of our English drama can not be too strongly insisted on With this remembered we may leave the subject

CHAPTER VI

SHAKESPEARE WEBSTER AND THE HEIGHT OF TRAGEDY

In any analysis which seeks the discrimination of things so complex as the products of dramatic literature classifications will arise that seem to contradict one the other after all a relative term but aside from that who will deny that Richard III is not equally a tragedy with King Lear or Othello or Julius Casar in the conduct of its later scenes as much a chronicle play as Henry V° The tragedies of Shale speare which are of English historical source have received their treatment in a previous chapter and with them have been considered the dramas of like theme the work of others which may be grouped it would seem not without reason in a class referable to the common national consciousness that begot them We proceed now to a consideration of the other tragedies of Shakespeare and his immediate contemporaries with a lively appreciation of the inadequacy of the brief treatment of these important productions which a sense of proportion none the less here demands Leaving aside Titus which we would frankly discard from the list of Shakespearean plays Romeo and Juliet takes precedence in point of time corresponding in its fervour and in the exuberance of its poetic expression with the earlier more toyous comedies Between 1591 the supposed date of the earlier Shakespearean form of this tragedy and 1507 the alleged time of its final revision Shakespeare had widened his experience as a dramatist with at least three tragical historical plays to say nothing of an improved technique in comedy regular structure of Romeo and Juliet its lyrical sweetness its passionate sympathy with the young lovers mark it as the work of a young man In comparison with the storm the heat and the ingenious wickedness of Elizabethan tragedy at large there is a naturalness a directness an inevitableness about this world drama of youthful passion that places it forever alone tone has been likened to a midsummer day in which the sun

broods hot and golden in an atmosphere suffused with beauty and ominous of catastrophe and change. The beauty of Juliet, the passionate unreason of Romeo, the wit of Mercutio, even the grossness of the Nurse, seem dilated in that surcharged air. It is somewhat remarkable that Shakespeare's Romeo and Juliet should be the first English tragedy of note to celebrate the passion of man and woman in its purity. Previous heroines of such romance, Gismunda, for example, or Belimperia in The Spanish Tragedy, each has loved before and her untoward fate seems not wholly undeserved Romeo and Juliet alone are the ill-starred lovers, mere shuttles in the loom of fate leaving a flash of colour in the sombre garment of time

It was some three or four years after the revision of Romeo and Juliet that Shakespeare recurred to tragedy in his Julius Casar, and here, befitting an historical theme, he returned to a modified form of the chronicle history. In this choice of a classical subject for the popular stage it is not impossible that Shakespeare may have been aware of something like a departure. for, common as such subjects were at the universities and at court under humanist and Senecan example, the groundling of the Cross Keys or the Red Bull knew little enough of ancient history. Yet even before Shakespeare the experiment had been tried. The Wounds of Civil War, by Thomas Lodge, which has to do with Marius and Sulla, and the anonymous Wars of Cyrus, both were publicly staged and date as early as most of the chronicle plays on English history Later, in the nineties, Heywood appears to have staged no less than five dramas dealing in a series of epic scenes that depicted ancient mythology, beginning with "the lives of Jupiter and Saturn" and concluding with "the destruction of Troy." Another of Heywood's plays tells the tragedy of Lucrece 1 So that Shakespeare could scarcely have found an ignorant audience when he staged the latter events in the life of the greatest man of antiquity the subject was not novel, there are five plays about Cæsar on record before the date of Shakespeare's, though unfortunately no one of them has survived In Julius Casar Shakespeare had recourse to Plutarch's Parallel Lives, his usual authority for ancient history — some have thought it his only authority he has used his material with freedom as well as discretion, ex-

¹ These six plays are The Golden Age, The Silver Age, The Brazen Age, two plays on The Iron Age and The Rape of Lucrece

panding here the barest hint as in the well known orations of Brutus and Antony and elsewhere inventively shaping his story. The character of Brutus especially develops under the dramatist's hand in dignity and power while Cæsar his foil and victim correspondingly suffers. The striking detail that makes the conspirators pause their dreadful deed accomplished to

bathe [their] hands in Cæsar's blood is Shakespeare's and referable to an old English custom in hunting the stag and so is the touching incident of the sleepy page Lucius and his lute. The classical atmosphere of his source and his story appear to have given to this play a certain regularity of structure and conduct as compared with the freer specimens of contemporary romantic art. Shakespeare in a word is scarcely so restrained elsewhere. But Julius Casar presents none of the familiar mechanical features of contemporary Senecan practice remaining equality free from Senecan rant and moralising commonplace.

We may postpone to consideration in another place the several like plays of closer Senecan affiliation that succeeded Kvd s translation of Garnier's Cornelia towards the end of the reign It may be well however to anticipate somewhat our treatment of Jonson to consider here for the sake of contrast his two notable tragedies of classical subject. It does not seem altogether unlikely that Jonson's Sejanus his Fall first acted in 1603 was written in protest against what so excellent a classical scholar could not but have considered the careless slip shod romantic manner of depicting ancient life upon the popular stage Tonson was one of the few men of his day likely to have been seriously affected by the historical anachronisms in which the plays of the time abounded He knew and remem bered even if Shakespeare did not that the conspirators in the orchard of Brutus were exceedingly unlikely to be disturbed by the striking of a clock a device not invented until centuries after and that the only effect of a pistol in the hands of Demetrius Poliorcetes in one of the plays of Fletcher would be to create laughter in the knowing auditor We have one little scrap of Jonsonian criticism as to Julius Casar In it he objects not to the conduct of the play but to the wording of a passage which does not correspond to the wording of that passage as we have it 2 The specific question need not detain us here Nor need we stop longer than to notice that the allu

² As to both these matters see Jonson ed Gifford Cunningham

sion in the prefatory matter of Sejanus (as published) to "a second pen," as present in the earlier unpublished version of that tragedy, has been thought by some to refer to Shakespeare Sejanus was acted by the company to which Shakespeare belonged and soon after Julius Gæsar, in all probability Moreover Shakespeare was an actor in Sejanus, as we know from the published list of actors in the Jonson folios. It is not impossible that the rivalry between these two exponents of contrasted romantic and classical ideals may have worked amicably together in an endeavour to reach a solution or a compromise, and it was honourable in Jonson when he came to publish his play to "have rather chosen," as he expressed it in the preface, "to put weaker and no doubt less pleasing [numbers] of mine own, than to defraud so happy a genius of his right by my loathed usurpations"

Sejanus is a master study in dramatic form of the early days of the empire, following, in the presentation of that enigmatic personage, Tiberius, and his pampered favourite, Sejanus, the story as presented in Tacitus and Suetonius Tonson has succeeded here, as no less in Catiline, in transferring to his pages a remarkably effective picture of ancient Rome in which not only the historians but the ancient poets and satirists have aided in many a stroke inappreciable except to the classically trained reader 8 When Jonson came to publish Sejanus, he cited line and chapter in the footnotes, after the exasperating manner of scholars, to avouch his learning The work has not been a success on the stage. Jonson's habitual attitude of arrogant contempt for the multitude had something to do with this his critics and rivals took up this display of scholarship, Marston especially, in the preface to his Sophonisba declaring. that I have not laboured in this poem to tie myself to relate anything as an historian, but to enlarge everything as a poet To transcribe authors, quote authorities and translate Latin prose orations into English blank-yerse, hath, in this subject, been the least aim of my studies" The taunt is unmistakable, coming as it did, immediately after the performance of Sejanus As to Marston's contribution to this rivalry in the representation of ancient life in tragic form, indubitably he enlarged more things as a poet than he followed as an historian Taking his

^{8&}quot; An Anachronism ascribed to Jonson," W B McDaniel in Modern Language Notes, xxviii, 158, 159

subject not from the classical authorities but from that old and favourite quarry of the dramatists Painter's Palace of Pleasure the atmosphere is as romantic as the substance is pseudo his None the less Marston's Tragedy of Sophonisba is a fine play of its type and worthy of more praise than it usually re It may be worth while to note that Casar Sejanus Sophonusba and Heywood's Lucrece all were on the stage within a period of two years while in 1603 likewise had ap peared in print Dr Matthew Gwinne's Nero Tragoedia Nova collecta a Tacito Suetonio Dione Seneca a Latin college drama of no small merit. In fact it might be interesting to know more concerning Jonson's relations to the Latin college drama of his time for Jonson was learned not only in the ancients but in their modern Latin imitators and commentators Gwinne's tragedy is only one of several Neros Pompeys Casars and other academic plays of the period Latin and English to the popular stage it was between 1606 and 1610 that Shakespeare's attention was occupied with stories of ancient times Antony and Cleopatra Coriolanus Timon Pericles and Cymbeline all fall within those years In 1611 Jonson's Cattline his Conspiracy was acted once more by the King's players Here Jonson followed as a main authority the well known narrative of Sallust by no means reaching the excellence of Sejanus but presenting especially in the comedy scenes of the fashionable wanton Fulvia and Semphronia vain of her Greek and her dabbling in politics admirable pictures of ancient Roman social life It is interesting to note that in Catiline Tonson reverts to certain of the Senecan practices from which Sejanus was measurably free The classical ideas of Jonson his theory of drama and the like will claim a wider attention in the next chapter. It is of interest to know that Jonson wrote a tragedy on The Fall of Mortimer if we may trust the frag ment remaining and a synopsis of what was to follow even more S necan in character than Catiline Tragedy was not the forte of Jonson yet no one can read his two admirable dramas of Roman history without a renewed respect for his scholarship and his powers as a poet and a dramatist of admirable ability

Leaving any mention here of the scattered tragedies on stories of ancient history which came later and either imitated Jonson or partook of the ruling romantic spirit of Fletcher let us re turn to the succession of Shakespeare's tragedies that follow upon Julius Casar Hamlet by general consent the closest of these

must have been acted in the very last year of Elizabeth's reign; but the topic, as a theme for drama, was already well known at least some dozen years before and we have already heard of the association of a lost tragedy on the story with the name of Thomas Kyd The position of Shakespeare's Hamlet, the greatest of world tragedies, in breadth of its artistic significance unapproached and alone, causes any discussion of its position among the minor productions of its age to seem an impertinence. Yet, historically considered, Hamlet is accountable like other plays and susceptible of classification with others of its kind in that orderly sequence which governs the productions of genius with no less certain laws than lesser things in other realms of human activity and thought Thus considered, Hamlet is one of a series of dramas, the works of several authors, which extended from 1599 onward for a number of years and 1s known under the specific title, the tragedy of revenge The earliest authentic examples of this class of plays are Kyd's lost Hamlet and his Spanish Tragedy, on the boards, as we have seen, a year or two before the Armada Which preceded the other it is impossible to say, but the likeness of the two stories is striking A secret crime, a perpetrator above the law, the burden on the avenger suggesting at least the unseating of his reason, the discovery (or avenging) of the crime brought about by a play within a play — all these things are not only common to both stories, but they remained, however modified and variously emphasised, recurrent notes in the entire series The revival of the species seems referable to John Marston who placed on the stage, in 1599, a continuous drama in two parts entitled Antonio and Mellida and Antonio's Revenge The first is a drama of Italian court intrigue, unconnected with the series except for the Hamlet-like melancholy with which the hero, Antonio, is endowed. His revenge, in the second play, is for his father's murder and consequent upon a visit of his father's ghost who discovers to Antonio "the deep damnation of his taking-off" Moreover, the revenge is finally compassed by the agency of a Marston, who was born in 1576, was a young law student and partly Italian in his blood, moreover, he was something of a coxcomb in literature In the previous year he had gained a sudden repute by a series of satires which were as strident and impudent as youth, cleverness and inexperience could make them. There is much noise, effort and talent in these

plays with their blood terror yet genuine imaginative force in places. Evidently Marston was striving hard after original ty and in Antonio s Retenge he succeeded in outdoing the hor rors of his original. It is not until 1602 that we have actual exidence of the revival of kyds old Spanish Tragedy, though cer tain parallels between that play as revised and Antonio s Revenge point to an earlier date 1 In 1601 at any rate. Ben Jonson was paid for certain additions to kyds old tragedy and those additions—some six in number—are easily traceable in the printed editions of the play that have come down to us. Jonsons additions involve an increase in the meditative speculation and in the irony of the part of Hieronimo the father who in The Spanish Tragedy, is the wenger and they involve likewise a vivid dramatic presentation.

It was in 1603 that the earlier quarto of Shakespeare's Hamlet was published It had been registered in July 1602. The text of this quarto is imperfect and only about half is long as the text of the second quarto of 1604 and the slightly different text of the folio. On this as on all other subjects Shake spearean the critics have fallen apart. But when we recall that the second quarto declares in its title that the play has been enlarged to almost as much again as it was, and that it is

according to the true and perfect copy newly imprinted it is not unreasonable to harbour serious doubts as to the authenticity of the earlier version if indeed it may not be a fair surmise that it contains material which may once have formed a part of Kad's lost Hamlet 5 Into the intricacies of this ques tion it is impossible to enter in a work of our present limitations Suffice it to remark on the interesting correspondence in point of time between Marston's Antonio's Revenge acted by the Paul's boys at their singing school late in 1599 Kyd's Spanish Tragedy revived by the Admiral's men at the Fortune with new addi tions by Jonson in 1600 and 1601 and hyds Tragedy of Hamlet revised and subsequently wholly rewritten by Shake speare in 1602 and 1603 and acted by the Chamberlain's men at the Globe It was out of the heat of such contemporary rivalry that the Tragedy of Hamlet as we have it was evolved

⁴ See especially Antonio and Mellida v 1 and The Spanish Tragedy
III xiii 72 Also of Boas Kid p 66

⁵ See on the general topic, C M Lewis The Genesis of Hamlet

and the struggle was between the veritable dramatic Titans of

the age

A larger number of "questions" have arisen out of the reading and pondering of Hamlet than out of any other play, and the mass of commentary goes on increasing With a lively sense that these words must add, however inappreciably, to the heap, it seems none the less necessary to proceed whether we shall ever reach anything like a consensus of opinion as to the psychology or anything else concerning this most absorbingly interesting figure of fiction And here is an essential first point Hamlet is a creature of the poet's imagination, a figment of the dramatist's creation, not an historical personage. The language which Hamlet speaks is that of the art which created him, not that of the human material which forms the subject of the alienist's or the criminologist's researches. However true the dramatist's touch with nature, art is not nature nor is nature art. Another essential to keep in mind is the absolute irrelevancy of the extra-Shakespearean Hamlet, whether the monster of Saxo-Grammaticus as set forth in Belleforest's Hystorie of Hamblet, the distorted shadow of the German early version, Der Bestrafte Brudermoid, or the Senecan avenger as we have some right to conclude Kyd's "Prince of Denmark" to have been 6 Shakespeare's Hamlet, reduced to the simplest terms, is a man who has seen a ghost and Shakespeare's interest as a dramatist - and psychologist if you will - centres about the question, how would a man behave who had really seen a ghost? that is, how would a rational, honourable, capable man behave? and that in Shakespeare's time, not in ours When, moreover, the supernatural message entailed upon him a responsibility that altered the whole aspect and tenor of his life The story of Hamlet is not the story of a madman. Shakespeare was too good an artist for that do not think that the play was written either to depict the man of thought infirm of action, or the man of action confronted with a question that required and received no thought, as some have actually argued of contrariety Hamlet is the story of a man in a state of nerves, a man in whom an unexpected contact with the invisible after-world has created a tensity of emotion that

⁶ Cf the words of Lodge in Wits Misery, 1596 "The ghost which cried so miserably at the theatre like an oyster-wife, 'Hamlet revenge!'"

sets up an incessant struggle between the calm and self restraint that marks the normal man and the unfortunate who is sion's slave It is this that transforms the Prince momentarily from the courteous gentleman that he is by nature and goads him to words of rudeness and insult. He can not stand the tediousness of Polonius so he mocks him The untruthfulness of Ophelia drives him to anothema of the whole sev The bom bast of Laertes grief maddens him Another thing the sight of the ghost has done for Hamlet With the excitation of the nerves comes a marvellously quickened perception through Rosenkrantz and Guildenstern at a glance penetrates the deceptive devices of Polonius and the King and wrings his mother's heart unerringly to bring home to her her wickedness He can act too cleverly and efficiently as in his outwitting of Rosenkrantz and Guildenstern In a last analysis may we not discern that it was not Hamlet's hesitancy and inaction that denied to him the processes to his own revenge but that the mockery of Fate (which rules all men) tossed him that bauble his revenge before it could have fallen logically and by his own act within his reach?

But the story of the tragedy of revenge is not yet all told Aside from several titles of plays now lost there was Chettle's Tragedy of Hoffman or a Revenge for a lather which cor responds in point of time with Shakespeare's Hamlet as it strives to outdo its supernatural horrors and there is Chapman's Re venge of Bussy D Ambois (a far finer play and the continuation of an earlier drama on the same hero) in which far more un mistakably than is Hamlet the man of thought is thrust into a place of action Chapman's Revenge was published later in 1608 but before that date had appeared the two lurid and effective plays commonly attributed to Cyril Tourneur The Atheist's Revenge and The Revenger's Traged; in both of which we reach alike the height of the melodrama and the extremity of the ex aggeration of the species Of Tourneur little is known save that he was the relative of Captain Richard Turner bailiff of Brill' and apparently held a like semi military office in the Low Countries The Atheist's Tragedy alone contains his name on the title page and a difference in diction conduct of plot and ideal of life has raised a question as to Tourneur's authorship of The Revenger's Tragedy Both plays however agree in the stridency of their melodramatic art however the latter surpasses the former as it transcends most of its species

in its mastery of ingenious horror. To compare these lurid pictures of the depth of human depravity and ravening passion with Shakespeare is as unjust as it is inevitable. We may neglect Chettle and remain consolable that time has left only The Tragedy of Hoffman of the fifty plays in which he had at least a finger. With Chapman and Tourneur we are in the presence of stronger men, for neither their art, their poetry, nor their power to realise their terrible scenes is for a moment to be denied The Revenger's Tragedy of the latter with Webster's White Devil, of which more below, stand almost alone among Elizabethan romantic tragedies in the supremacy of their dramatic realisation of the wickedness and debauchery that characterised the Italy of the Renaissance.

Kindred in scene and general source to these tragedies of revenge is Othello which disputes with Macbeth a place immediately following Hamlet, about 1604 The transformation which Shakespeare has wrought in the sordid, dismal and protracted novel of Cinthio, from which the tale is ultimately derived, should alone be sufficient to refute the statement, sometimes made, that the great poet was not a creative genius of the first order. It might almost be said that the beautiful name, Desdemona, was the only poetical thing to be found in the old story; everything else — the light-headedness of Cassio, the dignity and noble suffering of Othello, the subtle malignity of Iago — all are the inventions of the dramatist, to say nothing of the conduct of a plot as cleverly knit as it is naturally unfolded. Othello is the arch-tragedy of jealous passion, the more terrible in that the Moor is not by nature suspicious nor prone to evil imaginings I ago is the arch-villain of all literature, for his villainy is wanton and gratuitous and his victim the man who has loved and trusted him. It is impossible to regard Iago's foul suggestions in this respect otherwise than as the baseless fabrications of a malignant mind; just as any mitigation of the "sooty bosom" of the Moor in the interests of modern raceprejudice destroys the veritable cause out of which the tragedy of this amazing marriage was inevitably to spring pitiful the catastrophe, Shakespeare never sinks to the despairing pessimism of our modern conception of human tragedy that leaves man, innocent or guilty, the sport of an impersonal fate in which a hideous apathy has usurped the place of the comprehensible Greek envy of the gods Desdemona, lovely and innocent, even in thought, of Iago's devilish insinuation, had been

none the less an undustful daughter bringing her fither's white head literally in sorrow to the grave and Othello for his redulousness as well as want of faith might serie for argument in this regard to one less subtle thin a casuist. In a word the catastrophe of Othello and Desdemona is not unjustifiable in an orderly world such as most men persist to believe in nor could anything save disaster be predicted for so ill-orted so hasty and so ill advised a union. Indeed whitever the nectics of our distinctions between resthetic and ethical values in the realms of art, it is their coincidence after all that marks the supreme artistic creations of man.

There is a passage in Macbeth that has crused some to suppose that it followed hard upon Hamlet? Whatever the fact in the matter of text no two works could be in greater contrast Not only have we for Macbeth no quarto only the folio but the text seems mutilated and interpolated in parts with alien material some of which especially the speeches of Hecate and the attending dialogue have been found in a play of Middleton already adverted to called The Hatch Not unlikely the ver sion that we have is one that suffered later revision would account for the fact that Macbeth is one of the shortest of the tragedies besides explaining certain inconsistencies in the conduct of the story In Macbeth Shakespeare returned as is well known, to Holinshed's Chronicles for his materials using them however faithfully to the bare fact with that imaginative freedom that transformed the vulgar meddlesome witches of Scottish folk lore into a supernatural embodiment of human temptation to evil with its attendant supernatural terrors Whatever the explanation nothing could be in greater contrast than the leisurely development of situation in character in Hamlet and this swift lucid and vigorous story of the degen eration of a loval thane into a cruel and infatuated tyrant, ten fold more interesting for the intrepid devoted and equally in fatuated figure of Lady Macbeth whose ambition was the fruit

vulgar personal aggrandisement
Close to Macbeth perhaps even before it came King I ear
Lear like Macbeth follows the old chronicles but with far
greater freedom and with the almost certain intervention of an
older drama known as The History of King Lear This was

of her love for her husband not like Macbeth's the spur of

⁷ See Macbeth 1 7 10-1

acted, according to Henslowe, in 1594, registered in that year and printed, so far as we know, for the first time in 1605 This publication of an old play with the false statement, "as it was lately acted," marks a clear attempt on the part of a piratical publisher to palm off a spurious production as Shakespeare's, a misrepresentation which was responded to in unequivocal terms on the title page of the quarto of 1608 "Mr William Shakespeare his True Chronicle History of the Life and Death of King Lear" Shakespearean innovations on the sources are the conversion of the drama into a tragedy, the banishment and disguise of Kent, the creation of the fool and the addition of the underplot of Gloucester and his two sons derived from an episode in Sir Philip Sidney's Arcadia little does this tell of the transformation of a pleasing and pathetic comedy of no very serious import into this stupendous and torrential tragedy of the irrational, imperious Lear, the strident, unfilial daughters and their sweet-voiced, womanly sister, Cordelia, the faithful Kent and the sad-eyed clown - all etched into the picture on the background of an elemental war of nature with the mordant acid of tragic genius. In Macbeth and King Lear, as in the lesser tragedies of Coriolanus and Timon of Athens, there is a higher unity of passion that preserves each drama in its own essential key. As Macbeth is the tragedy of murderous royal ambition and Lear the cataclysm that follows on human folly, however regal its masquerade and pathetic its consequences, so Timon is the tragedy of misanthropy and Corrolanus that of arrogant, self-willed pride It is not the least of the merits of Shakespeare that in no one of these cases has the attribute obscured the individuality of the hero minor position of these two latter plays is referable to other Timon is of uncertain date and there is nothing to show that it was ever acted during Shakespeare's lifetime Moreover, the text is unequal and it has been doubted if it is wholly his Corrolanus, on the other hand, is certainly Shakespeare's and the latest of the tragedies, in all likelihood, as to composition; but it, too, was badly printed and external evidence as to its composition and acting is altogether wanting Yet Coriolanus in its major portraiture of the egotistic, selfwilled hero, the patrician Roman matron, Volumnia, his mother, and admirable, garrulous old Menenius, is not unworthy of its place beside the other Roman plays of Shakespeare It may be doubted if the spirit of old Rome is better preserved in either of

the greater plays to say nothing of the many dramas by Shake speare's contemporaries - always excepting Jonson - that lay their scenes in the august capitol of the imperial city of an

tiquity

There remains Antony and Cleopatra if we are to judge by the Stationers Register on the stage by 1608 although unpub lished until its appearance in the folio. Here as in Julius Casar Shakespeare's immediate source was Plutarch whom he followed with even more than his customary fidelity however he succeeded in his usual amazing transformation of his ma terial into something possessed of a new artistic organism Seldom has the æsthetic acumen of Coleridge so completely for saken him as when he advises that Antony and Cleopatra perused in mental contrast with Romeo and Juliet - as the love of passion and appetite opposed to the love of affection and in And nothing could be more admirable than the late Dr Furness's refutation of this idea 8 In this great tragedy even more than in the case of some others are we prone to con fuse the figures of the diverse kinds of fiction that we call his tory drama and poetry The impression which any cultivated man retains of an important personage in history is at best a composite of the reading that has happened to be his superim posed on tradition and we may add modified by his own per sonal prejudices. This is why we often have such difficulties with Shakespeare's historical characters reading into them ex traneous matters and distorting the significance of his text Shakespeare the love of Antony and Cleopatra was no mere vulgar liaison between a sensualist conqueror and a royal trull intriguing to postpone the inevitable collapse of a degenerate dynasty Nor was the story as Dryden heroically conceived it a struggle between unlawful love and forfeited honour for the restoration of Antony's peace of soul To Shakespeare the all important thing was the personality of his characters must have been the fascination of Cleopatra thus to have won to his destruction the greatest captain of his age? And what must have been this great love for what Antony conceived his honour his life the world well lost? Such a love Shakespeare knew could not be wholly ignoble hence while he never for one moment condones this heroic infringement of accepted moral law he compels us to see how heroic after all it is and ho v

⁸ Cf the New Variorum ed of Antony and Cleobatra 1907 p xiv

inconceivable it would be to form so lofty a structure on mere sensuality and moral degeneracy

Shakespeare's chronological range in tragedy extends from the year 1590 at earliest, when The Spanish Tragedy and Arden of Feversham were new to the stage, to 1609, before the Fletcherian dramatic compromise, known as tragicomedy, had come into popularity Shakespeare's competitors in tragedy during this period were many and discrimination as to their activities is not always easy. There were, first of all, Kyd and Marlowe, already sufficiently treated, whose plays maintained their hold upon the stage for a generation despite the deaths of both in the early nineties In these years tragedies derived from English history — Edward II, Richard III, Edward IV appear to have held the popular voice against romantic tragedy, to be followed by a temporary interest in topics derived from Roman history. This we have already found exemplified in several fine dramas by Shakespeare and others, especially Tonson who endeavoured to compromise between the extravagance and inconsistency of romantic art and a slavish following, on the other hand, of Senecan traditions Another, perhaps more immediate, outgrowth of the chronicle play is the extension of its method to subjects derived from foreign modern history So far as we know, Marlowe's Massacre at Paris, 1593, was the first important drama of this particular species, and it was Marlowe's example that turned the attention of George Chapman to the tragic possibilities of contemporary French history in the plays of the brothers D'Ambois, the Duke of Byron and Chabot. About Chapman and his comedies of manners we shall hear more below, we have met him already as a writer of serious romantic comedies not unaffected by the contemporary example of Shakespeare We have found, too, The Revenge of Bussy D'Ambois in its place among the tragedies of revenge The informing spirit of this play, as of Bussy D'Ambois, its predecessor in the series of Chapman's French tragedies, is romantic. Bussy is an upstart courtier and bravo raised by the whim of Monsieur, brother to the king, to a favour and acceptance at court that gives full vent to Bussy's intolerable egotism In the end he falls traitorously, if morally justly enough, by the hand that raised him But no mere recital such as this could make clear the mingled excellencies and defects of Chapman's remarkable work Chapman, as became the translator of Homer in a romantic age, has the grand heroic manner

In his diction the rhetorical tone which he caught from the prevailing Senecan influence of his time is often raised to a higher power by his sheer poetry As we read Bussy D Ambois we are struck again and again with Chapman's wisdom his mastery of the phrase his imaginative eloquence as we lay down the play we wonder that a course so devious and appa rently without design could have compassed a dramatic effect Nor would an estimate involving so complete and lasting some such ideas be less applicable to Chapman's other historical tragedies The two plays on Charles Duke of Byron appear to have been acted soon after The Revenge of Bussy about 1608 In all the atmosphere of political intrigue in an elegant but cor rupt court is preserved with excellent fidelity and the person ages of contemporary neighbouring France are represented so faithfully at times so scandalously that we hear of a remon strance from the French ambassador at London and of the arrest of several of the actors concerned Chapman later protested vigorously against the ruling of Sir George Buc who excised certain passages of Biron when license to print was Indeed one of these plays remains to us in its mu tilated condition a proof of the effective censorship which King James exacted where political allusion was concerned fifth of Chapman's historical tragedies is Chabot Admiral of In the version that remains to us this fine tragedy was revised by the skilful dramatic hand of Shirley at some time in the early sixteen thirties The theme is both novel and interesting it concerns an honourable and upright servant of his king who dies broken hearted because of his sovereign's sus picion and mistrust the result of the machinations of his enemies While less imaginative and uncontrolled than Chapman's earlier work in this kind Shirley has made out of Chapman's material by far the best drama of the series It seems unwise to include either Revenge for Honour or Alphonsus of Germany among the works of Chapman The former is a tragedy of Turkish court life and the work of Henry Glapthorne the latter a play not impossibly of the revenge series but alike indeterminable as to date and authorship It has attracted the attention of Ger man scholars from its German story and the circumstance that in it is to be found considerable quotation in the language of the fatherland

Other employment of French history came later save per hips for the rough and ready product of the playhouse The Noble Spanish Soldier by Dekker and Samuel Rowley, from its similar subject in parts and from the nature of its allusions to the court of King Henry IV probably of a date not far from that of Chapman's two plays on Byron. Wiser than their predecessor, the joint authors of The Noble Spanish Soldier evaded the pains and penalties of contemporary allusion by transforming the scene of their drama to Spain and making a tragedy out of events that had not reached, in their reality in Henry's court, so serious a termination. Not dissimilar was the device afterwards pursued by Fletcher and Massinger in Thierry and Theodoret, 1617, not improbably a revision of an early play of other authorship, known in 1597 under the title "Branhowlte." Henslowe's approximation to Brunhalt Here, once more, it has been thought that contemporary happenings in the neighbouring court of France were staged under the disguise of a story of Merovingian times The play itself is powerful and forbidding, and a favourable specimen of the Fletcherian art of dramatic contrast Scarcely less forcible is The Bloody Brother or Rollo Duke or Normandy, variously dated between 1606 and 1624 and the work of several hands, Fletcher, William Rowley and Jonson supposedly among them But no such duke apparently disgraces the annals of historical Normandy

But France was not the only modern country to lend historic material to Elizabethan dramatic treatment on the stage diversity of tragic scene, as of comic, was to a large degree accidental, the subject-matter of our old plays commonly grouping for other reasons than these Thus the tragedy of revenge gives us Italian Antonio, French D'Ambois, German Hoffman and Danish Hamlet, and it began in a Spanish Hieronimo sides the famous play of Kyd, Greene's Alphonsus of Aragon and Peele's Battle of Alcazar touch on material more or less historically Spanish, to say nothing of The Spanish Moor's Tragedy, referred to the authorship of Dekker, Haughton and Day in 1600, and perhaps Lust's Dominion, printed as Marlowe's in 1657 This play is certainly not Marlowe's, it is a shameless following of Titus Andronicus especially in the figures of "the lascivious queen" (the alternate title) and of Eleazer the Moor who at once recall Tamora the Gothic queen of Titus and Aaron, her paramour Towards the end of the reign of King James, Spanish subjects, for political and other reasons, came into great request To these we shall return, for the present it is enough to note that in the year 1619. William Rowley s All's Lost by Lust was acted a tragedy of re markable frankness and effectiveness in which is told the fa mous old story of Spanish ballad literature that of El Rej Rodrigo the last Christian King of Spain, and his fall before the treacherous King of Barbary. We have met with William Rowley as an alleged collaborator with Shakespeare in The Birth of Merlin we shall meet him again especially in his dramatic association with Middleton. William Rowley is distinguishable from his namesake Samuel Rowley whose name does not appear in Henslowe's Diary. William has been de scribed as beloved by those great men Shakespeare. Fletcher and Jonson. He was the junior of the youngest of these by several years.

many different playwrights

Of tragedies the scene of which is German, Chettle's Hoff man and the anonymous Alphonsus of Germany have already been mentioned The marriage of the king's daughter Eliza beth to Frederick the Elector Palatine in 1613 is responsible for a mediocre play entitled The Hector of Germany or the Palsgrave Prince Elector by Wentworth Smith a busy minor poet in Henslowe's employ The extravagance of the wander ings of the Hector from history and over the face of Europe need not concern us A point of interest is the circumstance that the tragedy was acted at the Red Bull Theatre not by professional players but by a troupe of young men of the city When all has been said however it was Italy that figured to the Elizabethan imagination in tragedy as elsewhere as the golden land of romance Personages of Italian history appear in the titles of many plays from The Duke of Milan and the Duke of Mantua in 1579 to Macchiavelli the Medici Pope Joan and others of Henslowe's mention in the nineties An exceed ingly effective tragedy of Italian quasi historical character is The Devil s Charter by Barnabe Barnes the Italianate sonneteer and lyrist. Here is told the life and terrible death of the wicked Pope Alexander VI and the story is correlated to the Faustus cycle by assuming the papal success in worldliness and wickedness the result of a compact with the devil. In a finely conceived if melodramatic climax the dying Pope catches at a curtain which conceals from him the future and tearing it apart beholds enthroned in all the regalit of priestly pomp and seated in the chair of St Peter Satan himself The Devil's Charter was acted by the Ling's company in 1606

With our return to Italy we have returned to romantic tragedy. The years 1609 to 1612 gave to the stage four great dramas in which woman is represented in the deadly perversion that brings destruction to man. The first of these in point of time is Fletcher's powerful The Maid's Tragedy which from its relations to his tragicomedies is best treated below; the latest was The Insatiate Countess, printed as Marston's, in 1612, and perhaps not wholly his. The subject, "the difference betwint the love of courtesan and a wife," Marston had already treated with effect in his comedy, The Dutch Courtesan Both plays belong, in a sense, to the domestic drama, and the tragedy, in its terrible picture of the career of a veritable queen of wantons. however it horrify, for its subject cannot but be commended for its vigorous art. Middleton's Women Beware Women. acted about 1612, is neither less forbidding in subject nor inferior in dramatic power. This tragedy tells the story of a recent Italian scandal, that concerning Francesco de' Medici and his abandoned mistress, Bianca Capello In his underplot Middleton touches the foul topic of incest, maintaining here, as in his comedies, his repute as the most veritable realist of his age. The fourth of these tragedies of misguided and perverted womanhood is Webster's The White Devil, the dramatization of a recent cause celèbre, the outcome of another scandal in Italian high life.

Of John Webster very little is known save that "he was born free of the Merchant Tailors' Company" and was a fellow-worker with Dekker, Middleton and Marston. His earliest work, now no longer extant, belongs to the very last years of Elizabeth's reign. Thereafter he was concerned in something less than a score of plays and pageants, comprising historical drama such as Sir Thomas Wyatt, comedies of manners and intrigue like Westward IIo and Northward Ho, and classical tragedy represented in Appius and Virginia. Of the several comedies doubtfully attributed to Webster at least in part, it is unnecessary to speak here. Webster is remembered in the history of English literature for one thing and that is for his extraordinary power in romantic tragedy, alike in the creation of character and in the skilful handling of material, and his two masterpieces are The White Devil and The Duchess of Malfi, both acted before 1612 In the first we have the story of the infatuation of the Duke of Brachiano for the beautiful Vittoria Corombona, his murder of her husband and

his own wife at the instigation of Vittoria their subsequent trial flight and marriage with the vengeance of the brother of the late Duchess on the guilty pair The radiant beauty of Vittoria pervades the play and conscious though we are at all times of her abandonment to passion and her calculating cunning when brought to her defence we too feel the fascination that per verted her judges and the spectators at her trial Scarcely less effective are the figures of the profligate Brachiano of Flamineo the cynical pander to his own sister's shame and the distracted mother of these extraordinary and brilliant creatures The Duchess of Malfi which is usually regarded as the later play preserves the same atmosphere of intrigue and counter intrigue in the ducal courts of Italy and portrays in the Arragonian brothers and in their creature Bosola three of the most consummate portraits within the range of our drama. Bosola the intelligencer depraved discontented ab solutely clear-sighted as to his wicked acts and their conse quences unvisited by compunction in his cruelty yet smitten with remorse in disappointment of his reward - such a villain is worthy to stand beside Iago himself. Above all in her beauty and pathetic fate stands the Duchess of Malfi victim of unparalleled indignities losing all husband children life itself yet victor over the machinations of her wicked brothers against her in her equally unparalleled fortitude. In depicting the ingenious horrors with which the half-crazed Ferdinand tortures his unhappy sister of Malfi in the vain endeavour to break her unconquerable spirit Webster proclaims himself our master poet in the domain of the terrible. Sustained as is all by a competent diction a power over language and illuminated by single lines of flashing genius. Webster takes his place for these two tragedies as second only to the master poet himself

We have seen how popular romantic traged; was affected from the first by the example of Senect the cult of whose tragedies beginning at court with the reign of the queen was extended to the playhouses of the city by such men as Peele and Kyd But Kyd was author not only of The Spanish Tragedy which was Seneca popularised for the vulgar but also of a translation of Robert Gariner's Cornelie which though unsuccessful on the stage led to a series of academic dramas initiative of the Roman tragedian in a new solution this time French Recent investigation into the sources of Elizybethan literature tend to show that the age was affected by the litera

ture of France far more and much more directly than has hitherto been accepted. The Elizabethan lyric turns out. for example, to be extensively imitative of that contemporary in France and many a story, formerly imagined to have come to England directly from Italy or Spain, has been shown to have arrived by way of the same intermediary.9 French Seneca, as we may call this small group of tragedies, centres about the Countess of Pembroke and her immediate circle. As early as 1590 the Countess herself had translated Garnier's Antonie, preserving the lofty tone, the frigidity and stately air of her original, and Kyd's Cornelia, as well as his projected translation of another tragedy of Garnier, his Porcie, which was not completed, both are referable to this impetus. The rest of the group include several original tragedies by Daniel, Brandon and Sir Fulke Greville, all of them falling, in point of date of composition, within the last ten or twelve years of Elizabeth's reign A little later, Sir William Alexander, afterwards Earl of Stirling, brings up the rear with his Monarchic Tragedies, 1603 to 1607, whether as an actual intimate of this noble literary circle or as an imitator of its achievements may be left in auestion

By far the most important person in this group was Samuel Daniel, to be recorded recognition in any history of the literature of the time for many estimable qualities as a man and a poet Daniel was the son of a musician and born in 1562 His education he had at Oxford, beginning his career as a poet as early as 1584 with his graceful Italiante sonnets to Delia, the first series to follow in the wake of Sidney's famous Astrophel and Stella While chronicle plays were holding the stage, Daniel wrote his narrative poem, The History of the Civil War, which enjoyed like other productions of its class. Warner's Albion's England, and Drayton's The Baron's War, for example, a greater popularity in its day than its merits now appear to warrant. It was later, in the reign of King James, that Daniel gained further literary laurels for his masques and pastoral dramas And it was then that Jonson's enmity overtook him. In the interim came his two contributions to "French Seneca," Cleopatra, 1594, and Philotas, 1600 There is eloquence, choice diction and much poetical spirit in both these

⁹ See especially Sir Sidney Lee, The Irench Renaissance in England, 1910

tragedies. More there is a queenly grace and dignity about the figure of Cleopatra here represented in the heroic resolve of her last hours that the reader remembers with pleasure but neither production is truly dramatic nor with all his talent was the dramatist in Daniel We read with interest that there was some fear on the part of the author lest his Philotas the story of a young noble full of pride and outspoken in criticism of his sovereign Alexander might be held to touch too nearly the like story of the Earl of Essex in this very year disgraced and on trial for his foolish and disloyal outburst against his queen A similar fear caused Greville to destroy the manu script of a play of his on Antony and Cleopatra Clearly more importance was attached to the words of courtiers and scholars than to the treatment of historical topics by the poets or common players Innuendo and dark writing was one of the accepted arts of the time and what those within the precincts of the court might say was a thing of moment Samuel Brandon's I irtuous Octavia 1599 is by no means devoid of merit though chiefly interesting here for its subject taken in conjunction with the efforts on the story of the same hero by Lady Pembroke Daniel and Greville. Of Brandon personally nothing is known

In turning to the extant tragedies of Fulke Greville we meet with work of a higher order Greville was the boyhood friend of Sir Philip Sidney and born in the same year Like Sidney he enjoyed the personal favour of his queen whose memory with that of his friend he embalmed years after in one of the sin cerest and choicest of Elizabethan books. Greville's Life of Sir Philip Sidnes Greville became an important councillor of King James under his later title Lord Brooke and as owner of Warwick Castle dispensed noble hospitality in his day lived to an advanced age being finally murdered by a servant in 1628 The two plays of Greville are Alaham written about 1600 and Mustapha probably some five or six years later We have here evidently works of maturity very different from the efforts of young literary men like Daniel and Brandon in intent and based alike on a wider reading and a wider experi ence in life Indeed it may be questioned if the particular dramatic form in which these dramas were cast was more than accident the author taking the mode current in his own circle and concerning himself not at all about anything outside story these tragedies draw on material as remote as possible one would think from contemporary interest. The scene of

Alaham is laid in "the kingdom of Ormus," Mustapha is drawn from the history of the Ottomans, not impossibly from Knollys' General History of the Turks, a new book at the accession of King James Both are stories of palace intrigue, of malevolent ambition, noble fortitude and suffering under cruel infliction. Moreover, both are exceedingly original in conduct, in conception of personage though almost parallel in plot But for none of these things were these tragedies written Greville declares for us his intention, in them as in his poetical "Treatises" on government, ambition and other like themes, to be "to trace out the highways of ambitious governours, and to show in the practice, that the more audacity, advantage and good success such sovereigns have, the more they hasten to their own desolation and ruin" These tragedies differ from all the dramas of their age in existing for a speculative, not an artistic or merely moral, purpose Greville is not alone in abstract moralising, Daniel did that in this group of plays before him and Stirling especially after, nor is Greville alone in writing for a purpose ulterior to the artistic one, that was common enough. Greville is conspicuous in the purely intellectual processes of his art and in the extraordinary logic of his Stoicism, which causes him to regard all human activity, whether virtuous or deprayed, as varieties of folly, the only true wisdom is patience. It was this, with some misapprehension as to the dramatic purpose of certain utterances that led to the notion that Greville was irreligious His tragedies are the most truly philosophical of their time, for they exist for their speculative thought and thus presage such modern productions as Goethe's Faust and Browning's Sordello The amazing thing about them is that the circumstance that their personages stand out with a vividness and an individuality little to be expected in work of such a design, and that passage after passage is sustained by sheer poetry With Stirling's four Monarchic Tragedies, Darius, Croesus, Cæsar and The Alexandrean Tragedy, variously published between 1603 and 1607, and outlying productions such as The Tragedy of Mariam by Lady Elizabeth Carew and Cynthia's Revenge by one John Stephen, these two latter printed in 1613, the tale of French Seneca comes to an end. Stirling's dramas are not without a certain historical value, Stephen's, in its obscurity, allegory and bombast, may be pronounced the most intolerable of Elizabethan plays We may add that it seems unlikely that any of these dramas were written

for acting either privately or at court All observe a more or less minute attention to the technical processes of the drama of Garnier the brothers La Taille and Grevin and rhyme in coup lets or alternately employed abounds in the dialogue. It may be doubted if this series of exotic imitations had any effect whatever on the popular stage unless it may have been to call attention to classical subjects, and of these on the popular stage.

enough has been said

Our tale of Elizabethan tragedy at its height is told but there are some things that we may gather up by way of sum mary Of the fifty or more tragedies which have been men tioned in this chapter it is somewhat surprising to find nearly half referable to ancient story however a proportion of those on the popular stage were romantically conceived and presented The place which Kyd and Marlowe take as theme givers to English tragedy is notable The former's Spanish Tragedy and Hamlet led to the line of the tragedies of revenge and Tam burlaine started the war drama or conqueror play and through Greene's Selimus and the like the group of plays on eastern subjects To the Elizabethan the annals of the Turk were of a very live interest for it was only the Battle of Lepanto in 1571 that put a stop to Ottoman aggression in Europe popular dramas like Peele's lost Turkish Mahomet scraps of which are quoted with Tamburlaine by Ancient Pistol and hence rude melodramas such as Mulleasses the Turk 1607 by one John Mason and the lurid Turkish tragedies of Thomas To return to the influences on tracedy it can hardly Goffe have been merely an accident that had a Cornelia in 1592 and Marlowe's Dido in the next year should have been followed Henslowe's stage by a Gasar and Pompey and a second part of Casar while Marlowe's inspiration of the French histories of Chapman appears as certain as infer ence from historical material can ever be Tragedy on classical subjects is as old as the drama. Such plays existed at the uni versities as we have seen and as Bower's Appius and Virginia 1563 and Geddes Casar in 1582 with many other examples The new infusion of Senecanism from France we have sufficiently examined Its courtier cultivators were oblivious of the nopular drama though it is not so certain that the play wrights of the London theatres may not have turned to topics derived from ancient history partly because of these literary efforts at court Certainly when Shakespeare tried his hand at

Julius Casar, Henslowe's poets, in this case described as "Munday, Drayton, Webster and the rest," responded almost immediately with Casar's Fall, and Jonson, Heywood and Marston put forth, soon after, each his rival tragedy in this kind, Chapman following a little later with his Casar and Pompey, a production not worthy his great name The rivalry went on. rising to its height in Antony and Cleopatra, and closing in Coriolanus, 1608, and in Jonson's Catiline, 1611 tragedy of revenge no more need be said. Shakespeare's Lear and Macbeth hark back to earlier times, for each is, in a sense, a glorified chronicle play. Romeo and Juliet and Othello belong to the general class of romantic tragedy founded on Italian story and differ from their kind mainly in the individualism of their art, what genius has wrought above their species Save for Chapman's definite group of historical dramas touching French history, only one remaining group stands notably forth among the various themes of the tragedies of the days of James, and this is the terrible series which details the life of the noble harlot, beginning with Titus and Lust's Dominion, which has been attributed to Marlowe, and including The White Devil of Webster, Middleton's Women Beware Women and Marston's Insatiate Countess An atmosphere more or less historical dominates some of the remaining dramas, The Noble Spanish Soldier and All's Lost by Lust for example, others rise, like The Duchess of Malfi, in their artistic isolation above the circumstantiality of fact. To the writing of these tragedies during a period of some twenty years was brought the genius and the talents of a score of writers at court, in the universities and especially on the public stage And their theories of tragedy were no less diverse than their stations in life, their learning and their opportunities Assuredly the disparity between the learned Dr Gwinne of Oxford, ransacking Tacitus, Suetonius and Dio Cassius accurately to write his Latin Nero and Samuel Rowley, dramatizing a contemporary scandal of the French court, disguised as a "Spanish story," is as great as that between Webster, intent on a faithful and artistic picturing of the deeper passions that animate and ruin mankind, and Greville, oblivious to all save a vivid illustration of his theory of speculative stoicism And the variety of this drama is equally great, ranging from the rhetorical frigidity of Daniel to Jonson's vigorous historical portraiture, and from the significant poetry of Chapman and Shakespeare's masterful grasp

down to the melodramatic extravagances of Chettle Mason and Goffe The range of this wonderful musical instrument Elizabethan drama is always amazing as often as we are beguiled to listen to the rich full harmony of its music in master hands. There has been no age in which proportionate to the population so many wrote dramas and there has been none in which so large a number of these rose above respectability to a memorable excellence. The new age was to care more for the horrors of tragedy than for its significance. Up to within a few years of Shakespeare's death there was still no form of the drama which carried such an artistic weight and message none in which we meet with a deeper philosophy or with more imperishable poetry.

CHAPTER VII

JONSON AND THE CLASSICAL AND SATIRICAL REACTION

WE know more about Ben Tonson than about any other literary man of his age, and barring Shakespeare, Jonson is by far the most significant literary figure of his time. A posthumous son. born in Westminster, some nine years after Shakespeare, Jonson survived to long outlive his friend and carry the authority of his name and the sanction of his dramatic practices into the reign of King Charles Jonson died in 1637, long the victim of ill health and a certain amount of neglect at court now to chronicle his palmier days. Jonson "was brought up poorly," his mother having remarried and beneath her, a brick layer, calumny even whispered that Jonson had at some time exercised his step-father's trade But the antiquary Camden, then an usher at Westminster School, befriended Jonson and he received his schooling there, though unable afterwards to proceed to either university Degrees he had later from both "by their favour not his study," and it is interesting to think of the academic world of those times so honouring a purely literary man Jonson married quite as imprudently as Shakespeare and when almost as young. Thereafter he went abroad and "trailed a pike" in Flanders, on one occasion, as he delighted to tell, singling out a champion from among the enemy, calling him forth, and killing him in sight of both armies He returned from Flanders penniless, and had recourse, like many another, to Henslowe's mart in the drama. This must have been about 1595 or a year later At any rate Henslowe's entries show, as to Jonson, at first called familiarly "Benjamin," the usual course of apprenticeship, the revision and refashioning of old plays when revived, collaboration with others and general services about the playhouse There are contradictory traditions as to Jonson as an actor We have no list in which his name so figures, as we have in the case of Shakespeare He was taxed by his enemies with having once played

the part of Hieronimo in The Spanish Tragedy but it is iin likely that he ever made much of a success. He was a raw boned lad and later became corpulent and he describes himself in later life as possessed of a mountain belly and a rocky By 1598 Jonson had begun however to receive recognition for he is mentioned in that year by the pragmatic Meres as one of our best in tragedy The earliest work of Jonson has perished Henslowe named however three tragedies Page of Plymouth a murder play Ling Robert II of Scotland and Richard Crookback these latter clearly contributions to the current chronicle plays Only a sketch of the last remains the others were written in collaboration all of them fall later than the mention of Meres Jonson was sensitive about these experiments of his nonage and appears to have succeeded in covering up his earlier footsteps towards success Only The Case is Altered a comedy of romantic type not unaffected by Shakespeare remains of these early efforts and of this Jonson never acknowledged his authorship

In the autumn of 1598 Jonson's pugnacity of disposition re sulted in a duel in Hogsdon Fields in which he again killed his man a fellow player and by all accounts something of a brayo named Gabriel Spencer The prevalence of duelling in Eliza bethan England needs no comment for him who knows Eliza bethan olays But it was one thing for noblemen and gentlemen so to defend their honour and settle their differences it was an impertinent assumption of gentility on the part of a common Accordingly Jonson was tried at Old Bailey convicted and sent to prison and such possessions as he had were for Indeed Ionson only escaped the gallows by pleading the benefit of clergy and was branded on the thumb with a 'T for Tyburn to commemorate that escape While in prison Jonson became a Roman Catholic a form of religion that he afterwards abjured to return to the faith of England On his release which seems to have been speedy enough Jonson offered his services to the Chamberlain's men in which company Shakespeare was now a leading shareholder And here falls the pleasing story first related it is believed by Betterton that Jonson departing with the manuscript of Every Man in his Humour refused by the reader was recalled by Shakespeare who himself read his play and reversed the decision of the com pany Whatever the truth of this tradition Jonson's comedy was accepted and acted within the year 1508 Shakespeare tak

ing one of the parts Every Man in his Humour made the reputation of Jonson This first success is commonly reckoned an epoch-making play, for in it the poet set forth in practice certain very definite theories concerning English comedy which were his. Jonson was an observer of the life about him as well as a student of the past He desired to compass a satirical picture of contemporary life presented vividly and amusingly, and to do this with a becoming regard for the practice of comedy as exemplified in the best classical models The plot of Every Man in his Humour is exceedingly simple an intercepted letter reveals to a father that his supposedly studious son is really somewhat of a gallant, the father follows the son to the city and their adventures with the personages they meet, together with those of their knavish servant, Brainworm, who follows both on his own account, form the fabric of the plot. The novelty of the comedy lies in the conception of the personages, each governed by some salient trait or characteristic Brainworm with his passion for "gulling everybody," gulled in the end himself, Bobadil, eager to appear the supreme duellist though, unfortunately for his ambition, at heart a coward, Knowell, Downright, their very names, as often in Jonson, betray them Now, to this kind of thing, Jonson gave - or at least gave popular currency to - the term a "humour," defining it as a ruling trait or bias of character such as determines the customary attitude and habitual conduct of the personage possessing it He especially reprobated the abuse of the word to signify some trivial peculiarity or mannerism of costume or speech, a significance to which the term was subsequently sometimes degraded.

A satirical representation of life on the stage was of course no new thing. The elder drama was full of it, though never systemized as here. But this simplification of complex human nature to a leading typical trait was only a part of the poet's more general theory. Jonson was a classicist, that is, one who believes not only in the sanction and precedent of the ancients in literature and art, but one who believes in the restraint and respect for precedent which a study of former art should inspire. Jonson objected especially to the extravagance and unprofessional spirit of Renaissance poetry and drama. He believed that there was a professional and responsible way of doing all these things and that example for much of it can be found in the practices of ancient Greek and Roman authors. What

Jonson did not believe - however ignorant misrepresentation of his own time or later may affirm it - was that the salvation of English literature was to be found in slavishly following classi cal ways \ I see not he says but we should enjoy the same license or free power to illustrate and heighten our inventions as they [the ancients] did and not be tied to those strict and reg ular forms which the niceness of a few who are nothing but form would thrust upon us 1 \ His theories Jonson held to through a reasonable and triumphant practice of some forty years stand ing manfully in a position counter to the extravagant romantic trend of his age And in the end the age came around to him In poetry at large Jonson exercised a more powerful influence on his time than did any other author not even excepting Shakespeare and Spenser and it was Jonson's ideals and practices that led on logically to Dryden and Pope As to immediate effect on the drama of his own time the Jonsonian humour Jonson followed up his own success with a became the rage play of companion title Every Man Out of his Humour and later completed the cycle of his dramatic work with The Mag netic Lady or Humours Reconciled) There was Chapman's Humorous Day & Mirth in the same year with Tonson's second Humour an inferior anonymous comedy in 1600 called Every Woman in her Humour and Day a few years later named one of his sprightly comedies Humour Out of Breath More im portant than titles Chapman and several lesser men came wholly over to Jonson's manner of writing comedy by way of humour and even Shakespeare disdained not to employ the method in personages such as Bardolph and Pistol in Dr Caius and his group in The Merry Wires and in the humorous' Scotch Welch and Irish captains of Henry V In Falstaff and Malvolio we have Shakespeare's most serious efforts to model dramatic character along the line of Jonsonian humorous sim plicity We can conceive of Falstaff or even of Malvolio under situations different from those which surround them in the dramas of which they are a part it is difficult to think of Captain Bobadil outside of the entertaining scenes of Every Man in his Humour Shakespeare's genius even in shackles transcended the ingenious art of Jonson

With the success of his "comedy of humours Jonson turned his attention determinedly in the direction of dramatic satire

¹ See Every Man in his Humour Induction

Jonson was always sure of himself, and, however generous to his intimates, he was arrogantly contemptuous of the great multitude amongst whom he included all whom he had not personally chosen to be of the number of his friends As he looked about him, towards the end of the year 1598, flushed with success, three persons especially attracted his satirical attentions and for reasons not altogether accidental There was John Marston, two years his junior, recently from Oxford, author of several plays, in his new book, The Scourge of Villainy proclaiming himself a satirist, and quite as opinionated and selfsatisfied as Jonson himself Secondly, there was Samuel Daniel, of whom we have also heard, the accepted entertainer of the court, Italianate, fashionable and effeminate - or at least so Jonson thought him — turning sonnets in the manner of Petrarch whom Jonson despised, and writing drama in the manner of Garnier whom Jonson did not understand, on easy terms, moreover, with great people, and these as yet Jonson did not know. Lastly, there was Anthony Munday, pageant master to the city, translator of romances, and collaborator with anybody in anything theatrical or other These men in particular Jonson attacked in the three dramatic satires which form his contributions to what Dekker called the "poetomachia" and later critics have dubbed "the war of the theatres" It is interesting to note as to Jonson's personal ambitions respecting two of these men, that he became in later years chronologer of the city of London, a better post than that of pageant-poet, and that he also became poet laureate and the accepted entertainer of the court in a larger sense than Daniel had ever conceived the latter.

Jonson's three famous dramatic satires are Every Man Out of his Humour, acted by the Chamberlain's men in 1599, Cynthia's Revels, or the Fountain of Self-Love, and The Poetaster, or his Arraignment, following in the two successive years, and acted, not by the Chamberlain's men, but by the children of the royal chapel the change of company is significant. However the opinions of individual investigators may diverge, all must agree that in these plays Jonson satirized several of his fellow poets in terms as unmistakable as they are vigorous, though the three dramas may be differentiated as devoted more or less ostensibly to an attack respectively upon the follies of citizen life, of the court and of the poets) The causes, origin and the details of the conduct of this "war" must remain obscure

from the nature of things although much has been done to elucidate the subject We may feel reasonably sure that Ion son and Marston were the principals and that Dekker was later called in as a mercenary so to speak contributing only Satiromastix to the fray According to Jonson the whole thing began outside the drama in certain satirical allusions of Mar ston's to Jonson in the former's Scourge of Villainy Marston's dramatic contributions to the quarrel have been found in Histriomastix 1509 an allegorical drama of hetero geneous contents which he made over, in a romantic comedy of intrigue called Jack Drum's Entertainment 1600 which Marston never acknowledged and in parts of Antonio and Mellida which falls likewise within these years On the other hand there are epigrams of Jonson variously charging one 'playwright ' (supposed to mean Marston) with cowardice scurrility and plagiarism Jonson told Drummond that he had many quarrels with Marston [and that he] beat him and took his pistol from him. But when all is said we must not take these valorous dramatic combatants too seriously Two or three years later found Marston and Jonson in amicable collaboration with Chapman in an excellent comedy Eastward Ho and in 1604 Marston printed his Malcontent with a dedi cation "to Benjamin Jonson that most grave and graceful poet his very candid and beloved friend

To return to the dramatic satires Jonson's method is simple and direct. The story in these three plays counts for very little although the successive episodes are made sufficiently interest ing to hold the reader's attention and we may surmise far more certainly that of the auditor when the matter was fresh. It is in his matchless power of satiric characterisation and in the brilliant humorous allusive dialogue with which all is clothed that Jonson shines above all his competitors and justifies his title the English Anstophanes. We can understand the contemporary success of these plays in the hands of the competent fellows of Shakespeare and in those of the clever lads that acted them we can understand too how the town must have acclaimed the war 'and went about from playhouse to play house to hear how Marston would take off Jonson or 'what

The best account of the whole matter is that of J H Penniman in the Introduction to his edition of Poetaster and Satiromastix Belles Lettres Series Boston 1913 Jonson could say now?" We can likewise comprehend how, towards the end, the town wearied of Jonson's arrogance and self-righteousness — witness the almost incredible portrait of himself which he draws in Asper-Macilente in Every Man out of his Humour — how even the very wealth of his eloquence was his undoing, and the palm of victory was awarded, by his capricious hearers, to Dekker for his Satiromastix, a warmed-over performance, inferior to the least of Jonson's

Into the particulars of the "war" and especially into the quagmire of personal identification there is happily no need for us to trespass In The Poetaster, Jonson lampooned the inferior poets of the day whose "petulant styles," he declares, had "provoked" him for years "on the stage" The parable is that of the virtuous Horace and his friend Virgil at Rome, with their incomparable talents and impeccable perfections in the high light of contrast with the envy, stupidity and spleen of the poetasters, their natural enemies. In a climax more diverting than elegant, Marston-Crispinus is represented as cured of his "tumorous heats" of calumny against Horace by certain pills "of the whitest kind of hellebore" which, acting after their kind, relieve him with some struggling of his affected vocabulary and work an absolute cure Dekker worked up the reply of his Satiromastix by a parody of Jonson's subject, uniting its Roman scene very martificially with a species of chronicle play of the time of William Rufus which he appears to have had by The grossness of his workmanship in this case is best discerned in his degradation of Jonson's braggart Tucca into a scurrilous bravo Many interesting surmises have been indulged in as to Shakespeare's probable attitude among these broils, and some have surmised that he is intended in Virgil, the presiding judge of Jonson's court of the poets Others have given this place of honour to Jonson's known "friend and lover," Chapman There is a famous allusion to the "war" in an academic play called The Return from Parnassus, acted at Cambridge in 1602 in which occurs this much-quoted passage "Why here's our fellow Shakespeare puts them all down, aye, and Ben Jonson too O that Ben Jonson is a pestilent fellow! he brought up Horace giving the poets a pill, but our fellow Shakespeare hath given him a purge that made him bewray his credit" And the question arises was Shakespeare's "purge" a play? and if so, what play? Some have thought it enigmatic Troilus and Cressida, the significance, we must fear, to be discerned only as in a glass darkly. Others have more wisely given up the matter Shakespeare's comment on the which be it remembered had come latterly to be a match between the adult players and the children's company of the queen's chapel, is contained in Hamlet's remarks to Rosenkranz After hearing of the success of the little eyasses and the terrors of those whom they had lampooned his thought is only for the little actor's welfare and he declares that 'their writers do them wrong to make them exclaim against their own suc cession that is imperil their future as players by thus falling out with the adult fellow members of the profession. Was it because of Shakespeare's disapproval of the excesses of this dramatic warfire that after Every Man Out of his Humour Jonson transferred his satires to the boy players? Satiromastix was acted by the Chamberlain's men and therefore with Shake speare's approval Perhaps after all it was Dekker's play that was the purge wherewith Shakespeare put down Ion รดก

And now Jonson turned his attention elsewhere as we have already seen, in his additions to The Spanish Tragedy which fall within the year 1602 and to the composition of Sejanus acted by Shakespeare's company in 1603 This fine tragedy in its relations to the series of dramas on classical historical subjects we have sufficiently discussed in the last chapter. It may be repeated here for emphasis that in his tragedies no less than in his comedies do the theories of Ion son fall into contrast with the prevalent romantic ideals of his day and that these theories however they were grounded in a recognition of the importance and weight to be attached to the example of the ancients became reasonable in Jonson's applica tion of them to what he recognised as conditions far other than those governing ancient times. And now an even more im portant immediate interest absorbed Jonson In the later declining years of the old queen the shadow of her successor in the north began to fall upon English affairs. It was this that armed the abortive Essex rebellion and cast suspicion on the literary tragedies of Daniel and Greville After the acting of Richard II by actors of the Shakespeare company to inspirit the Essex conspirators one Lawrence Fletcher visited king James in Scotland with a troupe of English actors and was cordially received Fletcher was not a member of the Cham berlain's company on his first visit to Scotland in 1500 we are not quite so sure about his status on a second trip, in 1601 any rate, in May 1603 letters patent were issued wherein it appears that the Lord Chamberlain's company had now become "the King's servants" and three names head the list of actors, Lawrence Fletcher, William Shakespeare and Richard Bur-By this thrifty piece of forethought Shakespeare and his fellows preserved their prestige in the new reign. Under such conditions it is not surprising that Jonson likewise should have bestirred himself We do not know the means by which he received an introduction to King Tames, but we find Jonson in rivalry with Daniel in the entertainments of welcome tendered to the new sovereign on his royal progress from Scotland to assume his English crown, and he was also the author, with others, of entertainments celebrating the king's entry into This was a turning point in Jonson's career. activities were henceforth divided between the court and the theatre, to the former with the author let us first turn

The entertainments of royalty smacked of the dramatic from We have heard of the dialogue form given time immemorial to speeches of royal welcome by Lydgate in the fifteenth century and of the development of the dramatic element in the hands of Heywood into the interlude, forerunner of domestic farce and comedy. It is out of an even earlier form of entertainment that the masque, properly so called, arose, and this was the disguising or mumming, a usual pastime very early at court and referable, if we are to seek deep enough in the past, to some of the most primitive of the customs of the folk might be easier, as well as more logical, to trace out the growth of such an entertainer of royalty as Cornish in Henry VIII's court, for example, from the occasional minstrel, whose songs, mimicry and inventive pageantry amused Henry's mediæval predecessors, than to find in his devices of masking, speech and costume any close relation to true drama. It was to supervise these things and the dialogues and interludes that grew with them that the office of the revels was raised from an occasional function in times of festival into a permanent organisation which provided not only for the entertainment of the sovereign in his court and on progress, but which came in time to superintend the drama at large The records, moreover, go to show that

³ The text of this interesting document has often been printed, see Hazlitt, English Drama, 38-40

masquing mumming and disguisings in all their varieties were as common among the people in medieval times as at court. In deed it is only the place and circumstance of their performance their greater elaboration and their occasional rise into the cate gories of drama and poetry that account for our knowing so much about the entertainments at court.

In number variety elaboration and poetic beauty the masques of Jonson surpass those of all others and but for him the species need hardly be chronicled at the hands of the historian of Eng lish drama. By the time that Jonson came to write them the nature of court entertainments had been fairly well determined though few except Gascoigne Sidney Campion and Daniel had done anything memorable in this lesser form of the drama A masque to be technical is one of several species of quasi dramatic productions of which an entertainment in its strict Elizabethan sense and a barriers ' are two others nucleus of an entertainment is a speech of welcome nucleus of a barriers is a mock tournament The masque exists only because of a dance as a setting or frame so to sneal for what we should designate a ball. These terms were used with precision by Jonson and most of his contemporaries All involve more or less the dramatic elements of personifica tion costume dialogue music and scenic setting although the masque alone of the three (save for one or two of Tonson's ef forts) became dramatically effective. But the misque needs a closer definition than this for however it be made up of a combination in variable proportions of speech dance and song its essential feature is a group of dancers eight, twelve or sixteen called the masquers. These neither speak nor sing but make an imposing show by their fine presence their gorgeous cos tumes and artistic posing grouping and evolutions . These last which always involve dancing are premeditated and re hearsed and they are known as the entry 'the mean" and going out The unpremeditated dances joined in by the auditors as well as the masquers are known as the 'revels and include galliards corantos and Involtes the popular dances of the day The masquers were always gentlemen and often ladies of the court both were usually of high rank. There is no record that Queen Elizabeth though proud of her dancing ever took a part personally in any such entertainment. And

⁴ Evans The English Masque 1897 p xxxiv

indeed these technical niceties in the masque came to their maturity late in her reign. But her father, Henry, had been a confirmed masquer in the mummings of his time, and Elizabeth's successor, Queen Anne of Denmark, the wife of King James, delighted in masques, taking part herself with a bevy of her court ladies or witnessing the stately dancing and noble bearing of her sons, Prince Henry and Prince Charles, in the parts which they also took at times.

The pageantry and sumptuous costuming of the masque is as old as the middle ages The dances, save for greater ingenuity and inventiveness, were not much changed An obvious symbolism, too, was no new thing. The developments which mark the Jacobean masque are its superior scenic representation, a matter referable to the talents of the King's architect, Inigo Jones, who had been abroad and profited no little by his sojourn and study in Italy, and its enhanced poetic and dramatic qualities in which Jonson led all his fellows

When Jonson turned his attention to the writing of masques, at the beginning of King James's reign, he found the general form well established in such productions as The Masque of Proteus by the lyrist Francis Davison and Campion, the musician, 1595 In Daniel's Vision of the Twelve Goddesses, 1604, the first masque of the new reign, we have the symbolism — so characteristic of those entertainments — for example, a temple of Peace, erected on the four firm pillars signifying the virtues that support the globe of earth, we have likewise the classical allusion and allegory in the familiar figures of goddesses and virtues —" Juno in a sky colour mantle . . . figured with peacock's feathers, Sibylla," decked as a nun "in black upon white," together with song and fitting verse Jonson observed that what was wanted was the infusion of life and dramatic spirit into this sort of thing, and to this task he devoted his attention, devising for this purpose especially the antimasque by means of which he was able to maintain the element of humour and comic relief and to add the professional entertainer to the masquers, though keeping the two carefully apart This raised the artistic standard of the masque without disturbing the formal parts and gave the poet endless opportunity to exercise his ingenious learning as well as his admirable poetic taste

The masques of Jonson range from his Masque of Blackness at the opening of 1605 to Chloridia in February, 1631 All were presented at court, generally before the king, with careful

and painstaking rehearsal and elaboration and often at great expense Jonson wrote a few other masques even later so that if we include his several entertainments of welcome to the king in 1603 his activity as a writer in this general kind extended over more than thirty years summing up a total of no less than thirty five pieces twenty three of them strictly masques deed the sum total of all other masques those of Campion Daniel Marston Chapman Beaumont Browne Townsend and others his contemporaries scarcely equal the half of his and in Jonson's masques alone do we find habitually united drama invention and poetry. In the earliest group of them The Masque of Queens 1609 stands out conspicuous for its happy solution of the many questions which must have arisen as to the antimasque The contrast here is effected by a bevy of witches who rushing forth out of an ugly hell which flaming beneath smoked unto the top of the roof go through a series of grotesque dances songs and gyrations until driven away to their dark abode by the lady masquers radiant in their glittering house of fame, and personating each appropriately habited the famous queens of history from Penthesilea and Boadicea to Bel Anna royal spouse of King James who alone needed neither mask nor disguise Jonson's earlier Masque of Blackness began a new fashion that of setting the end of the presence chamber with a scene in perspective and changing it. In this case a wood was succeeded by a scene of the sea in which billows rose and fell and the masquers arrived in a concave shell In Hymenaer the masque which celebrated the ill omened marriage of the young Earl of Essex with Lady Frances daughter of the Earl of Sussex the lady masquers descended on clouds ushered by Iris and her rainbow and the gentlemen represented an allegory of humours and affections issuing from a microcosm or golden globe figuring man so arranged as to hang apparently in mid air among clouds and turn on an invisible axle In this masque Jonson's poetry is at its highest level especially in the exquisite 'Epithalamion with which the whole concludes In a rival misque of Cam pion in the next year a feature was the extent and choiceness of the music But at this time Daniel was still Tonson's chief rival and his Tethis' Festival 1610 was a sumptuous masque offering the novelties of three changes of scene (one involving

⁵ Masque at the Marriage of Lord Hayes celebrated at Whitehall

ships moving on the sea), of gold and silver framings for the scene, artificial fountains and moving lights, the last so devised as to mask the changes of scene. Nor is Daniel's poetry unworthy of these gorgeous settings which, rising in expense to £1600, surpassed all that had gone before.

Into the inventive intricacies of Jonson's masques it is impossible to go at any length in a book of this size. In Love Freed from Ignorance, for example, Cupid, bound and beset by fools and follies, is rescued by the Muses. Oberon the Fairy Prince is preceded by a lively antimasque, conducted by several satyrs; and in Love Restored, the antimasque becomes a piece of realistic farce in which Robin Goodfellow satirically tells of the difficulties to be encountered by a plain man in his endeavours to gain access to a masque. It was the custom to publish the more important royal masques individually and soon after the event With a zest in which his own taste coincided with that of his sovereign, Jonson was wont, in these publications not only to describe the action as well as print the text, but to discourse, most learnedly and with exact references, concerning his classical sources and authorities Indeed never has there been so complete a union of poetry and curious learning in one man as in Jonson, though it is always to be recalled that it was Jonson's pedantry and his coarser humour — for Jonson is a very Rabelais at times in this respect — rather than his poetry that received the sanction and admiration of his gross and learned roval master.

It was in 1613, on the occasion of the marriage of the Princess Elizabeth to the Palsgrave, that the Jacobean masque reached its height. Jonson, for some reason unknown, did not write a masque to celebrate this event, but Campion, Chapman and Beaumont vied, each with the other, in three of the most elaborate productions of this kind of which we have any record Campion's was called The Lord's Masque. For it Jones devised four changes of scene, and it contained two antimasques, together with novel musical effects worthy of the excellent quality of its poetry Chapman's is a portentous effort. was presented by the gentlemen of the Middle Temple and Lincoln's Inn and, according to Chapman's own indignant confession, was not a success, although preceded by a procession in which hundreds of people took part The Inner Temple and Gray's Inn followed, the next day, with Beaumont's masque which was borne from Winchester House by water in a gallant

flotilla as their rivals in the study of the law had come by land. This masque had to be postponed by reason of the fagged condition of the court after several days of revelry but it was finally given with success. Beaumont wrote his masque as a propher of the langer Temple, not are therefore poet and the second of the langer of the lan

member of the Inner Temple not as a hired poet and it was financed as we might put it by Sir Francis Bacon then solicitor general for the honour of Gray's Inn where he had his legal education. Bacon's interest in court entertainments was of long standing and is declared besides this in the devising of dumb shows for a Senecan tragedy as far back as 1587 in the writing of speeches for the Gesta Grayorum of 1595 and in the furnishing out of The Maque of Flowers in 1614 at a cost of £2000. The dramatic activity of Bacon lies wholly within the precincts of Gray's Inn. His essay Of Maques and Triumphs should be carefully read by those who would know at first hand the great man's opinions of these toyes, and his wise and worldly observations on their practical utility in that great game for worldly advancement that he played all his life only to lose his stakes in the end.

With the previous This Jonosa was one more established.

With the new year 1615 Jonson was once more established the chief writer of masques for the court and from Mercury Vindicated from the Alchemists to The Fortunate Isles written to celebrate the betrothal of Prince Charles to Henrietta Maria Twelfth Night 1624 and the last of Jonson's masques in the reign of King James no important celebration occurred at court without the poet's helping hand. In two or three of these productions Jonson made so much of the antimasque that the masque itself practically disappears The Masque of Christmas for example is pure drollery in it figure such personages as Carol Wassel Minced pie and Venus, described a deaf tire woman ' while The Masque of Gipsies which hugely delighted the king and was twice repeated at his re quest is mere whimsicality and horseplay however clever, when it is not worse. In this period too Jonson fell out with his old friend and coadjutor. Inigo Jones declaring to Drummond that when he wanted to express the greatest villain in the world he would call him an Inigo We do not know the reasons for this quarrel or its patching up and subsequent outbreaks It was certainly regrettable and towards the end, Jones ap pears to have used his influence at court against Jonson when the old poet was sorely in need of subsistence. One masque not Ionson's deserves mention in this place for its poetic beauty

and a certain cogency of subject-matter, too rare in these occasional poems This is *Ulysses and Circe*, the work of William Browne of Tavistock, the Spenserian pastoralist and lyrist This, too, was a masque of the Inner Temple, of which Browne was a member, and it was acted by the templars in 1615

In leaving the Jacobean masque, we must keep in mind its occasional character and the temporary conditions to which it With the light and colour of performance, august court setting and cogent contemporary allusions, all gone and lost to us, we can only wonder that so much of literary interest should survive We find throughout, a persistence of allegory which admonishes us of the continuity of English dramatic taste from the morality of mediæval days The allegory of the masque, however, is always artistic, even in Jonson it is rarely tinged with the didactic, and, outside of Chapman's one effort and some minor exceptions, it was simple and comprehensible without effort or study Another characteristic of the Tacobean masque is its profuse employment and continued adhesion to classical allusions and personages, for the most part obvious to the cultivated man, however a sealed book to the unlettered We might readily, in this, overestimate the culture prevalent in the court of King James, did we not remember that all education came, in those days, by way of the classics and recall that the use of such imagery and example was a settled mannerism of the time Lastly, it is not to be denied that the elaborate setting and mise en scene of the masque much affected the contemporary drama The plays from 1608 or 1610 on are full of "masques" the "antic dance of twelve satyrs" in The Winter's Tale, the betrothal masque with its classical goddesses in The Tempest, besides the antimasque in the same play of "strange shapes," to mention no others The Two Noble Kinsmen appears to have borrowed the idea of Beaumont's antimasque in his Masque of 1613, including the taborer, the bavian and five wenches with their morris dance Far more important was the effect of the masque on the staging of popular plays, although of this we have less evidence than we should like and the most notable effects come later.

Leaving the masque of King Charles's time to the future and going back to the regular drama, in the year 1605, Jonson returned to the writing of comedy with his new court prestige about him. To this he was not improbably drawn by the

current success of Thomas Middleton in his vivacious and saturical comedies of London life We have already met with the localized comedy of the city as represented in Dekker's Shoemakers Holiday that buoyant picturing of the tradesman's daily life dashed with romance and with the lively personages of comedies such as the Two Angry Women of Abington Middleton's art is of a somewhat different type. He is as realistic as any of his predecessors if question on this score arise he may be declared the most realistic of Elizabethan writers of comedy but his attitude while invariably falling short of that of the moralist is inevitably that of the satirist. In this last he is at one with Jonson but Jonson was nothing if not a moralist Perhaps we may best put it thus Delker is a realist seeking often with kindliness of spirit or his dash of romance to lighten the inevitable sadness that follows the know ing of things human as they actually are Middleton is a man of the world cynical about realities without being in the least concerned to improve them Jonson's is the moralist's point of view outraged by the prevalence of folly and evil attacking them valorously bitterly disclosing the incongruities of human professions tempered in his zeal only by his passion ate admiration for intellect and intellectual eleverness. Out of these aspects of life came three Linds of comedy - though the lines that distinguish them are not to be drawn too sharply the comedy of every day life life seen and delineated simply the comedy of that same life viewed more or less satirically best known as the comedy of manners and Jonson's variety of this last, the comedy of humours in which more than in the others is the method that of caricature and the impetus the moralist's scaet a indignatio

Thomas Middleton was a slightly older man than Jonson Thomas Middleton was a slightly older man than Jonson Educated at Cambridge and at Grays Inn Middleton was rather better bred than Dekker and Heywood and to all appearances less a victim of bohemianism and poverty. The range of his work includes pamphlets and city pageants as well as dramas and even masques. He began his theatrical career toward the end of Elizabeth's reign and with Henslowe in association with Munday. Webster and Dekker and he joined the last in 1604 in an entertainment to the newly arrived king. Not impossibly it was his conjunction with the same dramatist in The Honest Whore that brought Middleton into

repute, though several of his plays have been dated earlier.6 The most striking event in the dramatist's life was the performance of his notorious political satire, The Game at Chess, in 1624, some three years before his death. Prince Charles had just returned with Buckingham from a fruitless errand to Spain in search of a wife, and Spanish pride, delay and subterfuge had at last forced even King James to recede from his darling project, an alliance with Spain At this moment, Middleton placed his satire on the stage in which, under the disguise of a game between the white English chessmen and the black Spaniards, not only were ambassadors and dignitaries of the church figured forth, but the royalty of both countries Such "an indiscretion" was not to go unnoticed On complaint of the Spanish ambassador the play was "stayed" the actors summoned before the privy council and severally reprimanded Middleton himself only escaped arrest by contriving "not to be found." It has been doubted if the English court was really as displeased as appears by the records But we return to the earlier and more distinctive work of Middleton His comedies of manners range from Michaelmas Term in 1604 to No Wit no Help like a Woman's, 1613, and exactly correspond with Ionson's period of renewed activity in comedy The features of the Middletonian comedy of London manners — besides the two just mentioned, A Trick to Catch the Old One, A Mad World My Masters, Your Five Gallants, A Chaste Maid in Cheapside for example — are remarkably constant figures recur with a regularity as certain as their variety is unexpected The dissolute heir, going the pace, excellent at heart but guilty of much that needs forgiveness, the hard, niggardly usurer, tricky, vicious and generally overreached, the raw college lad who knows little of books and far less of the world, the clever, intriguing Abigail, none too virtuous herself and serving a merry open-hearted heroine none too virtuous either - these with the despicable husband dupe and a variety of serving men make up the dramatis personæ of Middletonian comedy we add that all is done with ease and a certain competent grace, without the least assumption of superiority over the auditor and with a complete absorption of the personality of the author

⁶ Blurt Master Constable, for example, 1601 or 1602, and The Old Law in a supposed earlier version even 1599

in his work we can comprehend Middleton's easy success in his time

Obviously Jonson's comedies are made of s erner stuff returned to the popular sage save for Sejanus in Fait and Ho in which he accepted the collaboration of Marston and Chapman The title of this comedy is referable to that of a predecessor, Il ests and Ho the work of Delker and Wels er 1603 and it was followed by Norths end Ho by the same authors a year or two after the play in which Jonson was con-The first two cornedies were named from the familiar cries of the wherryn en on the Thartes as they plied eas ward to London bridge or westward to the politer precincts of West minster. The two plays of Delker and Webs er are of the most pronounced Middle onian type qui e ou do ng Middle ton it is fair to state at his worst and making perilous the elib assertions of the his or any of the drama concerning the de terioration of Ca plan morals from those of the elder are Leit stard Ho is a very different product on and currously enough although the work of the three most a renuous playwrights of the age really easier in manner and more permissions in plot than the unaided work of any one of them fair er? Ho tells the universal story of the contras ed careers of the in dustrious and the idle apprentice with the arrusing group of people about them that as a more particularly in the down ward progress of Quicksilver the idler. The personages of this delectable old come by stand out with admirable dis inctness, the honest goldsmith Touchstone his sills wife and sillier daughter the latter with her head full of romances, the contrasted oren tice lads, the good box tireso rely estimable in his bourgeois sar tues Sir Petronel I lash with his imaginary eastle. Sea ull with his tales of far away Virginia all is easily and well done with just enough of the moralist's consciousness to give the play a universal application. A somewhat pointed allusion to the ubiquity of the Scotch who had followed their king a needs horde clamorous for place caused the arrest and imprisonment for a time of both Jonson and Chapman Mars on really the offender escaped. This allusion though later expunged as sured the popularity of the comedy on the stage and caused likewise the publication of three issues of Frituerd Ho in the year 1605

Jonson's next comedy in point of date was I olfone or the

Fox, acted in 1606 Volpone offers a fitting transition from the personal satire of The Poetaster to the more genial humour of The Silent Woman and The Alchemist Not that there is anything genial in Volpone, but that there the satire is generalised into a consummate study in villainy. In point of fact this story of the wicked Venetian grandee, undone by his own subtlety and chicanery, is pervaded by a spirit of absolute mistrust of mankind and there is scarcely a virtuous personage in it Jonson's philosophy of life, it would seem, was of an extreme simplicity, and presentable in the form of a dilemma There are two kinds of men in the world for Jonson, the knaves and the fools, those that prey and those that are preyed upon. The fools are commonly laughable but contemptible, the knaves deserve the righteous man's castigation, though often truly admirable in their wit and forgivable for their cleverness Who would be a fool especially in a world of knaves? As to Volpone, it is truly a question (as in Shakespeare's Troilus and Cressida, if for a somewhat different reason) whether we have here a comedy or not The punishment which is justly enough meted out to Volpone and his scoundrelly creature Mosca, seems less dependent on their crimes among rascals nearly as bad as themselves than upon the accident of their division and want of a dominating cleverness None the less, for its wellknit and original plot, its vigorous characterisation, animated conduct and brilliant dialogue, Volpone must be esteemed one of the best of Jonson's plays In the titanic farce Epicoene or The Silent Woman, 1609, and in The Alchemist, acted in the next year, Jonson reached the height of his originality and ingeniousness of plot and broadened into a geniality and capacity for mere fun that is nearer his earliest dramatic success It would be impossible to conceive of comedy interest more happily sustained than in the successive surprises of these admirable comedies, and we learn without surprise that they captured the stage and held it, with Volpone and Every Man in his Humour, for four generations It is characteristic of Jonsonian comedy that, with all its merits and ingenuity, it is usually so constructed that the entire group of personages is set in motion by one dynamic character Brainworm's knowledge of the plans of his masters, father and son, and his knavery that runs Every Man in his Humour, it is Delphine, playing upon his uncle's hatred of noise in The Silent Woman, that evokes that extraordinary personage, Epicoene with all the fun and folly of this laughable farce too in The Alchemist all depends on the resourceful capable and absolutely immoral Face who fascinates the reader as he fascinates his master in the play into forgiving a campaign of successful roguery that deserved only condign punishment The vitality liveliness rapidity and humour of the best scenes of these incomparable plays of Jonson remained unequalled in English comedy and served as examples to generations to come With The Silent Woman and The Alchemist Jonson became a convert to the superiority of English scene and English per sonage for the comedy of manners Both are so set and upon the republication of Every Man in his Humour in his folio of 1616 he carefully revised that comedy so as to transfer the scene from Venice to London a change materially for the better To this English practice the poet adhered to his last great comedy the Gargantuan Bartholomew Fair which gives us a conception of the Elizabethan forerunner of a London bank holiday a picture large gross humorous coarse and boister ous but not unworthy the pen of this intimate knower and satirist of the life about him Jonson wrote other plays but they belong neither to the time nor to the full flowing genius that begot these master comedies

Among dramatists his contemporaries none so resembled Jonson in his tastes and theories as his friend George Chap man the famous translator of Homer Chapman was a much older man than Jonson born as far back as 1559 And he appears to have taken up the drama at first at least, as no very serious addition to his more important literary work as a trans lator and general poet He must have been quite thirty five years of age when he produced his first comedies although it is a moot question as to whether he may not after all have preceded Jonson in the employment of the word humour Jonsonian sense, if not in the actual writing of comedies more or less of the type The comedies of Chapman range from several dubious entries and allusions of Henslowe as early as 1505 to the romantic comedies The Gentleman Usher and Monsieur D Olive both printed in 1606 All the others are comedies of manners almost strictly at times of humours

⁷ Cf Henslowe's mention of a comedy of humours in May 1597 ed Greg p 52 and elsewhere and see a discussion of the subject in Elizabethan Drama 1 460 and they extend from the trivial Blind Beggar of Alexandria, 1596, with its preposterous disguises and confusion between trickery and felony, to All Fools, 1599, esteemed by no less an authority than Swinburne one of the best comedies in the language Chapman's source here is patently the Heautontimorumenos of Terence, and he has worked up an intrigue and counter-intrigue in which his ingenuity is only equalled by his wit and originality Considering that All Fools is free from the slightest suspicion of any Jonsonian intention to preach, we may affirm that it is the best example of an English comedy conceived and carried out on the lines of Roman comedy While less successful, A Humorous Day's Mirth, and more especially, The Widow's Tears, are equally diverting. In the latter is dramatized with much gusto the scandalous story of the Ephesian Matron, who was won, in an incredibly short time and literally on her husband's tomb, from the abandonment of bereaved widowhood to a delighted acceptance of the blandishments of a new wooer This is only an extreme example of Chapman's habitual attitude of contempt towards woman which has been thought referable to personal experience, but which more probably he caught, like Jonson in lesser degree, from the prevalent atmosphere of Latin literature

From what has just been written it is clear that Chapman's idea of comedy, even more strictly than Jonson's, was that of Plautus and Terence Each of Chapman's comedies is impelled by the impetus of one dynamic personage — Tharsalio, the irresistible wooer in The Widow's Tears, Rinaldo, the roguish mischief-maker in All Fools - and it is his business, as it has been wittily said, "to set all the rest by the ears" The intricacy of Chapman's intrigue is often bewildering, although the action is not always well sustained The personages, too, while lively and entertaining enough in the dialogue, are little distinguished and scarcely one of them is individually memorable Obviously Chapman wrote his comedies for a livelihood, and he seems to have fared none too well with them To his tragedies we have seen that he brought a more strenuous effort His ambition was to become famous as "Georgius Chapmanus Homeri Metaphrastes" That fame he achieved and deserved, but he is not to be forgotten in the distinguished list of playwrights who added excellent comedies to their more serious dramatic work

From an historical point of view, Chapman's scholar's use of

Roman comedy popularised on the contemporary stage may be regarded as the climax of a long preparation in which we begin with the humanists and schoolmasters imitators of the Roman poets and meet with Gascoignes Supposes derived through an Italian intermediary and Shakespeares Comedy of Errors by the way. At the universities Plautine comedy continued in high repute sometimes direct in its derivation some times imitated from Italian imitators. None of these many plays are important to a history of the popular drama how ever interesting many of them are in themselves. Typical examples are Pedantius (variously ascribed to Anthony Wing field or to Edward Forcett) 1581 and the clever trilogy of

Parnassus plays 1601-02 from which we have already quoted Both were of Cambridge Another still later Cam bridge comedy was Ignoramus by George Ruggle acted in 1615 and immensely to the delight of King James who revelled in its witty speech and coarseness. This production is imitated from an Italian play of Batista della Porty entitled La Trap polaria which in turn owes no little to the Pseudolus of Plautus This was a familiar derivation and sets one to wondering if after all some of the intricate intrigue and the narrow range of the personages of Chapman's comedies may not be found like so much else in the enormous unread minor dramatic literature of the Italian Renaissance period. As to Ionson we find an interesting parallel in the hints derived from Giordano Bruno's Il Candelato which he worked up into the fibric of The Alchemist His later use of Machiavelli's story of Bel fagor is less in accordance with his usual method. Jonson prided himself on his originality. Ordinarily it was his wide reading in the classics that supplied him with the suggestions out of which he developed his original plots although his posi tion on the subject is clear from his own words where he de clares that he regards the power to convert the substance and riches of another poet to his own use 'as an endowment only natural wit 8

Chapman, Jonson and Middleton were the chief contributors in the order mentioned to the new comedy of manners the range of which was from the lower toned domestic comedy to the heightened caricature of the comedy of humours. But

⁸ See his Timber or Discoveries 1642 on this subject and his theories of composition

they were by no means alone Chapman's closest imitator was William Percy who left behind him half a dozen amateurish comedies, dating about 1601 Only two of them have seen print, and only one apparently was ever acted A better comedy of much the same date is the anonymous Sir Giles Goosecab in which a story suggestive of that of Troilus and Cressida is enlivened with a group of irregular humourists, more in the manner of Jonson than in that of Chapman The borrowings of title which Jonson's use of the word "humour" begot, we have already noted Jonson's most successful immediate disciple, until we come to the days of Brome, was Nathaniel Field who had been among the several lads kidnapped by Gyles, and pressed into the profession of acting by an abuse of the queen's license "to take up singing boys" for the royal chapel of which Gyles was then master Jonson taught the young actor Latin and how to make plays, and Field became notable as a player, especially, at first, in women's parts, and later as a dramatist as well The best work of Field is contained in Woman is a Weathercock and Amends for Ladies, both on the stage by the date of the death of Shakespeare The following of Middleton was even more general Resembling his method in comedy though preceding him in point of time, is Haughton's lively Englishmen for my Money, 1598, in which the foreigner is satirized in a manner much to the taste of the groundlings The Fair Maid of the Exchange, 1602, an excellent play, is more like Heywood in its touch of pathos and serious intent With Edward Sharpham's Fleir and Cupid's Whirligig, 1606 and 1607, we are in the irresponsible atmosphere of the satirical comedy of manners once more, impertinent and shameless rather than clever, while in Lodowick Barrey's Ram Alley or Merry Tricks, published two years later, we have a vigorous and wellwritten comedy of low London, however broad of speech, that equals Middleton almost at his best and preserves, take it all in all, a wholesomer tone than is usually his This play enjoyed great popularity, and so did Joshua Cooke's Tu Quoque known as Greene's Tu Quoque from the clever hit that the comedian, Thomas Greene, made in the rôle of Bubble, a humorous serving man Another well-known actor in comedy, Robert Armin, is the author of Two Maids of Moreclack (Morlake), printed, in 1609, a similarly merry production, full of disguise, bustle and merry intrigue

We have deferred John Marston's comedies of manners to

this late place in this chapter dealing with the classical and satirical reaction because despite his position as a nondramatic satirist and his part in the satirical swords play of the war of the theatres his attitude of egotist and doctrinaire there is always more of the romantic spirit in him than in either Jon son Chapman or even Middleton except where William Row ley was Middleton's collaborator Besides his part in East ward Ho Marston is the author of five comedies in all of which save one the scene is Italy. As the country of his mother whose language he evidently knew well Italy may be imagined less remote to Marston than to many of his fellow playwrights and his recognition of the romance of some of his subjects may have been less than ours Marston's most characteristic comedy is The Malcontent acted about 1600 This is a well knit original and perspicuous drama of intrigue involving the disguise of a Hamlet like prince in the court of the usurper of his dukedom and the consequent test of the character and lovalty of certain of his subjects The general theme and the sombre tone of this able play suggest Measure for Measure Like this play of Shakespeare his Troilus and Cressida and Jon son's Volpone we have here rather tragicomedy than comedy although none of these dramas end in violence touch are What You Will 1601 and The Parisitaster or Fawn In the latter the device of The Malcontent a prince in discusse is employed to a less serious purpose the former dramatizes an entertaining story of Boccaccio afterwards em ployed by Shirley in his Witty Fair One in which a clever young woman wins for herself a husband by making her own father the go between while pretending dutifully to confess to him her lover's aggressive, but purely imaginary courtship Marston's work is exceedingly unequal. At his best in poetry originality of situation inventiveness and power to portrav character he deserves his place beside the greatest dramatists of his day at his worst he sinks below Middleton to the buf foonery and indecency of Dekker or Webster in the lowest of their comic vein or to a bombast and noisiness in tragic heroics which is absolutely his own Perhaps Marston's two dramas of this lighter type might be best called comedies of manners in romantic disguise The type became no uncommon one

In the preceding paragraphs we have endeavoured to segre gate for the sake of clearness, some of the more striking ele ments in popular Elizabethan comedy that stood in contrast

with the romantic trend of the age and created a reaction To this we have added an account of the Jacobean masque, Jonson's other distinctive contribution to the drama in the reign of King James To the comedies of Jonson, Chapman and Middleton were added those of Marston, notwithstanding a certain incurable romanticism that is his, because his satire, his pose and his self-consciousness, all are reactionary The collaborators in Eastward Ho were men of a more scholarly type than any of the popular dramatists who had preceded them, and they applied their scholarship in a manner unparalleled to their writing All of them had theories about poetry and the stage, all, too, were inclined to take a more or less saturical view of life and to affect a greater or less independence of present conditions and popular demands why even the success of Jonson was uncertain, now carrying court and city away, together with his adored "judicious few," by sheer force of genius, at other times provoking retaliation from rivals not his equals, which brought, not to him, but to them the palm, or gaining only that cool "success of esteem" which is more chilling to the ardency of genius than opposition Were we looking for a generic phrase by which to designate these three writers collectively it might be difficult to find one more appropriate than the school of conscious effort. For not only had all three decided theories as to how to write a play, a satire or a masque, but they seem to have tried hard, each in his way, to carry them out, to be original in story, thought, personage and phrase, and they agreed likewise in a certain disdain of efforts elsewhere which they esteemed not so strenuous, so consistent or so in accord with precedent and experience as their own. Jonson's penchant for the unities, which he was, for the most part, too sensible to abuse (although there are two trials of the same culprits for the same offence in one day in Volpone), Chapman's limitation of comedy to a logical development of Plautine character and situation, these are illustrations in point, and so in lesser degree is Marston's transfer to his plays of whole episodes of classical origin, such as the wooing of the Ephesian widow from Petronius Arbiter Neither Marston nor Chapman take any rank of importance in the masque, each producing but one, neither of them remark-This was Jonson's undisputed field which he owed, as much as his success on the popular stage, to his industry, his ingenuity and his adaptation to his purposes of his wide classical reading Marston's dramatic career was short no play of his dates later than 1613. We do not know when Marston took holy orders. He was presented with the living of Christ Church in Hampshire in 1616 which he resigned in 1631 dying three years later in London. Chapman long survived his dramatic career which closed so far as we know about the time of the death of Shakespeare. Chapman is dimly trace able however in an alleged collaboration with Shirley in the early thirties. He died also in 1634. Jonson survived his fellows leaving behind him the double achievement of a repute unparalleled as a writer of masques for the entertainment of royalty and a name in the popular drama second alone to that of Shakespeare.

CHAPTER VIII

BEAUMONT AND FLETCHER, AND THE ROMANTIC CONTINUANCE

In the year 1647, when England was in the throes of civil war and Charles had already surrendered to the victorious Parliament, a handsome folio came from the press entitled "Comedies and Tragedies written by Francis Beaumont and John Fletcher, gentlemen" This edition was appropriately edited by James Shirley, till recently, when the theatres were closed, the successor to their popularity, and it contained thirtyfour plays, as the title added, "never before printed" A second folio edition of 1679 reprinted the first with the addition of all the plays which had been previously printed in separate quarto form under the name of either author. This raised the number to fifty, and since that time some half-dozen dramas have been added to the list. The assembling of plays in collective editions was, up to the time of the death of Shakespeare, a thing practically unknown. The posthumous collection of an author's works, such as the Caxton Chaucer for example or more recently the folio of Spenser, 1608, even, was rare, although men like Daniel, and Drayton, especially, were always tinkering with their poetry in new collections and revisions which their popularity in their time seems to have demanded It was in the very year of Shakespeare's death, 1616, that Ben Jonson collected and published his own works, the plays and all which he had written up to that time, a second volume followed only several years after his death, in 1640-42 strong piece of evidence as to Shakespeare's contemporary popularity is to be found in the speedy collection of his plays in the folio of 1623, only seven years after his death, together with the demand for a second edition of this large and expensive book within nine years of the first A second complete edition of Jonson with all his repute, was not issued until just fifty years after the first As to the other Elizabethans, save for the publication by a belated enthusiast of Six Court Comedies of John Lyly in 1632 there is no other example of the collection of a dramatist s work either within his lifetime or soon after until we come to the days of the Commonwealth when, there being no other use for plays an occasional collection appeared like that of Shirley s Six New Plays or Five New Plays by Brome Marlowe Chapman Middleton Webster Massinger and all the rest remained uncollected—to say nothing of editing—until modern critical times Shakespeare Jonson and Beaumont and Fletcher' stand in this respect alone appreciated and acclaimed each on the stage of his time to be read as well as acted in the next generation and in many to come

Personal details as to this famous literary partnership mont and Fletcher ' are sadly wanting as usual in dealing with our old dramatists This much however we know Fletcher was the older born in 1579 probably at Rye in Sussex where his father was then a minister Richard Fletcher the father afterwards rose through several preferments to become Bishop of London but fell into disgrace with Queen Elizabeth be cause of a second marriage - an act which the queen abhorred - and died poor John Fletcher received his education at Bene t college Cambridge but there is no trace of him there nor of his earlier literary associations in London It seems likely that he soon came to know Jonson and it has been thought by some that through Jonson Fletcher became acquainted with Beaumont a supposition altogether likely Fletcher appears to have written dramas for a livelihood his many collabora tions and his industry go to show it Besides his enduring friend ship with Beaumont we know that he was closely associated later with Massinger Jonson esteemed Fletcher and praised him later poets such as Sir Aston Cockayne attempted a vin dication of his authorship From all that we can gather Fletcher must have been a man of a singularly modest cheerful and unaggressive nature He died of the plague in 1625 while still at the height of his dramatic activity Francis Beaumont was some five years Fletcher's jumor born in 1584 or 1585 a younger son of Sir Francis Beaumont of Grace dieu Leicester shire a justice of the common pleas Beaumont was educated at Broadgates Hall, Oxford and became a member of the Inner Temple in 1600 He became intimate with Jonson writing commendatory verses on Volpone in 1603 and on other plays and imitating the Jonsonian comedy of humours unmistakably

in The Woman Hater, acted in 1606. Beaumont was certainly less dependent on the theatre than Fletcher. His personality and position in life gained for him the respect and esteem of his contemporaries, even Jonson deferring to his judgment in a manner remarkable considering Jonson's intractable temper and the disparity of Beaumont's age. Beaumont evidently shared Jonson's independent attitude and contempt for the opinion of the yulgar, as he appears to have shared somewhat his theories as to drama. Another association of Beaumont's, that with Sir Francis Bacon, has already been considered. Beaumont married a lady of some fortune, but survived only three years, dying in March 1616, a month before Shakespeare Beaumont appears to have been little solicitous of the fame of authorship. He apparently sanctioned the use of his name on the title of his masque only. But there is abundant proof in his own writings and in those of his contemporaries that he was an intimate associate of the dramatists and men of letters of his time, appreciating to the full "the things we have seen done at the Mermaid," although he lived not to the days of "the Sun and the Triple Tun" nor to subscribe to Jonson's later leges convivales.

With ten years of authorship at best and with a majority of the plays, included in the Beaumont and Fletcher folio, acted for the first time certainly after his death, it is obvious that Beaumont could have had no very large share in the volume Even contemporaries to its publication protested against the assignment to him of so important a place, Sir Aston Cockayne especially informing us that these dramas were substantially the "sole issues of sweet Fletcher's brain" and incidentally that Massinger had been a collaborator in some of them Modern scholarship has been busy with this interesting question and substantial results have been reached, however minor points and individual plays may still remain matters of debate. It is not necessary in a work of this scope to set forth the methods of this inquiry into the problem of divided authorship it to say that after a due consideration of external evidence, date of performance, derivation of plot, contemporary allusion and the like, the question resolves itself into a dis-crimination of the qualities of style, characteristics of versification, conception of personage and general attitude discernible in contrasted work of the two authors Starting from a play avowedly that of Fletcher and of Beaumont respectively unaided - the former's Faithful Shebherdess for example the latter's Woman Hater - these are the main points of distinc tion that have been formulated Reaumont's is clearly the more conservative nature, and the more ready to act in conformity with literary and dramatic usages then in vogue This it is that causes him to adhere to the stronger more strictly decasyllabic versification of his master Jonson while phrasing with freedom and using run on lines after the manner of his immediate time To Beaumont has been assigned a more serious attitude towards life than is customarily Fletcher's, together with the higher moral sense which arms his satire with the sanction if not quite of the moralist at least with that of the thoughtful man For Beaumont has been claimed too the more delicate senti ment a higher order of humour, truer pathos and the greater power in tragedy Fletcher on the other hand is more inven tive in his art and more eclectic in his practice. He placed be fore him the ideal of a drama that should be at once novel and entertaining and he was intent on this not on theories of the pedant or the moralist. He found in contrast of character and picturesqueness of situation effective steps towards the realisa tion of this ideal and in his loosely knit verse an admirably rapid colloquial plastic and musical substitute alike for prose and for the older more formal blank verse The lithe supple blank verse of Fletcher is his most distinctive note he readily admits additional syllables, especially at the end of the line giving it what is technically called a hendecasyllabic character but to preserve the sense of rhythm the pause is commonly marked at the conclusion of each line far more so than in the current practice of the moment, the later verse of Shakespeare for example Indubitably Fletcher's nature like his verse and sometimes rambling and repetitious style is lighter than that of Beaumont Fletcher has been designated ready clever offhand hurried and careless. He was all these things sometimes But he was likewise inventive ingenious, poetical and possessed of no small insight into human character and emo tion

The results of modern inquiry into the relative parts con tributed by Beaumont and Fletcher respectively and jointly to the mass of some fifty two plays that bear their names may be summed up as follows Beaumont wrote one of these plays alone Fletcher almost as certainly some fifteen independently. Their collaboration seems certain in eight or nine while some

thing over a score, formerly thought their joint work, are now regarded as Fletcher's in co-operation with some other author, and in five or six more, apparently neither Fletcher nor Beaumont had any appreciable share. To these is to be added Henry VIII in which the hand of Fletcher as well as Shake-speare's is now universally acknowledged, A Very Woman, formerly considered Massinger's but in which Fletcher once more had his share, and the fine tragedy of Barnevelt, printed only in our late times, by the same two authors. In collaboration with Massinger, Fletcher thus appears in sixteen plays, about twice as many as those in which Beaumont worked with him. Elsewhere Fletcher co-operated with Jonson, Field, Tourneur, William Rowley and even with Daborne, if Henslowe is to be trusted 1

Without further distinctions we may now turn to the Fletcherian drama to examine wherein it marks a development out of what had gone before Beaumont began, as we have seen, under the ægis of Jonson as the "humours" of The Woman Hater and the satire of The Knight of the Burning Pestle, which is largely if not wholly his, sufficiently go to show last, a delightful dramatic satire, it will be remembered, contains a burlesque of the popular dramatic romance of impossible adventure in which the hero is always a citizen of London and the civic virtues are extolled It is not surprising that Beaumont's picture was too true to be pleasing to auditors who loved "the tossing of the pikes" in The Four Pientices and The Adventures of Dick Wittington actually dramatized in a play now It used to be thought that Beaumont's Knight belonged wholly to the order de la Mancha But the resemblances between his play and Don Quixote are superficial and have been If Beaumont began thus in "humours" and pursued too far burlesque, it is equally clear that Fletcher's first example was the Middletonian comedy of manners The difficulties in the chronology of the Beaumont-Fletcher plays are far greater than those which concern Shakespeare's, and the subject is complicated by the incessant revision to which popular plays, like the majority of theirs, were subject, so that first performance becomes at times a matter quite irrecoverable. None the less we recog-

¹ For this appraisement I am indebted to the excellent chapter on Beaumont and Fletcher by G C Macaulay, in the Cambridge History of English Literature, vi, 115, 116

nise at once in comedies such as II it at Several IV eatons. The Scornful Lady Monsteur Thomas and II at Without Mones which date between 1608 and 1614 admirable specimens of the London comedy of manners beginning altogether in the manner vivicity elever plotting and of 3

and discriminated ın returned from Paris in a SICE dilemma between a father who would have him wild and a betrothed who declares that she will none of him unless he mend his ways. Valentine who regards an approved ability to

live by his wits the first essential of a free man both are ex cellent departures within the range of that darling of the comedy of manners the engaging pendthrift. Whilst in the capable witty and high spirited Virty more than a match for her trivelled Thomas and in Lady Heartwell as outspoken and as scornful of conventions as Valentine himself. Fletcher created a new and exceedingly pleasing type the spirited resourceful heroine, with which he rings many a merry chime in subsequent comedies. Even the usurers the servants and the ninnies Fletcher coins over again in a new mint giving individ uality to personages with an easy naturalness that conceals his

art and disarms the judicial frown of criticism

But these comedies strictly of London life represent only a part of the generous contribution of Fletcher and his compeers to the comedy of manners. A larger and for the most part a later group are those that laying the scene in foreign places in Italy France or Spain exhibit elements more or less romantic Indeed it might not be difficult for the reader of Beaumont and Fletcher to arrange their comedies in an order that would display a gradation from these scenes of I ondon life to dramas in which the interest is almost wholly romantic and the scale might be continued through the tragicomedies to the tragedies. I say 'almost wholly romantic 'because there are few plays of these authors which do not contain either scenes of comedy or at least some comic and realistic personages. This is a matter often forgotten when we speak of the romantic poets Shake speare and Fletcher Romantic they are for they see things in the mists of beauty and remoteness or in the glory that lights un common objects and makes them matters of shadow and light not of rule and plummet. But the romantic dramatist is not among the great if his power of vision does not likewise extend to the discerning of things as they are when there

is need of this first essential of literary, as of all other, art The realism of Fletcher is no small part of his dramatic effectiveness and his comic personages deserve more recognition than they have commonly received Returning to the comedies of manners of foreign scene, first among them may be named The Woman's Prize or the Tamer Tamed which some critics are inclined to place very early (in 1604) because of its relation to The Taming of the Shrew Here we meet once more the merry, resourceful heroine, in this case Maria, successor to the miserably tamed Katharine, and the vindicator of her sex comedy is as inventive and original as it is amusing and, as we have it, is not the work of a beginner in play writing turn to our scale of comedy, in the unpleasing but able play, The Captain, 1613, as in the three admirable later comedies. The Little French Lawyer, The Wild Goose Chase, and Rule a Wife and Have a Wife, which range about 1620, we meet with lively, diverting and eminently successful specimens of the lighter comedy of manners in Fletcher's best vein Honest Man's Fortune, 1613, The Elder Brother, and The Noble Gentleman, the two latter revised after Fletcher's death, the tone is graver and the animating motive more romantic Nothing, for example, could be in better comic spirit than "the little French lawyer," transformed into a fire-eater by the accident of success in a duel into which he had been literally "pressed," but cured at last by being mischievously left with his opponent on a raw morning not only without weapons but without doublets. A clever and inventive variation, too, on the old motive of the shrew, is the main story of Rule a Wife and Have a Wife in which a wealthy lady, seeking a complaisant husband, meets her master, and - according to an obsolete doctrine which few dare now even covertly to hold with man's mastery comes contentment, happiness and love.

But the distinctive dramatic achievement for which Beaumont and Fletcher stand memorable in the annals of literature is romantic tragicomedy. Obviously a drama which, in one and the same plot, is both comedy and tragedy is an impossibility because a contradiction in terms. Even the yoking together of both in two separate plots is apt to be incongruous and inartistic unless the comedy is employed in a place of subsidiary importance and merely for relief. A tragicomedy is a drama which deals with serious emotions—such as might well lead to tragedy—but in such a manner as to conclude happily and to

the satisfaction of the auditor Fletcher was by no means the inventor of tragicomedy which had existed long before his time Shakespeare's Merchant of I enice is an excellent familiar ex ample of the general meaning of the term. In the trial scene tragedy and comedy hang in the balance. An heroic resolu tion on the part of Shylock to risk his life for his revenge and the scene had turned tragic. To the Ien however the man of trade and barter this species of heroism was impossible and he forfested not only his revence but his faith and half his for tune to become the butt and the laughing-stock of his enemies and so the play ends a com dy But when we speak of the tragicomedy of Beaumont and Fletcher we speak of something definite and distinctive. If we look back to the varieties of romantic drama already described the heroical plays that trace back to mediaval romance the conqueror series their near kin the historical and biographical dramas and the tragedies of in trigue and revenge we find them animated each in its kind by certain very definite characteristics. They were for example for the most part unoriginal in subject adhering as a rule to the course of human experience and to a more or less accepted code of conduct They adopted Italy as the accepted locality of romance while none the less affecting a certain verisimilitude to their own contemporary manners and while as fond of rank and as deferential to it as Englishmen both before and after the characters were not arranged dramatically to conform to the rules of precedence Once more we find the comedies and tragedies of strictly Elizabethan times commonly constructed about some central idea the infatuation of Antony for Cleopatra the consequences of the senile folly of Lear the revenge of Hieronimo the subjection of Benedick and Beatrice to love Variety of personage and situation is characteristic of this drama and it tends very little to repetition and to the per petuation of types The later romantic drama especially in the tragicomedy set by Beaumont and Fletcher as an example stands greatly in contrast with all this Originality of plot in it often runs to ingenuity even to improbability. The place of action is tied to no scene nor age, but is often laid in some no man's land governed by heroic princes possessed of exceptional virtues or deformed by extraordinary vices troubled with un historical usurpations intrigues and rebellions and ruled by con ventional and courtly manners unlike the actual conditions of any time. For unity of plot and construction according to

some ruling idea, is substituted multiplicity of interest, contrast and surprise, and the personages fall into well determined and conventionalized types which, repeated in a never-ending variety of sameness, draw further and further from nature in the hands of playwrights less clever than those who first broached them

The actual collaboration of Beaumont and Fletcher belongs to the year 1608 and some three or four years thereafter at the most Whatever the two poets' earlier separate affiliations (with the boys' companies it has been thought), we find them united in the composition of Philaster which was "acted at the Globe by his majesty's servants" at some time not long prior to 1610. In Philaster or Love Lies a-Bleeding we meet once more with an epoch-making drama, for in this justly famous tragicomedy combine all the qualities of the species to set a standard from which this type of play was little to vary until it declined into its logical successor, the Restoration heroic The story of *Philaster* is built on contrast Philaster. the young prince of Messina, has been set aside by a usurping king who seeks to perpetuate his rule by the marriage of his daughter, the peerless princess, Arethusa, to Pharamond, Prince of Spain The princess has thus two suitors, Philaster whom she loves, noble, melancholy and interesting, and Pharamond, whom she detests, who turns out cowardly, immoral and ignoble The lovers employ, for messages between them, a devoted page, Bellario, who is really the maiden, Euphrasia, hopelessly in love with Philaster and so disguised to be near him, he unknowing The requited love of Arethusa thus falls into contrast with the hopeless and unrequited love of Euphrasia; and these two varieties of virtuous affection again are contrasted with sensual love in the intrigue of Pharamond with a malicious court Philaster, although a noble lover, is high wanton, Megra strung, quick to suspect even those he loves and ready to avenge his honour, so when Megra insinuates evil things of Bellario's service to his mistress Arethusa, Philaster for a time believes her, repudiates Arethusa and in a frenzy wounds his innocent page. In the upshot, Philaster, Arethusa and Bellario are freed from prison, into which the king had thrown them, by a timely popular uprising, and from doubt and scandal by the disclosure of Bellario's actual sex. It will be observed that we have here a comedy of sentimental interest thrust into the midst of elements, heroic and potentially tragic The contrast of personages, the complexity of the action and its rapidity,

all make against any development of character. The drawing is in black and white but the strokes are sure and the person ages that emerge are unmistakable. However little can mere recital of the story or comment on it make clear the variety the swiftness the poetry and effective dramatic quality of this charming drama which may be taken in a first reading as the touchstone of a taste for romantic art.

The sources of Philaster have been sought by the critics in vain and however a general similarity has been observed be tween its character and conduct and the contemporary Spanish drama de capa y espada a contact between English drama and that of the Peninsula at so early a date as 1610 has not been established But interest as to Philaster lies not only in the play itself but in the circumstance that it became at once the norm not only for its authors - of whom we may well believe Beaumont the more important - but for a long following by other successors The other plays of the Philaster type in clude especially King and No King and The Maid's Tragedy which rings tragic changes on a similar group of personages In the latter which must have followed Philaster closely the usurping king becomes the lustful tyrant Philaster sinks into the noble but wretched and bewildered husband Amintor mar ried to Evadne the king's mistress to preserve appearances and the love lorn maiden recurs in the unhappy Aspasia also disguised as a page and a tragic variant of Bellario Euphrasia The Maid's Tragedy is a drama of genuine power carefully plotted and thoroughly well written. It held the stage like Philaster until long after the Restoration and the role of the wronged and regenerated Evadne who awakened to her wicked ness kills her royal lover first acted by Field and Kynaston was afterwards played by some of the most famous actresses of that later time This tragedy added two figures to the several types of Beaumont and Fletcher the lustful tyrant repeated in sub sequent drama ad nauseam and in Melantius the bluff and loyal soldier long a deserved favourite In Ling and No Ling licensed in 1611 the authors returned to tragicomedy ringing a new change on a similar dramatis personæ Here the king Arbaces is once more the heroic prince But his headstrong passionateness and unreason is carried to the borders of the ridiculous to emphasise his really ignoble birth. So in Bessus who belongs to the type of Pharamond folly and bluster rather than cowardice and immorality are brought out as the ruling

And for the love-lorn maiden, we have substituted Spaconia, a young woman of capacity and address, sister of the resourceful maidens of Fletcherian comedy. In dwelling on the undoubted types into which the personages of these able dramatists do fall, it is important to mark, too, the extraordinary cleverness with which they avoid mere repetitions judge them by their unsuccessful imitators would be eminently On the other hand, it is not to be denied that, however accurate the observation of Dryden that Fletcher more truly represented the manners of gentlemen on the stage than Shakespeare, there is a certain unknitting of the moral fibre even in these earliest of the tragicomedies The readiness of Philaster to believe the reported unfaithfulness of his peerless princess and his cowardly "pinking" of poor little Bellario with his sword, the "loyalty" of Evadne's husband, Amintor, that unnerved his hand to avenge the greatest wrong that man can do to man, because the wrongdoer was his sovereign, the struggle of Arbaces and Penthea, in King and No King, against a mutual passion which both believe to be incestuous though their belief turns out an error — all these things are illustrations in point and explainable to a large degree by the struggle for novelty, surprise and effect which Beaumont and Fletcher inaugurated in the drama of the time of King James

We have already learned how the lord Chamberlain's company of players by a judicious alliance with one Laurence Fletcher, who had affiliations with the then Scottish king, contrived to pass into the immediate patronage of King James even before he reached London, Thenceforward this company was known as the King's company and the other theatrical troupes soon followed this lead to the royal patronage, the Earl of Pembroke's, later Worcester's, becoming the Queen's, the Admiral's Prince Henry's, while the Children of the Royal Chapel were now known as the Queen's Revels. Paul's boy continued to be so designated until 1607, when we lose sight of them and a new company appears known as the King's Revels The boy companies, so long successful, seem to have been finally suppressed about 1607, and they were succeeded by two companies of men, the Duke of York's players and the Lady Eliza-A year after Prince Henry died, in 1614, his company became that of the Palsgrave, who was already betrothed to the Princess Elizabeth, and the company, formerly called the Duke of York's, became that of Prince Charles. These five com-

panies united under the royal patronage long held practically a monopoly of the London stage As to their theatres the King's men continued acting at the Globe except for the interruption of the fire in 1613 until the closing of the theatres by Parlia ment in 1642 The Queen's men were at the Rose later at the new Red Bull in St John Street Clerkenwell Prince Henry's occupied the Fortune In 1609 the King's players began to act likewise at Blackfriars which had been leased by Burbage to the children of the Chapel from 1596 to 1608 The Globe excepted the playhouses of the Bankside seem not to have thrived far into the reign of King James although ap parently Henslowe attempted a revival there by erecting in 1613 on the site of the old bear garden a theatre which he called the Hope This was opened in that year with Jonson's Bartholomew Fair and No Wit No Help like a Woman's by Middleton and Rowley but we hear no more of it after Henslowe's death in 1616 and the primacy remained thereafter indisputably with the King's company ocupying its two play houses the Globe and Blackfriars

The last group of Shakespeare's plays are commonly denom the romances They include Pericles the rambling stage version of a romantic tale ultimately referable to the Greek romance of the sixth century called Apollonius of Tyre Cymbeline the interweaving of an Italian story from the De cameron with a bit of ancient British history derived from Holinshed The Winter's Tale the dramatizing of a popular novel of Greene Pandosto and The Tempest the glorifica tion by a poet's fancy of hints contained in a couple of prosaic pamphlets concerning far off and vexed Bermoothes" other wise the Bermuda Islands It is only necessary to note the ex traordinary variety of the derivation of these four plays to appreciate their diversity of origin Their diversity of treat ment is in some respects almost as great. They range in point of probable date of acting between 1608 and 1612 at latest and they differ materially in tone and manner from Shake speare's own comedies and tragedies that preceded them With Pericles in all likelihood Shakespeare had only a little to do and yet some of the scenes notably that in which Marina finds her father are in his most beautiful and effective manner The other three plays are not only wholly Shakespeare's but in some respects they offer us qualities of a rarity and an exquisite poetic fancy not matchable elsewhere even in his works. In Imogen

for example, we have the quintessence of that deep discernment and appreciation of true womanhood in which Shakespeare has no second. In the imaginative conception of Ariel we have the mischievous and fanciful Puck, of the earth delightfully earthy, translated literally to the skies to breathe to us invisible in soft and musical zephyrs In the story of Hermione and her noble reconcilement to her husband, Leontes, contrite, it is true, for his terrible crime of doubt, but according to our human standards unforgivable, we have a larger charity and bounty in forgiveness than most of us can rise to comprehend. And in all we meet with that familiar, competent technique, ranging from these greater things — if even they be greater — to the turning of a perfect lyric or the conception of that inimitable vagabond. Antolycus. I confess that I lose patience with the scholarship whose scrutiny and second sight can discover a falling-off in Shakespeare's art in these beautiful dramas of his later maturity Must we have always the blare of the trumpets of war and terrors followed by the solemn pomp of tragedy? And is there no time of the year for comedy except the merry springtime with its frolics and its follies? It is true that each of these plays of Shakespeare ends in reconciliation and that three out of the four deal with passions and emotions as serious as those which are wont to animate tragedy. These plays are tragicomedies in that sense, and by strict definition. It is also true that one or perhaps even two of them may have followed Philaster on the stage and that Shakespeare, alive as he was to all that was about him, must have appreciated the talent, the originality and success of this excellent performance group Shakespeare's "romances" in any sense or in any wise with the tragicomedies of Beaumont and Fletcher - Shake-speare's "romances" with their sense of nature and out of doors, and their personages as freely conceived and naturally differentiated as men and women are in the world, with Fletcher's court ladies and gentlemen, laced and starched, governed by the conventions of the romantic novelists, sentimental, heroic, to the lover of reality, be it confessed, with all their poetry, often frankly absurd - is to befog the understanding and to lead those whom such scholarship affects to guide hopelessly awry

After the period of Fletcher's collaboration with Beaumont some have recognised a short period of similar co-operation on

Fletcher's part with Shakespeare This would fall within the years 1612 and 1613 and includes three plays the chronicle history included in Shakespeare's works and called Henry VIII in passages of which a very Fletcherian kind of versification has been discovered The Two Noble Kinsmen a dramatic version of Chaucer's Anight's Tale published in 1634 as by the mem orable worthies of their time Mr John Fletcher and Mr Wil ham Shakespeare gentlemen and a lost play called Gardenio on a subject from Don Outrote which was acted in 1613 and registered as Shakespeare's and Fletcher's by an untrustworthy publisher in 1653. This is not very much out of which to make a case although there is no essential improbability in such an actual collaboration or in the likelihood of Fletcher's work ing over an earlier play of Shakespeare in the case of Henry VIII when he succeeded as we know that he did to the place of chief poet of the King's company The hand of Shakespeare in The Two Noble Kinsmen is less likely The play is strictly of Fletcherian tragicomedy type and not a conspicuous example It seems more like an effort in part to imitate Shakespeare than in any wise work of his own though if Fletcher wrote the beautiful lyric Roses their sharp spines being gone it was one of the cases really not a few in which he came near to rivalling his master in his exquisite lyrical art

To return to tragicomedy whatever Beaumont's part in set ting the distinctive style of this kind of drama Fletcher con tinued the species in upwards of a score of well written and effective plays between the year of Beaumont's retirement and his own death at the close of the reign of King James Allu sion has already been made to the fact that the Fletcherian drama is less distinguished by the vivid contrast of comedy and tragedy than by certain gradations of tone so that the tragi comedies which hold the middle ground are variously keyed to fall into the lower notes of tragedy or rise to the shrill treble of the laughter of comedy For example in A Wife for a Month although this sombre drama falls within the category of tragicomedy we feel that the logic of a tragical atonement has been defeated by an unsatisfactory compounding with sin On the other hand The Pilgrim however it fall too within the middle category is almost purely light comedy. Whatever our unsupported suspicions as to Philaster in the tragicomedies that followed Fletcher found many a plot in the literature of

Spain, up to his time little broached for English drama 2 Thus, The Chances, The Queen of Corinth, The Fair Maid of the Inn and The Lovers' Pilgrimage, which he scattered over Fletcher's career as a dramatist, are derived from the famous Novelas Exemplares of Cervantes, while The Custom of the Country comes from another of the romances of the same great Spaniard. Other Spanish authors upon whom Fletcher levied with his collaborator Massinger for their tragicomedies, are Lope de Vega for The Pilgrim, Juan de Flores for Women Pleased, Gonzalo de Cespedes for The Maid in the Mill and Leonardo de Argenzola, perhaps, for The Island Princess Spanish, too, are underplots, episodes and personages in Rule a Wife and Have a Wife, The Little French Lawyer, The Double Marriage, The Prophetess and some others It is of interest to note that in the list of some eighteen plays of Fletcher which have been referred to Spanish origin, if we omit Love's Cure, about Fletcher's actual authorship of which there are genuine doubts,8 not one is derived from a Spanish play, but all come from Spanish prose fiction. Secondly there is not one of these Spanish stories that had not been translated, by Fletcher's time, either into French or into English, so that the assumption that Fletcher was acquainted with the Castilian tongue is as hazardous as the assignment to Shakespeare of a familiar knowledge of Italian It is noteworthy that most of these tragicomedies of Spanish source fall within the period when Massinger was in collaboration with Fletcher Massinger's own unaided work discloses a similar reference to Spain, one work, The Renegado, licensed in 1642, the year before Fletcher's death, tracing back directly to a comedy of Cervantes, whilst another, A Very Woman, ten years later, has recourse once more to the popular Novelas Exemplares In view, however, of the similarity in spirit between the earlier tragicomedies of Beaumont and Fletcher and the comedias de capa y espada, contemporary with them in Spain, it would be claiming too much for Massinger to attribute to him the introduction of Spanish influences into the drama of England

This fertile source once opened, other dramatists soon availed themselves of it. Allusion has already been made to William

² On this topic see the present writer more at length in The Cambridge History of English Literature, viii, chapter v

³ See Elizabethan Drama, 11, pp 214, 215

Rowley's powerful tragedy All's Lost by Lust 1619 which is founded on an old Spanish historical ballad Among the best of tragicomedies is The Spanish Gifts 1623 the work of Middleton and Rowley which tells the romantic tale of the gipsy maid Pretiosa and in the combination of this with an other story of Cervantes achieved a lasting dramatic success. The early twenties witnessed a momentary interest in the stage gipsy. Besides this tragicomedy a band of gipsies figure in Middleton's excellent comedy. More Dissemblers besides Il Vomen 1622. Possibly Jonson's missique The Gipties Middleton's delay to the display the display the Middleton's delay to the display the morphosed 1621 a great success at court which delighted the

king is responsible for this feature of both plays

As to the plays of Spanish origin by Fletcher named above The Chances 1615 and The Pilerim 1621 are charming specimens of the romantic species to which they belong former deals with the chances or accidents that befell young students unexpectedly become the protectors of a lady and her child The Pilgrim details the adventures of a lover returned as a beggar to his native place to claim the lady of his love. In The Chances the humours of Mistress Gil lian the landlady English additions to the comedy element had much to do with preserving the play in popular esteem on the stage Another exceedingly popular play of Fletcher's which also held the stage like these long after the Restoration was The Spanish Curate acted first about 1622 Here the poet treated his source with great freedom, the lighter scenes being wholly his own But Fletcher was by no means tied to any one source for material for his ready inventive genius to work upon In Beggars Bush 1622 the scene is Flanders and the humours of a group of professional vagrants - not unlike the gipsies of the moment's popularity - work into a romantic theme of disguises fugitives merchant adventurers and the like This play has been thought in theme suggestive of The Mer chant of Venice as The Sea Voyage also 1622 has been con sidered not unreminiscent of The Tempest But such ilarities ' with the many more that scrutinising scholarship has gathered give modern critics far more concern than the easy going subjects of king James ever took even so much as to recognise them. In one or two transcomedies that date some what earlier than these we have Fletcher's confirmed manner The Anight of Malta represents Fletcher's ideal for example of knighthood in a somewhat intricate plot based on a more

than usually complete realisation of the method of contrast 4 The gradual degeneracy of Mountferrat, by means of passion, from a brave and honourable knight to recreancy, shame and degradation from his order is finely conceived and powerfully written. The contrasted triumph of his foil, the worthy knight Miranda, in his struggle between an earthly and impure love and fidelity to the ideals of his order is more open to criticism In this interesting tragicomedy we have one of the earliest appearances in English drama of the heroic theme, soon to be contorted into a hundred new and ingenious changes, the struggle between love and honour The Loyal Subject is of much the same date (about 1618) Here Fletcher takes up another theme soon to rise to an interest more than histrionic, the test of loyalty under extraordinary and wanton royal infliction. This is a favourite Fletcherian situation, recurring in its more natural tragic form in Amintor's plight in The Maid's Tragedy and in the relations of Aecius to his emperor Valentinian in the tragedy of that title In The Loyal Subject, the loyalty of an honourable old soldier is put to tests as absurd and extreme in their kind as those to which patient Griselda was subjected by her "curious" husband Both plays exhibit typical examples of another favourite situation of the age, the eternal test of woman's chastity In The Knight of Malta, Miranda, the ideal knight vowed to a holy life, tempts by way of trial the virtuous wife of his friend in a scene which no pure-minded man could have conceived much less have enacted In The Loyal Subject, a daughter of that impossible hero, sent on the royal mandate, an innocent to the court, proves more than a match for the dissolute duke whom she captures for a husband with unmaidenly effrontery before he has time to propose himself her lover It is refreshing to turn from Fletcher's treatment of this latter subject to Heywood's The Royal King and Loyal Subject which deals with precisely the same story, derived by both from a "novel" of Bandello, told in Painter's Palace of Pleasure Heywood transfers the scene to an indeterminate period of English history Bandello's scene was Persia, Fletcher had made it Muscovy, which might be anywhere No better example in contrast between the methods of earlier drama and those which Fletcher brought in could be conceived than that exhibited in these two plays, and this de-

⁴ The powerful first and second acts of this play have been thought not to be Fletcher's

spite the fact that Heywood is trying to write in the prevailing mode. Heywood makes the contest one in courtesies in which the king wins only because of his imperious assertion of his royal power Fletcher adds cruelty to the qualities of his king and unmanliness and heroic madness to the submission of his sub ject and he transforms the sweet womanly daughters of Hey wood's subject into the unmaidenly holdens already mentioned Fletcher's method of contrast demanded these high lights and deep shadows The drama became of coarser fibre in his hands less delicate in touch and feeling gaudier in colour shriller in tone less true to nature however successful theatrically The Laws of Candy we meet still another heroic theme contest here being one for military honours between a father This drama was probably rewritten almost wholly It is an effective play of its species deserving by Massinger more recognition than it has received Lastly of this tale of Fletcherian tragicomedy The Lover's Progress is a dramatized version of a contemporary French romance and a favourable specimen of the old world courtesy heroic disinterestedness and other fine virtues in which this excellent old literature had its life and justification. This pleasing drama is interesting in establishing Fletcher's direct contact with that heroic literature which several of his plays of Spanish source disclose elements for the vogue of which in the next two generations Fletcher is more responsible than any other Englishman

We must now turn back briefly to survey another species of drama which Fletcher essayed and affiliated to his new tragi-This is the pastoral Pastoral drama is only one form of pastoral literature which may be in verse or prose lyne or epic artistic and without further design than to amuse or fraught with weighty satirical or political meaning. The mode is wholly of Italian origin and was popular in general poetry before it was attempted in dramatic form. Of the na ture and origins of pastoral poetry this is not the place to speak it enters the dramatic entertainments at court with Gascoigne and in such masque like productions as Sidney's Lady of Mai and it developed in the hands of Peele with his Arraignment of Paris and of Lyly into full dramatic form The scene of Lyly's Love's Metamorphosis for example is Arcadia, and other illustrations of what may be called the mythological pastoral school are not wanting among his other plays and among those of his follower, John Day No true student of literature, on the other hand, can confuse the English love of free rural life, embodied in the national myth of Robin Hood. with anything so stilted, artificial and exotic as the pastoral, and therefore the classification of such plays as A Midsummer Night's Dream and As You Like It with pastorals, save for some delightful and delicate raillery in the latter, is peculiarly wide of the mark It is in Samuel Daniel's Oueen's Arcadia. 1605, that we meet with the first authentic specimen of the pastoral drama in England, according to the practice and example of the great Italian pastoralists, Tasso, Sannazaro and And it was this very pretty, correct and poetical endeavour to imitate the best Italian manner in this kind no doubt that suggested to Fletcher his attempt to popularise the pastoral on the public stage It is fair to Daniel to state that his play is both original and inventive, it is even furnished with satirical comedy scenes for relief in which the famous descant on tobacco and its attendant evils was nicely fitted to meet the approval of the royal author of A Counterblast to Tobacco

Fletcher's one pastoral drama is The Faithful Shepherdess. acted in 1608 and printed in the next year with a confession of its failure on the stage In this confession Fletcher gives us his theory as to the pastoral in which he adopts, almost as rigidly as Daniel, the rules of Italian sanction, attributing the popular failure of his play to a vulgar misapprehension of its meaning. The Faithful Shepherdess, as we now read it, is full of poetry, beauty of sentiment and charm of person and situation and we are not surprised to hear that it gained no inconsiderable popularity, especially at court in later performances The story is strictly of the approved pastoral type and the author has carried out in it even more completely than usual his favourite method of contrast, running a whole gamut, so to speak, on the scale of the passion of love from ideal constancy to a dead lover down to mere wantonness Indeed, ingenious scholarship has discovered a complete allegory of love in this play of which the author was doubtless quite unaware Milton discovered more than this in The Faithful Shepherdess He found there beautiful poetry and a dainty sense for nature which he disdained not to make the model for some of his own most beautiful poetry The poet and the critic hunts, each after his No further attempt appears to have been made by the greater men to popularise the pastoral drama, although Shakespeare, in 1611, utilised the pastoral in some of the most charm-

ing scenes of The Winter's Tale glorifying his material in the process and ignoring if he were ever cognisant of the Italians and all their regulations A neglected but very pretty pas toral of popular type is Robert Daborne's The Poor Man's Comfort staged by one of Henslowe's companies in 1613 Daborne was one of the latest of Henslowe's hack poets and some of his letters remaining exhibit a hand to mouth existence that reminds us of the career of Dekker Like Marston Da borne sought refuge from the stage in the church. He was the author of several other plays A novel departure of his men torious pastoral lies in the circumstance that his folk are really shepherds and do not turn out in the end to be princes in disguise Daniel tried his hand once more at pastoral drama in a less elaborate and more musque like effort. This was his Himen s Triumph acted at court in 1614 and this was only one of several similar adaptations of the pastoral to royal entertainment such as Sevros by Samuel Brooke acted before Prince Charles at Cambridge in the previous year and Sicelides by Phineis Fletcher first cousin of the dramatist acted before the Ling at the same university in 1615. Sicelides is described as a piscatory 'that is a pastoral in which fisher folk take the place of shepherds The type is classically authentic Phineas Fletcher's play is never dramatic but it is well written and possessed of a gen une love of nature that cannot but hold the attention of a reader who loves poetry

When Jonson died a fragment was found among his papers and published in the folio of 1642 as The Sad Shetherd It is a charming fresh and effective piece of writing and represents the poet in full vigour Moreover the play is a bold attempt to combine pastoral figures and pastoral traditions with the English story of Robin Hood and with English witch lore is regrettable that Jonson never finished The Sad Shepherd Various opinions have been held as to the probable date of this fragment and the occasion of its writing. Some have thought a last spring blossom from a withered tree Others would place the poem here a year or so before Shakespeare's death and make it synchronize with this early period of the vogue of the pastoral drama at court Tonson's avowed rivalry with Daniel who had just produced Himen's Triumph which was a success the character of Jonson's fragment so different from his later dramatic fallings-off and the opportunity to con found a foe in theory and practice as well - all these things point to the earlier as the more probable date for the composition of *The Sad Shepherd* Why the play was never finished nor staged, we do not know The still higher popularity of pastoral drama in the reign of King Charles, we must defer

to its proper place

There remain of Fletcher the tragedies, and of these the most celebrated, The Maid's Tragedy, has already been discussed because of its close affiliation to the tragicomedies of the Philaster group The tragedies, some eight in number, range from Gupid's Revenge, about 1608, to The False One, in the form in which we have it, 1620 In subject, they exhibit a greater range even than the tragicomedies which are at least four fold their number, though the Fletcherian romantic atmosphere envelops them all In two, the scene is France Thierry and Theodoret, a forceable, if forbidding play, is founded ostensibly on Merovingian chronicles, but seems written more particularly to stage under this cloak certain contemporary scandals in the court of France The Bloody Brother or Rollo Duke of Normandy, in which Fletcher is only one of several authors, has not been traced, in its powerful subject of internecine fratricidal struggle, to a source either French or other. But for the inexorable rigour of chronology, however, from the resemblance of the stories, a certain kind of scholarship would surely long since have discovered a German influence on Fletcher exerted through Schiller's Die Braut von Messina Fletcher's tragedies have a more or less remote touch with the classics. These are Cupid's Revenge, the scene of which is Lycia, though the source is partly Sidney's Arcadia, Bonduca which combines the story of the British queen, Boadicea, with that of the Welsh hero, Caractacus, Valentinian, the expansion of an anecdote told by Procopius of that emperor; and The False One, which deals with the intrigue of Cleopatra and Julius Cæsar This last shows Fletcher and his coadjutor Massinger, excellent classical scholars and capable of dealing with their historical material in a manner that would have been no discredit to Jonson himself Valentinian is a typical example of the transformation of unimportant material by a rehabilitation of familiar personages into a something dramatically successful The emperor is the lustful tyrant, Lucina the steadfast wife, Aecius the bluff and loyal soldier, whilst Maximus repeats though it may have preceded) the theme of the degeneracy of a noble nature which we have noted in Mountferrat the knight of Malta And yet with sure swift touch all is transformed into a something new and admirably effective. In many respects we have in Bonduca one of the most interesting of these plays. In its employment of British stories it is allied through a long descent with the chronicle play and is more or less closely affiliated to dramas such as Middleton's Mayor of Queeniborough or Rowley's Birth of Merlin. On the other hand, despite an intelligent use of Roman historians of British the play is altogether rominite. It is the pathetic figure of the little Prince Hengo the infatiution of the young Roman centurion for one of the daughters of the queen whose impetuous and shrill voiced patriotism falls into contrast with the calm magnanimous heroism of Caratach that really interests the dramatist and with him his auditor or render.

When all has been said however of Fletcher's tragedies it must be confessed that his and Massinger's Sir John Van Olden Barnevelt stands alone as the finest English historical tragedy of the age dealing with material outside of classical or British sources Here for once the immediacy of the material caused the authors to doff their romantic plumes and deal with their material in a spirit which achieved the reproduction of a gen uine historical atmosphere. The noble pride of Barnevelt in his honourable career the reluctance of Maurice of Nassau to press him to his undoing the skilful use of minor personages the friends and family of the great Advocate even the crowd of burghers women and children all combine with consummate dramatic art to produce a tragedy that must have created a sensation staged as it seems to have been at a time ilmost current with the events which it portrays Historically viewed The Tragedy of Barnevelt is one of an interesting group of dramas which touch on contemporary political occurrences in its freedom from ulterior satirical or political purposes it stands practically alone. In this connection it is enough to remind the reader that Middleton's Game at Chess two years later was suppressed on the stage for its representation satirical and undignified of Spanish dignitaries and princes as pieces of the game that Chapman's Charles Duke of Byron had been mu tilated by the censor for too vivid a glimpse into the domestic scandals of the French court and that Massinger to avoid the same fate was compelled to translate a play of his on a Spanish pretender as we shall see into the terms of a classical story having to do with Antiochus the Great The patriot Barne

velt, was executed in May 1619 Three months later there is mention of Fletcher's play, which was prohibited by the Bishop of London, though later acted Barnevelt was not printed in quarto or in either of the folios of Beaumont and Fletcher It remained in manuscript until its discovery and printing by Mr. Bullen in the year 1883

The close personal friendship of Fletcher and Massinger and the latter's succession to Beaumont's place as the chief of those who co-operated with the popular dramatist is avouched by contemporary testimony and confirmed by the discriminations of modern scholarship Philip Massinger was of about Beaumont's age, he was born at Salisbury, late in 1584, and the son of a gentleman in the service of Henry the second Earl of It has been supposed that the younger Massinger served as a page in the household of the Pembroke family, whose patronage at a later time he certainly enjoyed Young Massinger was entered at St Alban Hall, Oxford, in 1602, but left the university without a degree The details of his beginnings as a playwright are as little known as those of his associates We have evidence, however, that his was the hard school of Henslowe and that Field and Daborne were his immediate fellows in adversity. It was with the former that he wrote The Fatal Dowery, a tragedy of great power and excellence, and the inspiration (unacknowledged by Nicholas Rowe, the author, long after) of The Fair Penitent, a drama of extraordinary vogue in its day The appearance of Massinger's name with Dekker's in The Virgin Martyr, licensed in 1620 and reckoned among the earliest of his plays, and with Middleton's and Rowley's in The Old Law doubtless marks his revision of the older original work of these playwrights singer's association with Fletcher begins about 1613, and he indubitably revised some of the latter's work after Fletcher's To that work we need not here recur Massinger survived until 1640 and was buried, according to the epitaph by Cockayne, in the same grave with Fletcher Some of the earlier work of Massinger was written for the Queen's company, after Fletcher's death, he wrote wholly for the King's men

It can not be affirmed that Massinger, in his unaided efforts, added any new form or unusual variety of treatment to the repertory of the Jacobean stage. And yet there are distinctive qualities that differentiate Massinger and give him his place in the august brotherhood of England's great dramatic age. Mas-

singer's work is of two kinds the comedy of manners repre sented in three or more plays an able combination of the easy method of Middleton and Fletcher with the stricter moral attitude of Jonson and secondly his tragicomedies and tragedies all of them in the approved Fletcherian mode wherein, however Massinger at times almost equals his master Let us consider first the comedies in which although he shared less than in the tragicomedies with his more famous coadjutor he has left be hind him none the less two admirable specimens of the drama of English contemporary manners These are The City Madam acted perhaps as early as 1619 and A New Way to Pay Old Debts which must have been written close to the end of the reign of King James The City Madam echoes some of the motives of Eastward Ho in the idle and vicious ap prentices and the citizen's wife and her foolish daughters with their ridiculous pride and affectation But Massinger gives his comedy a more serious turn in the figure of Luke Frugal a sometime producal reduced to affected penitence and the ac ceptance of his brother's charity but when opportunity arises on his supposed inheritance of his brother's wealth, revealed a monster of selfishness and avarice assenting to a proposition to transport his brother's wife and daughters to Virginia and a life of slavery to be rid of their importunate claims upon him A New Way to Pay Old Debts is Massinger's best known play and here again we recognise how close a student the poet was of the drama that had gone before him the situation of Well born and the behaviour of his creditors is much that of Witgood in similar case in Middleton's Trick to Catch the Old One to pursue resemblances no further But here too Massinger has given to his drama an original bias in the powerful figure of avaricious and unprincipled Sir Giles Overreach who in the exorbitance of his villainy and its fitting retribution in mad ness touches the borders of tragedy Both of these comedies of Massinger long held the stage however altered in form A New Way to Pay Old Debts is even now occasionally acted and always with success despite the somewhat artificial and obvious nature of some of the minor personages Well written and capably plotted as are both of these excellent plays it must be confessed that Massinger is less successful in lighter comedy than where some serious motive animates his muse to a rhetori cal fervour In A New Way to Pay Old Debts we leave the city with its bourgeois manners and personages for rather better society, though the elevation of the comedy of English manners from tradesfolk to gentlefolk belongs specifically not to Massinger but to Shirley Massinger was less successful in comedy the scene of which is laid in foreign parts The Parliament of Love, 1624, is a disappointing performance in which a corrupt text and the opportunities of a promising subject thrown away conspire to produce an effect quite disheartening Massinger could make nothing of the imaginative conception of the troubadours, a court for the sage determination of causes in love, except a series of conventional intrigues and a seasoning of conventional humour The Guardian, 1633, is Spanish in character, if not in scene or in known source; it is a comedy as coarse as it is able. Such work offers the greatest contrast with the air of refinement which pervades Massinger's more romantic dramas to which we now turn, and seems more a concession to the taste of the age than the expression of the poet's own personality

In The Bondman, The Maid of Honour and The Renegado, all on the stage before the death of Fletcher, Massinger worked independently and effectively in the received conventions of contemporary tragicomedy The Bondman is based on the classical story of Timoleon's deliverance of ancient Syracuse from the Carthagenian invasion, but the story is translated, in true Fletcherian manner, into a romantic tale of vengeance diverted by love The Renegado, as we have seen, is an independent English version of one of the comedies of Cervantes; The Maid of Honour was long and deservedly one of the most popular of Massinger's tragicomedies, and in it, whatever its undiscovered source, we have Massinger's distinctive way of looking at life Bertholdo, a noble knight of Malta, owes his deliverance from captivity to the Lady Camiola, but is refused by her as a suitor because of his vows She accepts, however, his troth-plight, after the manner of the time, as a test of his gratitude But Bertholdo falls a victim to his love for the Duchess of Sienna and wooes her for his wife, thus proving false alike to Camiola and his knightly order Denounced by Camiola, the recreant is also repudiated by the duchess, and bidding Bertholdo return to his order, Camiola herself takes the veil. This ending, the nature of the story of The Renegado, in which a beneficent Jesuit priest is the motive power, together with the semi-religious character of The Virgin Martyr, have led to surmise that Massinger had become a Roman Catholic

This his intimacy with several gentlemen of that faith makes a matter not unlikely But moral carnestness was a distinct trive trait of the dramatist which other plays besides these go to show. In several dramas of this type Massinger continued the Fletcherian tragicomedy. The Great Duke of Florence is an admirable drama refashioned from an older anonymous play entitled A knack to know a knace. There is nothing historical about it nor about the less important The Picture which transports us to an equally imaginary Hungaria. A Very Woman 1634 is one of Massinger's best romantic plays It follows closely but not slavishly its original El Amanto Liberal of Cervantes. And scarcely less effective is The Bash ful Lover of the next year for the source of which diligent search has been made in van

Only a small proportion of Massinger's dramas end in tra gedy but some of these are among his best The Unnatural Combat is a forceable play which shows acquaintance with the terrible story of the Cenci although the stress is laid upon the feud hetween the father and son not upon the more awful relations of Cenci with Beatrice his daughter and the scene is conveyed to Marseilles and the characters renamed An effective and original catastrophe is the protagonist's death by a stroke of lightning in the moment of his impotent cursing the hour of his birth The Duke of Milan retells a familiar story that of Herod and Mariamne already several times used in the old drama again transferring the scene and renaming the person ages and evolving a powerful situation that owes not a little to the suggestion of the similar situation of Othello Finally of Massinger there are the dramas that deal however romantic ally with ancient times the early Virgin Martir revised in 1620 The Roman Actor 1626 and Believe As You List 1630 all tragedies and The Emperor of the East of the last date also a tragicomedy Of these The Virgin Martir tells the story of Saint Dorothea together with the conversion of Theo philus the persecutor and the martardom of both with its spirits of good and evil alternately prompting each after his kind suggests not only the earlier origin in a play of Dekker's contemporary with the later popularity of Faustus a matter borne out by external evidence - but also the still earlier influences of the morality The Roman Actor Mas singer himself ever esteemed the best birth of his Minerva an estimation nearer the mark than is usual when poets ap

praise their own creations The theme is of original interest and must have been written con amore It tells how the infatuation of the Empress Domitia placed Paris, one of the despised profession of players, on a level, for the moment, with the master of the world and how the man in him rose to the heroic occasion. The conduct and writing of this tragedy deserve all the praises that they have received The Emperor of the East is less important.

Believe as You List claims a separate paragraph. This able. and in places truly pathetic, tragedy relates the story of Antiochus, King of Upper Asia, supposedly left for dead on his field of defeat by the Romans, but really come to life and seeking for a recognition of his royal claim But Rome outfaces him everywhere and terrifies even those who believe in his identity into silence or repudiation, so that in the end the unhappy king is sent a slave to the Roman galleys. This is really the story of one of the pretenders to the long vacant throne of Don Sebastian and the play was so set at first. But Herbert, Master of the Revels, objecting to the story because "it did contain dangerous matter, as the deposing of Sebastian, King of Portugal, by Philip, there being a peace sworn betwixt the king of England and Spain," Massinger rewrote his play, ironically apologising in the prologue — which Herbert probably did not see - for his want of "a knowledge of cosmographie," if he should seem to come "too near a late sad example" to this play, a further analogy has been pointed out by Gardiner, the historian, between the Roman treatment of Antiochus and the attitude of King Charles, at this time, towards his unfortunate brother-in-law, the Elector Palatine and titular King of Bohemia Indeed Gardiner goes much further to find in a succession of Massinger's plays from The Bondman to The Great Duke of Florence and The Maid of Honour, a representation of current political events coloured in the interests of his patrons, the Earls of Pembroke and Montgomery, under a thin dramatic disguise 5

We have thus in Massinger, when all has been said, a successor to Jonson and Middleton in comedy and a continuer of the art and practice of Fletcher in able and important tragedies and tragicomedies Massinger confirmed the taste of the time

⁵ Political Elements in Massinger, New Shakespeare Society's Transactions, 1876

in this last species of drama and while he not infrequently equalled Fletcher in originality of theme and in consummate dramatic craftsmanship Massinger commonly falls below Fletcher in his conception of character and in his substitution of a rhetorical style for the poetry which Fletcher had ever ready on occasion Effectively eloquent as Massinger often is we feel in much of his work the same hurry and carelessness that we feel at times in Fletcher's and we do not feel the same sustaining cleverness skill under difficulty and ease When Massinger flags he does not so readily recover himself Finally Massinger's verse shows a further disintegration writes evenly enough he is less free in the license of the re dundant syllable than Fletcher or even than Shakespeare in the latter's latest manner but he often expands his words in violence to common hurried utterance and he abuses the licenses of run on lines the weak ending and the pause within the line In a word the end of such blank verse as Massinger's at his warst is prose

But Massinger was only the most important of the followers of Fletcher Even in his lifetime there were minor imitations of his types his Spanish sources his method of contrast even of his darting hendecasyllabic verse though never has its lithe activity when at its best been equalled Among trage dies in the manner of Fletcher there is the foreable anonymous Second Maidens, Tragedy endorsed with this title by Sir George Buc Master of the Revels who licensed it in 1611. This drama smacks not a little in its pursuit of a devoted maiden by a

tyrant and its gratuitous horrors of the old tragedy of re venge and much the same is true of the not dissimilar story detailed by Robert Davenport in his tragedy of King John and Matilda 1624 however its harrowing scenes refer back to the old chronicle play The Death of Robert Earl of Huntington Both belong to the specific group of The Mails Tragedy the situation of woman a prey to the passions of man the situation of Measure for Measure of Massingers Duke of Milan and of Shirleys Trator The Bloody Banquet by T D 1620 marks a similar reversion to the theme of the wan ton queen the topic of Fletchers Thierry and Theodoret as of the earlier Tritus Andronicus and Marstons Instatute Counters to name no others Turning to tragicomedy we find it commonly mixing its high themes— as already in Fletcher—with lighter comedy This mixture of elements is character-stic for

, of the several "women's plays," as they have been that cluster about 1620 These include, besides e's own Sea Voyage (wherein a commonwealth of women t to themselves constitutes a feature), and Women , the able anonymous play on the same Spanish story itter. Swetnam the Woman Hater Arraigned by Women. rous title referable to a passing misogynist pamphlet In the underplot. Of a like character, though more is Dekker's fine play, Match Me in London and his r of a Kingdom, while The Spanish Gipsy, already by Rowley and Middleton, and the slightly earlier r a Cuckold by Rowley and Webster disclose in various a more or less happy combination of the drama which hes the tragic with pure comedy These tragicomedies in the early twenties and to them may be added The Thomas May which, however repetitious of old l, is well written and not without genuine merit lesser comedy within the reign of King James, it, too, rned much of Fletcher, and that despite the continued f the earlier example of Jonson. Of the older and men in comedy, we have heard in a previous chapter it here to recall that not only were some of them still out that continued revivals of the plays of the former erved to leaven the rapidly increasing output of new Heywood's important contributions to the domestic The Captives and The English Traveller, fall, the one fore the conclusion of the reign, the other not far after Villiam Rowley's pleasing and vivacious comedy, The Vonder or a Woman Never Vexed, however a reversion method of earlier London comedy, likewise falls late there is Robert Davenport of whom we only know that urished," as the phrase goes, in the year 1624. To this earlier have been referred his two comedies, The City ap and A New Trick to Cheat the Devil, the latter ly a clever if somewhat extravagant play of the same type. Brome, most important of the immediate disciples on, Mayne, Marmion, Cockayne and the rest come later e nonce be it repeated in conclusion that the period from 1625 was as pre-emmently the period of Fletcher as that preceded it had been Jonson's, for in it, above the many hings which we have found, the dominant note was the new Fletcherian romanticism which as we have seen supplanted with its contrast its surprise its gaudier colours and more insistent music the more natural the healthier and more humane romanticism of the Shakespearean supremacy

CHAPTER IX

SHIRLEY AND THE LAST OF THE OLD DRAMA

WHEN King James died, in 1625, few of the great men who had made his reign memorable in the drama survived him Beaumont and Shakespeare had retired long since, dying, as did the old manager and exploiter of plays, Philip Henslowe, in 1616, and neither Chapman nor Marston wrote certainly thereafter. Alleyn, the famous actor, had retired in 1604, and Burbage, active to the last, died in 1619, to be succeeded in the more important Shakespearean rôles by John Lowin and Joseph Fletcher's work came to its end with the reign and Middleton's two years after. Of the older greater men, only Jonson, Heywood and Dekker survived, the last somewhat uncertainly in work with other men and in the making over and printing of earlier plays. Heywood's late dramatic work, too. is much of it uncertain and in large part lost. He was too old to learn the new tricks, however he essayed them in such tragicomedies as The Royal King and Loyal Servant or in his other drama of heroic contest, the interesting Challenge for Beauty, an Elizabethan effort to appreciate Cavalier ideals. As to Jonson, by this time the best of his work was behind him, although the old tree put forth annually its blossoms of inventive poetry for the pleasure of the court. Latterly Jonson was less appreciated as younger pens and keener courtiers competed for his To the honour of King Charles, be it remembered that he was kind to his father's old poet. In the very year of the king's accession Jonson had returned to the stage after having written no drama since Bartholomew Fair, six years before But these later efforts, The Staple of News, The New Inn, The Magnetic Lady and The Tale of a Tub, acted between 1625 and 1634, while full of strong, satirical and "humorous" writing, mark a hardening in the poet's touch, a reversion to allegory and caricature, disclosing at times a bitterness, referable to the poet's struggle with poverty, failing physical vigour and the approach of old age Jonson went down contemning and

despising the opinion of the vulgar to the end but he was be loved by many even among the younger generation for the talents that had made him great and for his honest worth and acknowledged at his death to have been the one sole leader and arbiter of the poetry and the drama of his time.

At the beginning of the reign Massinger was still the most conspicuous figure in the drama. Intrenched in his recent part nership with Fletcher and strong in the acceptance which his in dependent work had received he confirmed the popularity of tragicomedy contributing likewise as we have seen in the last chapter, both comedy and tragedy to the stage for a decade or more But in these years begins likewise the work of Shirley and Ford strong rivals for the popular favour and Brome and Davenant follow soon after

As to the general conditions of the stage King Charles on his succession continued to extend the royal patronage to the theatrical companies that his father had created Not only did the new king take over his father's players but he added his own, the Prince's men, to them thus confirming the leadership of the King's players. The Lady Elizabeth's men became now the Queen's and, in 1632 the players known in the former reign as the Palsgrave's found a patron in the infant Prince Charles One other company received royal recognition as the King's Revels but the Queen's Revels disappears in this reign although a fifth company without a name or 3 patron con tinued to act at the Bull and the Fortune Besides these two lesser older theatres the new Cockpit sometimes known as the Phoenix housed Queen Henrietta's players and there was an other new playhouse in Salisbury Court which was variously The King's men still acted habitually at their old houses the Blackfriars and the Globe and an attempt in 1637 to revive a boys company of players enjoyed only a short lived success A feature of this time is the widening breach between the court and the Puritan city in respect to the regulation of the stage a struggle that was to end at last in the closing of all the London theatres by the act of Parliament and in the dis continuance for the time of all acting of plays

James Shirley was born in London in 1596 and educated at both universities Of his family and extraction little is known but an air of refinement and reserve seems to have been his and he enjoyed a wide and general esteem among his contempo raries Shirley took orders about the year 1620 receiving a

charge at St. Albans, but on becoming a convert to the Church of Rome, he gave up his pulpit and, in 1623, took the mastership of St Albans Grammar School, giving this up in turn and coming to London and play making two years later. The period of Shirley's dramatic authorship thus corresponds almost precisely with the actual reign of King Charles; for it was only the closing of the theatres that silenced the poet Shirley succeeded easily in his new craft and, "without affecting the ways of flattery," soon acquired many friends and patrons, among them most conspicuous the king himself and his amiable queen, Henrietta Maria To the latter Shirley was deeply attached Through another of his patrons, the Earl of Kildare, Shirley was induced to go to Dublin, whither he carried the repertory and traditions of the London stage. Thither he returned more than once in the thirties, never losing, however, his touch with the King's players for whom he was the chief poet in these later years. In 1640 Shirley returned permanently to London. but his career as a dramatist was cut short, two years later, by the peremptory order of Parliament closing the theatres With the outbreak of the Commonwealth wars, Shirley followed his patron, the Earl of Newcastle, taking what part we do not know. He was soon back in London, however, striving for a livelihood with his pen. To the year 1646, belongs Shirley's publication of his volume of miscellaneous verse, to the next, his preface "To the Reader," prefixed to the first folio of the plays of Beaumont and Fletcher. Soon after. Shirley was forced back into his old profession of schoolmaster and to that unhappy recourse of his kind, the writing of schoolbooks. Teaching, the printing of plays, hitherto unpublished, and hack work in translation from the classics for men like Ogilby, who never acknowledged Shirley's help, make up the drudgery of the poet's later years which were prolonged to the time of the great fire, in 1666, when, according to Wood, our only authority for the life of Shirley, he was driven with his wife from their home in Whitefriars by the flames and "being in a manner overcome with affrightments, disconsolations and other miseries occasioned by that fire and their losses," died soon after, both "within the compass of a natural day" ley was buried in the churchyard of St Giles-in-the-Fields

During the period of his activity as a playwright, Shirley wrote nearly forty plays and, owing to his personal care of his work, a larger proportion of them remain extant than of almost

any other of our old dramatists Shirley's first work was for the Queen's men who plajed habitually at the Phoenix by 1635 however he had succeeded to the place as also to the popularity, of Fletcher But Shirley really succeeded to more even than this for, owing to his acceptance at court he combined with the popularity of Fletcher on the boards of the public theatres much of Jonson's received position as chief among the entertainers and writers of misques at court until he was out stripped in this by Davenant Shirley was remarkably independent in his authorship, collaborating with no one unless we accept the somewhat doubtful case of Chapman and certain alleged revisions of plays originally Fletcher's

The earliest of Shirles s plays is Love Tricks afterwards published as The School of Compliment This comedy is far from a satisfactory performance. In 1626 Shirley wrote his excellent comedy The Wedding Here the lighter elements are happily sustained in the humours of one Rawbone a hungry variant of Middleton's eternal usurer addicted to a legal jargon that reminds the reader of the academic comedy Ignoramus Shirley was plainly feeling his way inventively employing as yet the material of existing drama as became the bookish man that he was So, too in The Brothers of equal date he ex perimented not unsuccessfully with that favourite source of his immediate predecessors. Spanish story. If we would know Shirley at his best constructively we must refer to The IV atts Fair One acted in 1628 This inventive comedy turns on the old device of a struggle between a clever girl and a determined father as to which shall decide the choice of a husband Marston had used this motive in The Fawn especially the device by which the lady encourages a faint hearted suitor by false reports to her father of his audicity before his face But Shirley profiting by all this converted his comedy into as veritable a series of dramatic surprises as Jonson compassed in The Alchemist of in The Silent IV oman

It has already been noted that Shirley raised the comedy of English life from the tradesfolk of Middleton and Jorson the higher social grade which came in time to constitute what is now known as society. Three comedies in particular illustrate this Highe Park. The Ball and The Gamester for here Shirley left his books to draw from the life that he saw about him. The interest of the first of these centres in the races (of men as well as of horses) at that time held in the rural re-

gions of Hyde Park The heroine, Carol, is an excellent example of the witty, free spoken but virtuous lady of fashion, and the conduct of Fairfield's courtship of her, a match of wits in which the end is a drawn game, reminds one of many like situations to come in the dramas of the next age when Shirley was forgotten The Ball, in turn, called attention, by way of defence, to the new fashionable assemblies for public dancing It seems that these meetings had been criticised on the score of morals and surmised, by scandal-mongers, to be a cloak for vice In this play, however, Herbert, the Master of the Revels, tells us that "there were divers personated so naturally, both of lords and others of the court, that I took it ill and would have forbidden the play but that Beeston, [the manager], promised many things, which I found fault withal, should be left out" Clearly Shirley had indeed left his books, but he learned his lesson like a sensible man and did not, in this wise, offend again Hyde Park and The Ball were both on the stage by 1632 When the latter comedy was printed, seven years later, the title conveyed the words, "written by George Chapman and James Shirley" Now Chapman was at this time seventy-three years of age, in poverty and long a stranger to the stage, while Shirley was at the height of his success at court and in the city There is not a word in The Ball to suggest Chapman, as the comedy is dependent on the passing fashion of the moment in a kind of society that must have been totally unknown to the old translator of Homer The only other alleged example of this strange collaboration is the tragedy, Chabot Admiral of France, printed in the same year Either these ascriptions are sheer error or possibly — as seems less unlikely in the latter case — Shirley was willing generously to befriend his older, unsuccessful contemporary in an allowance to him of a larger share in work revised than the facts of his borrowing perhaps actually warranted

Most conspicuous by way of scandal is Shirley's next comedy, The Gamester, acted in 1633 and a notable success not only on its first performance but in repeated revivals The Gamester is the grossest of Shirley's plays, in fact no other play of his approaches it in this respect, and it is no excuse that what seems to the auditor during the action a highly "objectionable complication" turns out in the end to be no more than "a harmless stratagem" Moreover, the plot of this play was suggested and its conduct and writing praised by the virtuous King

Charles In consequence Kingsley gibbeted The Gamester in his Plays and Puritans and Gardiner the historian has taken this drama as a typical example of the immorality of the Carolan Without endeavouring to condone the lapses of Shirley the vigour of the characterisation and the capable management of the plot is not for a moment to be questioned The matter at large is not to be argued here but in justice it may be urged that it is eminently unfair to judge an age or even an author. by a single work. There are as bad plays ethically considered as The Gamester both before it and after, and Shirley is full elsewhere of poetry and elevated thought It is not the range of vibration that determines the tone however violence may for the moment distroy all beauty of sound There is a difference between the improprieties of Shakespeare and the improprieties of Shirley, and yet both are dramatic and not necessarily refer able to any defect in the author and it is almost as unfair to judge Shirley - and King Charles for that matter - in this wise as it would be to anothematise Shakespeare - as some in deed have done - for the discourse of Mistress Overdone with her tapster Pompey

Intermittent with his other work Shirley continued to furnish the stage with vivacious and eminently successful comedies of manners throughout the thirties The Example and The Lady of Pleasure offer particularly happy illustrations of the poet s nice observation of contemporary manners his inventive facility. ease of execution and adaptability to whatever might be the task in hand In The Example recurs in effective form Shir ley's favourite dramatic situation the conversion of a libertine to virtue in the pursuit of pleasure by the steadfastness or clever ness of his intended victum and The Lady of Pleasure repeats with sufficient variation the same theme. Indubitably Shirley used the material of his observation among the people of fashion and rank to association with whom his acceptance at court gave him admittance And he writes always more in the spirit of a sympathetic participant in their life than as an observer armed with the weapons of satire And yet while Shirley's comedy figures are measurably true to the life about him they fall altogether naturally into the grooves of type already so well defined by Middleton and Fletcher The foolish youth the humorous suitors the sundry kinds of gulls Sir William Scentlove Alexander Kickshaw Lord Rainbow - their very names betray them - all are the descendants of Middleton

whilst Fletcherian are Shirley's many delightful, vivacious and resourceful maidens, be Violetta, Carol or Celestina her name With all his changes, turns and ingenuities wrought upon these older types, new dressed to move in high cross lights, Shirley's most successful and serious variation is that of the profligate reclaimed to whom he gives in Lord Fitzavarice of The Example and in the "Lord" unnamed of The Lady of Pleasure, a real worth, dignity and contrition Above all the women of Shirley's comedy stand for the companion figures, Mistress Peregrine and Celestina, for example, in their combination of a competent knowledge of the world with a womanly sweetness and a steadfastness in virtue not to be moved even where the heart has been touched.

But Shirley's facile pen was by no means confined to comedy If we turn to his romantic dramas, we find them embracing a wide range of subjects in which light comedy such as The Humorous Courtier and pure extravaganza, like The Bird in the Cage, hold one extreme and pseudo-history, like The Politician, or tragedies, like The Traitor, hold the other. The romantic plays scatter over the poet's career, ushered in with The Maid's Revenge, in 1626, and closing with The Sisters, licensed in April 1642 The Court Secret was apparently written too late to escape the order which closed the theatres. though it was acted after the Restoration. In observing the later products of our English romantic drama, while plays indubitably tragic or wholly comic on the other hand continue to be written, there is a tendency towards the breaking down of these formal distinctions. This tendency, the averted catastrophe of tragicomedy fostered, with its incessant demand for the happy ending, so that even in dramas ostensibly tragic, the conclusion becomes often less an expiation and triumph of fate than a meting out of rewards to the innocent and punishments to the guilty In Shirley's Politician, described, for example, as a tragedy, all the conspirators and wicked figures of the cast suffer death and all the virtuous, save one, are preserved for future happiness Such a play may be described as only half a tragedy; because the tilting of intrigue and counter-intrigue has been substituted for a moral struggle Moreover, it is just this tilting of intrigue and counter-intrigue that is the soul of comedy and tragicomedy So that while we recognise in dramas such as The Cardinal or The Maid's Revenge that Shirley is accepting the meaning of tragedy in its normal sense, and while

of some comedies there can likewise arise no possible question in the majority of these romantic plays the distinction really

breaks down completely

It was in the early thirties, when at the height of his fame that Shirley dramatized in his Arcadia a subject from Sir Philip Sidney already employed by Day in his Isle of Gulls and to the same period belongs the extravagant Bird in a Cage supposed from its ironical dedication to the unfortunate Prinne to be charged saturically with allusions to the circumstances of the The Opportunity has been reported a happy dramati zation in English of a comedy by Tirso de Molina and exhibits with several other plays The Young Admiral and The Hu morous Courtier among them, Shirley's recourse to Spanish The Opportunity is a model comedy of intrigue in which a mistake in identity accepted in a spirit of adventure leads to a perfect network of involvement and the accident of a faltering resolution at the critical moment brings its own defeat To classify any of the dramas of Shirley as historical is to mis use a much abused term But The Coronation a very inter esting tragicomedy details a story of disputed succession in ancient Epire' The Doubtful Heir is placed in a setting supposedly of Spanish history and St Patrick for Ireland nicely calculated for the meridian of Dublin reverts in its curious intermingling of the elements of a romantic tragicomedy with the miracles of the saint to an earlier and cruder form of dramatic entertainment. Not content with this diversity of scene the ambitious drama entitled The Politician takes the auditor to Norway and works out a plot of great intricacy with figures which it is difficult to think were not suggested at least by the tragedy of Hamlet As further examples of Shirley's exhaustless ingenuity in working new things out of old The Grateful Servant details the adventures of a princess disguised as a page in the court of her lover and The Duke's Mistress manipulates new changes with the old nuppets an infatuated prince an imperious beauty a faithless intriguer a neglected wife and a bluff and honest captain

Among the four or five tragedies of Shirley as yet unmentioned two deserve special note. These are *The Traitor* on the stage by 1631 and *The Gardinal* 1641 one of the latest of the poet s plays. The Traitor is still another example of the legerdemain of a clever playwright in converting old and trite material into new effects. The malevolent cunning and effrontery of the

arch-schemer, Lorenzo, of this play, in his wresting of the virtues as well as the vices of those about him to work his ends, amounts to genius. It is little to the purpose to show that the real Lorenzino de' Medici was a man very different from the figure represented by Shirley. The logic of the drama is not the logic of life, and Shirley treated the material of history, precisely as he treated the material of fiction, with the inventive freedom of absolute ownership. Barnevelt is almost the last play of the old age that seems actuated by anything like an historical conscience such as chained Shakespeare to the details of Holinshed or sent Jonson to a scholar's study of Tacitus and other Roman Returning to Shirley's tragedies, The Cardinal is generally recognised as the poet's best play of the type, although the relations of the chief characters remind the reader, who has an eye for resemblances, of The Duchess of Malfi turns on a struggle between the Duchess Rosaura, young, beautiful and wealthy, and the politic Cardinal (not otherwise named) who has gained the consent of the King that the lady shall marry the Cardinal's nephew, Columbo, the royal favour-But the duchess contrives to obtain a release of his claim to her hand from Columbo and gains the King's consent to her marriage with Alvarez, the man of her choice, the Cardinal apparently accepting the decision and consenting to be present at the wedding as a sign of reconciliation. In a scene, incomparably well written and prepared by a prelude of comedy, the newly married bridegroom, in the height of the nuptial revels, is laid dead, assassinated, at the feet of his bride, and the remainder of the play is concerned with a leisurely but masterful unravelling of this extraordinary situation A serious blot on this tragedy is the scene wherein the Cardinal, unable to satiate his revenge on the hapless duchess, now distraught and delivered into his hands as a ward, attempts her dishonour tion is the more amazing from the pen of a Romanist, but it is strictly in accord with the taste of the age for a strong diet and, in this instance, leads to an ingenious catastrophe involving the deaths not only of the protagonists but of the valiant Hernando who has slain Columbo as the champion of the duchess and now interposes to save her honour but not her life The Cardinal is the last tragedy that was attempted along the trodden path of romantic drama In tragedy, at least, Shirley remained to the end singularly free of the influence of Fletcher He marks, in a sense, a return to the more direct, the less heroic and less

inflated character of earlier trageds. For however intricate the intrigue Shirley's plot is commonly single and the episodes of comic relief are not allowed to usurp an immoderate share of the interest. His personages too are clearly defined and distinguished and neither in conduct thought not diction is there ambiguity difficulty or dramatic delay. More poetical on occasion than Massinger Shirley never falls into the latter's rhetoric and preoccupation with a moral problem. In a word Shirley wrote frankly for his age and his product was acceptable for its ease finish inventiveness and sufficiency. He was less in the trend of his time than several of his inferiors. That is why he so little affected his contemporaries and why the next age speedily forgot him to take up with modified Fletcherian romance and brutalised Jonson.

There remains one thing more of Shirley and that is his part in the elaborate entertainments at court which continued into the reign of King Charles It was in 1634 the very year of Jonson's last efforts and the acting of Comus that Shirley's magnificent Triumph of Peace was presented at court with un exampled cost and sumptuousness by the united enderyours of the four Inns of Court. This occasion was heightened by the recent trial and condemnation of Prynne, sometime a student of Lincoln's Inn, for his ill timed and outrageous attack upon the stage entitled Histriomastix and his fellow lawyers took this means of disavowing his Puritanic principles William Prinne was an Oxford man as well as he was portentously learned he had already written several pamphlets expressing his abhor rence of certain practices religious and social of which he hap pened to disapprove among which the picturesqueness of its title has given The Unlordiness of Lorelocks a conspicuous repute. In Histriomastix Prynne not only attacked, in the most intemperate language the stage (with which he was much less minutely acquainted than with the Christian Fithers) but he scored especially the disguise of either sex in the habit of the other and anathematised the appearance of women on the stage in terms brutally coarse and abusive. In this last he was voicing a common prejudice of his time for as yet women as actors were unknown to the English public stage and the actresses of a French troupe in 1620 had been hooted from the London boards. Unhappily for Prynne however, the queen had recently displayed an unusual interest in theatricals, and had actually taken a part at a private performance at court

in The Shepherd's Pastoral by Walter Montague - for the benefit of her English it was said - just about the time of the appearance of Prynne's book. It is doubtful if Prynne really intended this personal application; but an enemy was found of course to make it at once The penalties inflicted on the unfortunate pamphleteer on his condemnation — a heavy fine, the loss of his university degrees and the clipping of his ears in the pillory — were as brutal as they were excessive Shirley's ironical dedication of The Bird in a Cage has already been mentioned. The Triumph of Peace is a monster masque alike for size and incongruity. There are eight antimasques in rapid succession, of abstractions, birds, thieves, huntsmen, projectors and beggars, and the scenes varied from a knight tilting at a windmill to a sinking moon in an open landscape The persons engaged could have numbered no less than a hundred; the scene was furnished by the indefatigable Inigo Jones, the music by the celebrated composer, William Lawes, and the cost was enormous In less than a week the court matched this performance with Cælum Britannicum, contrived by the poet, Thomas Carew, with the same able assistance and exhibiting eight changes of scene with as many antimasques. Carew's masque is poetic in the lyrical parts, but it lacks the dramatic touch which Shirley seems able to have infused even into the inchoate material of The Triumbh of Peace.

But a greater than either of these availed himself of the popular masque form in this very year, in the entertainment, presented at Ludlow Castle before the Earl of Bridgewater, Lord President of Wales, and known now as Comus. Milton had already essayed the masque, if so slight a performance as Arcades can be so denominated, and he was to return to the drama in its most serious form in the tragedy of Samson Agonistes. It was the friendship of Lawes that procured for Milton this opportunity for the display of his lyrical talent Comus. however it express a coherent situation in a well sustained allegory, is not really a drama, though it cannot be said that it falls below contemporary masques even in this par-How much it rises in its elevation of thought, exquisite expression and lyrical music above all contemporaries all know who know and love our English poetry Milton's Comus historically groups with a number of private masques in which several of the minor poets of the time of Charles, such as Nabbes, Cockayne and others, were variously concerned. None of them

are memorable. In the next year Davenant succeeded to the post of writer of masques for the court shortly after to become poet laureate on the death of Jonson. Among Shirley's works are to be found several short dialogues more or less masque like and dramatic in form and some of them as for example Cupid and Death of real poetic beauty. Although one other The Contention of Ajax and Ulysis is described as "nobly represented by young gentlemen of quality at a private enter tainment of some persons of honour' we do not know anything definite concerning the performance of either or the time of their writing. The Triumph of Beauty, which is a fully developed masque and was printed in 1646 contains a similarly vacue statement as to its presentation.

Among the dramatists Shirley's rivals in the reign of King Charles none has left a more permanent if at times a more questioned repute than 'melancholy John Ford Ford was the younger son of a Devonshire gentleman and he was born at Hangton in that county in 1586. Educated first at Exeter College Oxford and later at the Middle Temple it has been thought that Ford was a lawyer by profession and the legal agent in London of the gentry of his county He does not appear to have written his plays in the first instance profes sionally, although there is abundant proof of their popularity Indeed the attitude of several of his introductions displays almost too great a sensitiveness regarding his amateur stand ing' and he assures us that his works are the issue of his less serious hours' and that his courtship of greatness never aimed at any thrift' Ford's earliest literary work was an elegy on the lately deceased Earl of Devonshire addressed to his Countess formerly Lady Rich sometime Sidney's Stella A more in auspicious beginning for an aspirant to literary fame could hardly be imagined for Devonshire had died in disgrace for this very marriage and Ford had nothing to gain. It was characteristic of Ford however as we shall see by his dramas thus to glorify this ill starred couple who for love had literally cast away the world Commendatory verses to his own works and elsewhere show that Ford was not devoid of patronage and friendship among the literary important of his time although

their works are singularly reticent as to the man personally Seventeen titles of plays have been assigned to the authorship of Ford alone or assisted of those seven are no longer extant The Witch of Edmonton 1622, and The Sun's Darling of the next year, disclose in their titles the name of Dekker with that of Ford, the former adding William Rowley's as well Witch of Edmonton is an effective and pathetic domestic tragedy and as such has already received our notice, The Sun's Darling is a beautiful masque-like comedy which, first acted at court in 1623, enjoyed a continued popularity It seems not unlikely that Ford, in these cases, was the reviser of Dekker's earlier work. In Perkin Warbeck, which relates the story of that pretender to the English crown and his overthrow. Ford attempted to revive the chronicle play, a type of drama long since extinct; and in The Queen or the Excellency of her Sex, a tragicomedy of considerable worth which recent scholarship has assigned to the authorship of Ford, we have another excursus, this time into Spanish "historical" drama. As to Perkin Warbeck, which is an exceedingly interesting tragedy, it may be fancied, however, that Ford wrote far more for the problem in identity involved than for any historical import. As to the plays of Ford in general, it has been well said that they fall naturally into two groups: "those in which he took hold of his subject, and those in which his subject took hold of him "2 In the first group fall the two historical plays just mentioned and the two comedies, Fancies Chaste and Noble, acted about 1635, and The Lady's Trial, 1638, to the second belong the romantic dramas in which Ford may be said to have contributed as effectively and originally to the variety of English drama as he certainly contributed to its decadence Ford is as far as author can be from that quick grasp and realisation of the trifling occurrences and incongruities of every day life that go so far to make up the equipment of the successful writer of comedy, wherefore when he descends to trifles, he is veritably trivial and when he forces his wit, he is coarse to the verge of indecency and beyond The subject of Fancies Chaste and Noble is contemptible, and it is no excuse for the author that when we have been misled into "fancies" by the suggestions of his plot by no means to be characterised by either of the adjectives of his title, we are laughed at for our anxieties in a dénouement which is meas-

¹ See the edition of this play by W Bang, Materialien zur Kunde, xiii, 1906

² See the excellent paper by S P Sherman on Ford's "Contribution to the Decadence of the Drama," *ibid*, Vol xxiii, 1908, to which this paragraph is indebted

urably harmless The Lady r Trial is a better and a cleaner play though with all its intrigue it too suffers from what has

been justly called "a certain futility of plot'

The four dramas which were thoroughly congenial with Ford's spirit' are The Lovers Melancholy Love's Sacrifice The Broken Heart and Tis Pits Shes a Whore In all the atmosphere is wholly romantic even effeminately so for the in terest is absorbingly that of the psychology of sex The Lovers Melanchol, unlike the other three, raises no problem and ends after the manner of trageomedy happily in the others we meet with a momentous change in the dramatist's point of view a change both from the acceptance of the code of things as they are and from the idealist's contentment with the beautiful and unreal creations of his own imagination to a recognition of the essential conflict that must always exist between the ideals of men and their realisation in a world of fact Thus The Broken Heart gives us the problem of a wife married against her will yet loving another Love's Sacrifice that of a passion which springs up after marriage with the struggle against Tis Pits is the awful stors of a brother's and sister's incestious infatuation. And Ford represents these things not as tempta tions to evil about the resistance to which there can be no possible moral question among good men, but in the light of a struggle towards a larger freedom and a higher morality a sensualist and a voluptuary than a moral cisuist, the intel lectuality of his conceptions is at least as vivid as his revel in the beauty of sound the loveliness of woman and the surging of passionate impulse. In the conflict between the conventional actual and his romantic ideals his drama became more a drama of revolution than merely of decadence although he represents to us in the end a world in which the accepted laws of men are gone to naught or - what is worse - converted into the bonds of an intolerable tyranny. The pathos of the situation of Penthea in The Broken Heart moves the romantic reader hapless Penthea who regards her married life a life of shame although her virtue is proof even against the passionate plead ings of her lover So too the romantic reader is carried away on the rising tide of that surprising scene in the same tracedy in which the Princess Calantha tall passionless and fair apprised in successive climaxes of the starvation of poor Penther the atrocious murder of her betrothed and the death of her father that makes her queen of Sparta steels her heart against all sustains the royal courtesy to her attending guests, arranges her father's obsequies, the punishment of her lover's murderer and the succession to the crown, and then falls dead, literally of a broken heart On the other hand, to the wholly reasonable man — be he the redoubtable Prynne or the critic Hazlitt - all this is mawkish and, where not improus, perilously savouring of non-Such questions as these of Ford were unknown to the comprehensive morality of Shakespeare, undreamed by the surefooted and judicious Jonson Now, the problem story and the drama that questions all things human and divine is only too familiar to us with our Tolstoi, Ibsen, Hauptmann, Maeterlinck and Shaw. Underlying both Love's Sacrifice and 'Tis Pity is that dangerous principle of the romantic revolt, a faith in the divine guidance of passion, in the supreme and irresistible authority of human impulse, a principle which, put into practice in a worka-day world, is subversive of all established order and destructive, in the end, of the very ideals it adores. It is not enough to note in Ford originality of plot, a power to conceive his people in the manifestation of their passions, a charm and beauty of diction. and that true spirit of poetry that fashions words in the glow of an actual emotion. It is not even enough to admit in him that strange casustry which, weaning the mind persuasively from a contemplation of the rule to the admission of the exception. forces home the inconsistencies of our human codes or morals and conduct In Ford the modern way of looking at the world begins and his originality in this attitude is difficult for us to appreciate for the reason that we are so accustomed to his point of view. Ford applied to the drama and to the particular problems that interested him the same questioning spirit that inspired the Parliament of Charles to his overthrow. Ford is not only the poet that marks one of the most striking of the symptoms that characterised the old drama in its decadence, he is even more notably the harbinger of new things to come in a changing age

Shirley bulks large in the history of English drama for the considerable amount of his achievement and its uniform attainment of the standard of excellence which the poet himself set for his work. Ford stands out above his fellows for the sincerity and intensity of his art and for his departures from the precedents and current methods of his time. Leaving these, the persistent dramatic influences throughout the reign of King Charles remained Jonson and Fletcher, and the name of their followers

is legion With an occasional exception such as Richard Brome it is notable that writing for the theatres was transferred in this reign from hack writers of Henslowe, professional play wrights more or less addicted to the Bohemianism that has haunted poetry in all ages to gentlemen courtiers even to peers of the realm ambitious to be known like the Duke of Newcastle and his lady not only as patrons of letters but as contributors to literature as well As we look over the list -William Cartwright Jasper Mayne Thomas May Shakerley Marmion Robert Davenport, Thomas Goffe and Henry Glapthorne - all were gentlemen who wrote not professional playwrights Many are writers of only a single play or known better in other walks of literature William Habington author of a sweet and belated Elizabethan collection of love poetry to his Castara, Sir John Suckling and Richard Lovelace the well known and exquisite lyrists respectively of fickleness in love and of constancy Cowley most famous and popular poet of the day and Sir John Denham remembered in a later age for a single fine descriptive poem Cooper's Hill These men though most of them were writers of ability and capable dra matically in their various degrees treated their work in an ama teurish spirit they are abundantly inventive and rhetorical but they will commonly be found artificial and when not over strained, too often merely commonplace. We have in their romantic and serious endeavours at times the fantasticulity that distinguishes the other poetry of their time and when we have not this we have premonitions of the frigid restraint and the cold thetoric that became prevalent among the minor writers of drama their successors after the Restoration These gentle men writers of the days of King Charles are in fact far less the successors of the popular drama than of the drama of the court and of the universities Their plays bear the same relation to the romantic dramas of Marlowe Shakespeare and Pletcher that the romances of MIle de Scudery and such an imitation of them as Barclay's Argents or Boyle's Parthenissa bear to Sid ney's Arcadia They are superficially of the same class radi cally in the greatest possible contrast

However, from among them we may find certain definite clues to lead us onward in our story of pre Restoration drama. The comedy of manners for example continued vigorous and unmis takably vulgar, not only in the hands of Brome but in those of Marmion Cartwright Nabbes Cockayne Glapthorne and

Mayne. Marmion was the spendthrift son of a country gentleman and the friend of riotous Sir John Suckling; Cartwright had university affiliation and became a preacher of repute for his eloquence, both died prematurely. Mayne rose later to high dignity in the church, and Cockayne was a gentleman of wealth, a spendthrift, much travelled abroad Glapthorne and Nabbes were men of lesser position and of their lives less is known But all wrote comedies in the recognised Jonsonian manner and most of them had known the great man in his literary presencechamber, the Apollo room of the Devil Tavern and were proud to be numbered among the "sons of Ben" For example, the Jonsonian butt, a "projector," our modern sharper, figures prominently in Marmion's Holland's Leaguer and in Cartwright's only comedy of manners, The Ordinary City Match repeats the familiar device of a merchant's pretended journey abroad to test the character and conduct of his family and reverts to a motive of The Silent Woman for the While Glapthorne's Hollander, Wit in a Constable and Davenport's A New Trick to Cheat the Devil take us back to the low life of the city with Middleton rather than Jonson for a guide, and Cockayne, more ambitious than some of his fellows, in The Obstinate Lady, repeats situations of Massinger and Shirley Of the minor writers of comedy in this time Thomas Nabbes furnishes by far the most original and favourable example Nabbes was a Worcester man, apparently in the services of a nobleman of that neighbourhood. His comedies of London manners, all acted in the thirties, are Covent Garden. Tottenham Court, and The Bride. The last is one of the best comedies of its time and, turning upon the familiar subject, an elopement, is alike a fresh, cleanly and natural story well told Nabbes deserves the praise that he has received for "his modest well-conducted girls " and his virtuous and refined young men" His freedom from obscurity and grossness, which are the darling sins of this group of plays, is alike remarkable and refreshing

But the dramatic influence of Jonson was not confined to the scholars and gentlemen Richard Brome was "a son of Ben" in a somewhat different sense from that applying to Cartwright or Randolph Brome had been for years Jonson's body-servant and remained such to Jonson's death The old dramatist, whose memory Brome ever after revered, had nothing to leave his faithful servant, so he imparted to him some of the crumbs of his learning and, as he had done before in the case of the boy

actor Nat Field taught Brome "to make plays Brome is the author of upwards of a score of dramas, comedies of manners and romantic tragicomedies after the custom of the age. The first are well constructed and illustrate contemporary manners chiefly in low life not without spirit and success but they re peat with wearisome reiteration Middleton's category of gulls usurers and spendthrifts city wives and city husbands and in repetition the lines have become coarser, like the situations and the humour at times falls into horse play and worse enjoyed much popularity in his day not only for his comedies of which A Mad Couple Well Matched The Antipodes and A Joual Grew are among the best but likewise for his romantic dramas such as The Lovesick Court and Queen and Concubine wherein he is proclaimed a limb of Fletcher Brome's work is characterised by inventiveness and a practical knowledge of the workings of the stage there is a certain rough honesty about him and his anxiety not to intrude and eagerness to keep no more than the weakest branch o the stage alive is at times Indicrous It is astonishing that a man consciously possessed of so little poetry could have succeeded as well his comedy The Northern Lass Brome achieved his best effort Therein a country girl becomes honestly infatuated with a gentleman who has offered himself to her as a fit husband half in She follows him to London to find him on the eve of marriage with a widow and in the midst of a series of intrigues exceedingly well managed stands forth a natural and pathetic figure absolutely clear sighted and absolutely honest

The influence of Jonson and Fletcher has been much insisted on in these pages but not beyond the warrant of the actual facts for however the greater men trumphed in their individuality the lesser not only began but continued with a few exceptions under their spell. Both of these great men had employ de classic story in the drama it will be remembered. Jonson rigorously and with a sense of the differences of ancient manners from those of his own day. Fletcher always more or less romantically. It was drama of the type of Valentinuan or Massinger's Roman Actor that presented to the subjects of King Charles their picture of ancient Rome, and such pictures shade off into the no man's land of happy romance so that we cease to remember that Shirley's Coronation lays the scene in Epire or The Broken Heart in Sparta. Thomas May is chiefly remembered as the historian of the Long Parliament and as a translator of Virrel and Lucan.

He was a man of distinction in his day and, on his death, in 1650, was buried with honours in Westminster Abbey In the history of the drama, May is interesting for his effort to follow in the wake of Tonson in writing dramas on classical subjects with a due consideration of the ancient authorities, and of the ideals of ancient tragedy. Four plays are the result, written and some of them acted, none too successfully, between 1626 and 1631, they are by title Cleopatra, Julia Agrippina, Antigone and Julius Casar. The last remains in manuscript. Passing Cleopatra, which is a stronger play than Daniel's on the same topic, but which dare not of course try conclusions with Shakespeare or Dryden, we find in Agrippina a genuinely effective tragedy, swift, clear and eloquent in parts Antigone is scarcely inferior, however the prevailing romanticism succeeded, with echoes of Macbeth and the witches and the death of Juliet in her tomb, in seducing this devotee of the classics from the stricter paths of his kind May's tragedies are well planned and well written, and in an age less given over to the drama of intrigue and surcharged situation, might have enjoyed a success more commensurate with their worth

The few other plays of the period that drew on classical subjects either take us back to the universities, where Seneca in the dilution of three generations still flourished, or over absolutely to the delocalised tragicomedy that was leading on to the heroic play. Among dramas of the general kind and worthy a mention is the meritorious Hannibal and Scipio of Nabbes, which transforms the victor of Lake Trasimene, however, into the infatuated lover of an unknown captive at Cannæ, Heming's The Jew's Tragedy, on the overthrow of Jerusalem by Titus, and Messalina by Nathaniel Richards, an able and interesting tragedy, as effective as drama can be without the lift of poetry William Heming deserves a passing mention as the son of John Heming, Shakespeare's fellow actor and sharer in the Globe and We know little of him save that he was Blackfriars theatres the author of another tragedy, The Fatal Contract and that, after he had proved his father's will in 1630, he proceeded to rid himself of his inheritance in short order Richards was a more decorous person, a Devon man of good family, educated at Cambridge and latterly of the church Messalina is his only play

With William Cartwright, already mentioned for comedy, we go wholly over to the university and to tragicomedy Cartwright was identified all his life with Oxford and noted for his

scholarship. His three tragicomedies fall wholly within the thirties and include The Lady Errant treating of a woman's conspiracy in ancient Cyprus suggested by Aristophanes Love's Convert a story of Pausanias transferred to a siege of Byzan tium and The Royal Slave a dramatic amplification of the Per sian tale of the Ephesian captive who was king for three days Notwithstanding the classical flavour of all these subjects Cart wright is altogether romantic. He "writes like a man, Jonson said of him and is a capable dramatist The major situa tion of Love's Convert is much that of Maeterlinck's Mona Vana though the censor of Carty right's time found no difficulty in approving it and it is quite as clean a play The Royal Slave acted before the king and queen on the occasion of their visit to Oxford in 1636 and repeated later at the royal request at Hamp ton Court, was remarkable in its day for no less than eight changes of scene.

In Elizabethan times the drama of the universities and that of the popular stage were wide apart Ruggle's Glub Law per sonally lampooned the citizens of Cambridge in a manner not much above the horse play of the old interludes while Shake speare was penning the immortal scenes of Falstaff and Lingua an academic allegory of extraordinary and tedious elaboration and completeness corresponds in point of its alleged date of earliest acting with the first popularity of Hamlet In 1607 an epidemic of theatromania so to speak raged at Oxford in which students and dons were alike infected As we read in a con temporary document an account of the whole affair of the argument as to whether English were 'a language fit for a university, and of their scholar's joy in their petty histrionic triumphs we wonder if these youths could have been aware of contemporary Antony and Cleopatra or if their taste reached to the saturical comedy of Every Man In his Humour 3 The attitude of academic circles to the great romantic drama that was to make the age renowned above the scholarship of all the colleges is to be caught in the offhand patronising critical dicta of The Return from Parnassus in which it is deplored - and this in the very year of Hamlet after Julius Casar and the chronicle plays - that the author of Venus and Adonis should

⁸ See the account of these theatricals at Oxford by Griffin Higges Mucellanea Antiqua Anglicana 1816 Vol 1 and the present au thors Thalia in Oxford The Queen's Progress 1904 p 201

not "content" himself with "a graver subject" And yet we know, by the title of one of the quartos, that this same Hamlet had been acted at both universities, and Volpone as well—though this was later. Whether such performances wrought in part the change or not, by the time that Charles came to his throne, we find no such divergence between the drama of the London theatres and that of Oxford and Cambridge. The popular stage had suffered a modification that made it alike the heir of Marlowe and Shakespeare and of Lyly and Daniel, and the scholars now strove with the courtiers and with lesser men in supplying the boards of the London playhouses as well as the halls of their colleges at home.

When all has been said, however, it must be confessed that the universities only produced one dramatic poet of note. This was Thomas Randolph, a Westminster lad who was first of Trinity College Cambridge and became later a master of arts of Oxford Randolph was described in his time as a brilliant scholar, possessed of a bodily and mental vigour that literally exhausted itself in excessive effort. He died in 1635 at the early age of thirty, leaving behind him, besides a Latin comedi (though of his authorship of Cornelianum Dolum doubt has been expressed), three English plays and a version of the Plutus of Aristophanes as clever as it is ungovernably free. To these works may be added a couple of witty monologues, Aristippus and The Conceited Pedlar. It was for the royal visit to Cambridge in 1632 that Randolph prepared The Jealous Lovers, a comedy which enjoyed great success although written strictly on the accepted academic lines of Plautine intrigue. In The Muses' Looking Glass, which appears to have been acted in London, Randolph conceived an original theme peculiarly adapted to his light satirical genius The scene is a playhouse into which two Puritans, Bird, a featherman, and Mistress Flowerdew, a pinwoman, have intruded to sell their wares They are detained by Roscius the actor, to witness several scenes in which human vices or humours are cleverly represented in pairs, each the extreme of the other, according to the Aristotelian doctrine, and, in the end, the drama concludes with the glorification of "golden Mediocrity, the mother of virtues." Thus coldly described Randolph's play seems little more than a reversion to the method of the old moralities, conducted after the manner of the Jonsonian humour The Muses' Looking Glass is in reality much more, however, in its originality, its wit and really clever characterisation within the accepted limits of abstraction Among college plays by Randolph's immediate contemporaries may be mentioned The Rual Friends by Peter Hausted 'cried down" at Cam bridge in 1631 by boys faction and confident ignorance the author is to be trusted There is also Abraham Cowley's amusing Latin comedy Naufragium Joculare founded on a boisterous episode of Plautus already employed by Heywood in the underplot of his English Traveller Cowley's saturical Eng lish comedy The Guardian 1641 was too impartial to the un worthy Cavalier as to the hypocritical Puritan for success at a moment when men were taking sides for the impending struggle of the Civil War although it met with a better reception when reacted after the Restoration as Cutter of Coleman Street Floating Island by William Strode orator of the university of Oxford and later canon of Christ Church was one of the many answers to Prynne Strode's play is a weighty allegory of the passions in which is mirrored the complacency of the Cavalier and his contempt for the malignant whose right even to be heard is denied and whose courage in arms was yet to be tested

To return to Randolph by far his most finished play is Amuntas or the Impossible Dowry one of the most poetic and successful of English ventures into that exotic form the pastoral drama. In thus recurring to the pastoral in the year 1635 Randolph was in the height of contemporary dramatic fashion as a considerable succession of dramas by minor authors go to attest Thomas Goffe Ralph Knevet John Tatham Joseph Rutter Walter Montague are the names of some of these pastoral writers and the diversity of their extraction goes some what to show the range of this kind of play Goffe author of The Careless Shepherdess began with lurid tragedies on the Ottoman Turk when a boy at Oxford Knevet was tutor or chaplain in the Paston family in Norfolk and his Rhodon and Iris is an allegory of the relations and properties of various plants and flowers' by no means badly planned and written even although the allegory is beyond us Tatham followed Middle ton and Heywood as ' laureate of the lord mayors shows and wrote other plays after the Restoration His Love Crouns the End 1632 is fittingly described as an "early blossom of a sub sequent harvest which was not contemptible' Rutter was a member of Jonson's latest circle of wits and poets and his Shepherds' Holiday was not only acted successfully before the king and at the Cockpit but was praised by the old laureate

Finally Montague was a favourite attendant on Queen Henrietta Maria and the author of the tedious Shepherds' Paradise in which the queen acted and which, as we have heard, Prynne was alleged to have animadverted upon so outrageously in his Histriomastix. Better works than any of these are Cowlev's Love's Riddle, which that extraordinarily precocious poet wrote when less than eighteen years of age and still a scholar at Westminster School, and Henry Glapthorne's Argalus and Parthenia, derived from the Arcadia and conspicuous among pastoral dramas for a tragic conclusion. Pastoral drama, when all has been said, remained an exotic in England despite the grace of Daniel, the dramatic art of Fletcher and the ingenuity and literary capability of Randolph, for his Amyntas can hardly be overpraised for its poetical qualities, its clever conduct of plot and its wit, grace and pathos The age of Charles appears to have derived a real pleasure in following the vicissitudes of the delicate amorous throes and anxieties of Daphnis and Amoretta, a matter wrapped up in a more general tendency of the age, its delight in the new heroical romance. For Daniel and even Fletcher, the home of the lares and penates of the pastoral was Italy and its prophets were Tasso, Sannazaro and Guarini. By the time that Randolph and his confreres had come to write, these lares and penates had migrated to France and Mlle de Scudéry and Mons. D'Urfé had succeeded to the office of high priest and priestess. But to this we must soon return in another connection

Ben Jonson died in 1637, in the next year William Davenant succeeded to the laureateship Davenant, who became Sir William, was the son of an Oxford inn-keeper who rose to be mayor of his town. Young Davenant was born in 1606 and Shakespeare stood sponsor for him at baptism Early in life he entered the service of Lord Brooke, better known in literary annals as Fulke Greville, the friend of Sir Philip Sidney and author, as we have already seen, of two remarkable Senecan tragedies. It is questionable if Davenant was in any wise more drawn to literature by association with his lordship than by a recollection of the example of Shakespeare. It is not to be questioned that Davenant's first model was the Fletcher of Thierry and Theodoret and The Bloody Brother, for to precisely the same category of the semi-historical tragedy of blood belong his Albovine, King of Lombards, 1626, and The Cruel Brother, of the next year. In this latter play we have a signal premonition as to the side which the dramatic poets would be likely to take in the coming difficulties of the crown as well as a specimen of the nice punctilios of honour which were constantly arising to perplex the gentlemen of Davenant's day Honour' compelled 'the cruel brother to kill his sister because she had fallen a victim to the royal lust 'loyalty' preserved her royal betrayer Fletcher had solved the problem very differently some twenty years before in The Mada's Tragedy which the polite Mr Waller rewrote as to the last act in a still later age converting the denouement into a reconciliation not to offend the

susceptibilities of the royal lover of Nell Gwyn

We may pass the able military drama The Colonel after wards rewritten as The Siege and The Just Italian Daven ant's first venture in comedy A severe illness now overtook the poet but he returned to the stage in 1634 with two comedies of manners The Wits merrily vindicating the claims of town gallantry to a monopoly of the art and News from Plymouth a somewhat novel situation of three young officers of the royal navy wind stayed in port with their adventures chiefly amorous ashore In certain personages of these comedies Davenant declares his adhesion to the Jonsonian mode. But this was not his most important work. To these years belong his several contributions in pre Restoration times to the forebears of the heroic play and likewise his masques For heroic plays says Dryden the first light we had of them on the English theatre was from the late Sir William Davenant and the first unmis takable beam of that light was his Love and Honour first known as The Courage of Love A noble lady is a prisoner and in danger of her life in reprisal for the supposed death of a prince She is attended by three young gentlemen who are all devotedly and chivalrously attached to her One is filled with remorse that his misplaced valour should have taken her a prisoner a second her fellow in captivity with his sister is equally disconsolate that he was unable to defend her the third son of the Duke who has decreed her death plans incessantly for her delivery Moreover each is generously delighted that the "others in their love concur with mine ' And the lady and her attendant sister of the second cavalier both are equally generous and disinterested. The drama that is evolved out of this situation is both ingenious and interesting and examination of the texts goes to show that though rewritten after the Restoration the original version contained all the elements of

the heroic which the situation suggests To the same year belongs Davenant's most successful masque, The Temple of Love Here the poet seems to have endeavoured to bring back the masque to its former reasonable status and redeem it from the extravagance and excess which it had reached earlier in the year in Shirley's monster Triumph of Peace The subject of Davenant's masque touches on the affectation of the moment, Platonic love, and tells how Divine Poesie has obscured from the unworthy the temple of chaste love to re-establish it, in all its pristine glory, by means of the influence of Indamora's (the queen's) beauty This was a very appropriate compliment, for Henrietta Maria, whose delicate romantic temper had been nurtured in the salon of the Marquise de Rambouillet, was the true leader in her husband's court of the new French preciosity, one of the refinements of which was the cult of Platonic love

The vogue of the new preciosity in England was extraordinary and its influence on society, manners and literature exceedingly great The salons of literary ladies such as those of the Duchess of Newcastle and the Countess of Carlisle were conducted in accordance with its laws; the letters of Sir John Suckling to the lady whom he addressed as Aglaura were charged with it as were the lyrics of Waller to his Saccharissa In the drama, although French preciosity continued into the next age as one of the characteristics of the heroic play, the feature of it, know as Platonic love, received but a short shrift As early as 1629, Jonson had described a true "Platonique" in that "most Socratic lady," Lady Frampul (in The New Inn), whose "humour" it is to regard "nothing a felicity, but to have a multitude of servants (ie, Platonic lovers) and be called mistress by them" And James Howell expresses the English attitude towards the whole matter, in a letter which coincides with the date of Davenant's masque, in the words, "this love sets the wits of the town on work" An example of this is the curious anonymous dramatic satire, Lady Alimony, which has much to tell of "Platonic confidents" and "cashiered consorts"; another is Davenant's own Platonic Lovers which followed hard upon his masque In this contrast of a pair of lovers, who love Platonically and discourse soulfully "against fruition of love in marriage," with a wholesome couple who frankly court that they may marry, Davenant, though arguing the case ingeniously enough, leaves us in no doubt as to his own

in the drama that Davenant received the laureateship and justly when everything has been considered. The rest of his work prior to the Restoration is less important save for the thoroughly heroic drama The Fair Favourite 1638 which likewise con tains much dignified and elevated discourse on the casuistry of The other pre Restoration dramas of Davenant heroic love include The Unfortunate Lovers a tragedy purely of the old type of Fletcher and The Distresses (later called The Spanish Loters) which is little more than the translation of a typical Spanish drama of cloak and sword Davenant's three or four other masques Prince D Amour Britannia Triumphans and Salmacida Spolia (with perhaps Lumenalia) by no means equal The Temple of Love but are mentorious efforts to follow in the wake of the previous great laureate without a tithe of his lyrical gift or his inexhaustible inventiveness. Davenant is less easily disposed of than he who has read only about him might suppose. Truly poetical he is not although he comes near to the simulation of poetry at times eloquent and no mean master of the devices of rhetoric he is often. His dramatic aptitude is not to be questioned and his practical conversancy with the stage makes every one of his plays thoroughly practi cable Davenant was English to the core and remained such despite his Frenchified name and certain experiences later in France But there was a streak of the impracticable and romantic in him of which his thyming epic Gondibert a poem of genuine worth however fanciful is a patent example and it was this that made him the chief conduit by which the heroic play was carried over as we shall see into a new age

But Davenant was by no means the only conduit. In the now forgotten tragecomedies of Lodowick Carlell and those of Thomas Killgrew we have equally certuin forerunners of the heroic play of Orrery and Dryden. Both men belonged to the intimate circle of the court and both reached success in their work because it fell in with the contemporary taste in fashion able circles for the intricate adventures elevated sentiment and conventionally heroic virtues and passions that made for the vogue each in its degree of the Spanish romantic drama and the French heroic romances. Carlell who came of the border stock of the Carlyles of Bryde Kirk rose through various preferments to be one of the royal keepers of the great forest at Richmond he died in 1675. The six or seven tragicomedies of Carlell begin with The Deserving Favourite the plot of which

is lifted from a contemporary Spanish novel, in 1629, and conclude with The Fool would be Favourite, 1638, the intricacy and artificiality of which alone should be sufficient to establish its originality Carlell revels in the heroic dilemma, the struggle between love and duty, "love without the possibility of satisfaction" (delight of the "Platoniques"), the ducl of devoted friends on a punctilio of honour and the like. In Arviragus and Philicia he lays his scene in ancient Britain and runs through the gamut of Fletcherian figures — the tyrant king, heroic prince, faithful friend, sage counsellor, imperious princess, and the steadfast maiden, masquerading as a page, all are there. his situations are turned to the heroic pitch and his ingenuities of plot carry us off into a world equally well described in The Passionate Lovers as "Burgony" Carlell marks more than a degeneracy in design, personage and situation His medium of expression is a loose mixture of blank-verse and prose, which flows easily enough, but is too fibreless for good verse and too rhythmic for successful prose Nor is Killigrew substantially different in kind Killigrew was reared as a page in the court of King Charles I and continued a favourite companion of Prince Charles He wrote his earlier plays while abroad, between 1635 and 1640, and lived to be a theatrical figure of note in the next age. His tragicomedies are full of action, adventure and melodrama In Claracilla the princess of that name is rescued from a usurper by her lover and his friends, in The Prisoner, an heroic pirate holds princes for ransom and kidnaps their women folk, and much of the story takes place at In The Princess, one of the personages is known as "Virgilius, son to Julius Cæsar," and the plot of another play, Cicilia and Clorinda, is confessedly derived from Le Grand Cyrus, itself enough to explain all this heroical inspiration Killigrew wrete with fluency, not to say volubility, but his work in this kind, like that of Carlell, is without distinction one pre-Restoration comedy, The Parson's Wedding, acted in 1640, marks the lowest degradation of the old stage in the unblushing effrontery of its situations and in its unparalleled ribaldry. Two brothers of Thomas Killigrew, Henry and Sir William, contributed several plays to the degenerate tragicomedy of adventure in which the family seem to have been especially practised, but neither Palantius and Eudora, Silindra, Pandora, Ormasdes, nor The Siege of Urbin are in any wise memorable

or likely to delay any one except the most valuant and curious reader

More attention has been given here to Carlell and the Killi grews than the intrinsic value of their work deserves They were by no means conspicuous save for their fertility in those last years of the old drama John Gough Sir William Berkeley and Sir William Lowes shared each in a single play in their fluency romantic novelty and absurdity Lowes strangely enough was a translator of Corneille and other contemporary French dramatists but he learned nothing from them. His Phanix in her Flames runs rampant over Arabia Egypt and Persia and the peerless princess his heroine dies like the fabu lous hard of the title smothered in the fames of sweet incense This effort deserves mention as the very extremity of extrava gant romance preposterously dramatized and Berkeley's Lost Lady with its ridiculous denouement the discovery of the identity of the heroine though blackened to simulate a Moor by the laving of her face in water is assuredly a good second No wonder that this kind of thing written by persons of quality should have led to a recrudescence of the old heroical plays of the class of The Four Prentices of London and that we meet with the dolent history of Guy of Warwick by B J" (not Ben Ionson) in 1639 a personage who figures triumphant among Paynims giants and fairies and with The Seven Cham pions of Christendom by one John Kirke and acted at the Cockpit in which the heir to great Coventry slavs Ma hometans in Trebizond and dragons in Tartary a place where to the accompaniment of thunder and lightning devils run laughing over the stage Nor did comedy suffer a less com plete degradation if we remember Killigrew's outrageous ven ture which enjoyed a huge success and such coarse if vigorous sketches of low life as The Gosstos Brown! The Walks of Islington and The Ghost or Woman Wears the Breeches Occasionally in these late years we meet with some thing better London Chanticleers is a fresh and odd little play of unknown authorship on the city's street vendors The Swaggering Damsel by Robert Chamberlain a favourable speci men of the minor domestic drama and The Country Girl by T B' and The Cunning Lovers by Alexander Brome are good comedies of manners of London and foreign scene respectively Moreover scholarly men and men of attainments in other walks

of literature still busied themselves with the drama Quarles, for example, the serious if fantastic religious poet, left behind him "a comedy" of no great merit entitled The Virgin Widow, and William Habington, author of Castara, a tragedy, The Queen of Aragon, staged at court and at Blackfriars, we are told, at great expense Of the unfortunate Richard Lovelace, exquisite lyrist of constancy, the titles only and a few scraps of two lost plays remain The conscientious student will find several titles of plays of these closing years to add to his list, if he will search the later volumes of Dodsley's collection Among them and elsewhere he will find a late recurrence to Seneca in the virile tragedy, Imperiale, by Ralph Freeman, and a repetition of the story of Plangus from the Arcadia, already used by Fletcher, in Andromana, the Merchant's Wife Rebellion of Thomas Rawlins is replete with bandits, disguises, rescues and visions, and Nabbes' Unfortunate Mother, "refused by the actors," has also been placarded by a modern editor as a play "that hardly allows itself to be read"

In these very last years, one writer of plays stands out above his fellows, howsoever he wrote in the prevailing modes, and, strange to say, that writer was Sir John Suckling, the lyrical poet, spendthrift and trifler But Suckling, who was fortune's darling as to wealth, personal endowment and station in life, had enjoyed excellent training at Oxford and, above all the rest of his contemporaries, knew, admired and honoured the poetry of Shakespeare Suckling left three plays Aglaura was staged by the author in 1637 with the same prodigality that he bestowed two years later on the equipment of a company of horse for his king Aglaura is a somewhat gloomy drama possessed of the pseudo-historical atmosphere of its kind and full of the "Platonics" of the passing moment With a flippancy altogether characteristic, Suckling wrote an alternative final act so that the play might be acted a tragedy or a comedy Goblins is a sprightly comedy of intrigue involving a couple of very hackneyed situations, two noble houses at feud and a prince's relinquishment of a maid whom he loves to a more fitting suitor of her own choice Brennoralt is Suckling's best and most ambitious effort and interesting for its Byronic hero who is doubtless a projection of the poet himself when he was not in his habitually flippant and cynical pose Suckling, however, is not a dramatist, with all his wit, his mastery of style, his poetry (in which he towers over the playwrights in this

last decade), and his occasional weight of thought. The best thing in Brennorali is a certain fine heroic note that tells us that even in this spbritt and trifler there was a spirit within that might have risen to better things than atonement for a

misspent life in suicide.

The Puritan had been at variance with the drama from the very earliest times and by no means without reason for the abuses of the stage have been many and only too glaring in all The hostility of the city was now grown into a more serious matter the hostility of Parliament and the intent to regulate the performance of plays and the building of playhouses became manifest early in the reign. In the very year of the Ling's accession the acting of plays on Sunday was again for bidden and a petition for the building of an amphitheatre in Lincoln's Inn Fields failed in the next year when it was dis covered that it was intended to house players. The notorious Nathaniel Gyles who as Master of the royal chapel had trafficked for a generation in boy actors was forbidden any longer to take up boys on plea of the royal service to make players of them and in 1631 the Bishop of London was peti tioned by the inhabitants of Blackfriars for the removal of the theatre from among them because it interfered with traffic trade and the worship of God The friends of the drama at court had their hands full in this phase of Puritan aggression and the bitterness of the prosecution of Prynne marks the height of the Cavalier counter action In 1636 and 1637 the plague Lept the playhouses closed for a month and Collier is the ques tionable authority for an order issued to suppress the players as early as 1640 Finally in September 1642 came the ordinance of lords and commons putting a stop to the performance of all plays because of the outbreak of the war The Puritan sup pression of the drama was an actual one and most of the players sought service in the camp of the king. In 1647 in consequence of certain attempts on the part of the actors to resume acting the war being now over all players were declared com mon rogues within the meaning of the old statutes their play houses were dismantled and even attendance at a play became a statutory offence

CHAPTER X

DRYDEN AND THE DRAMA OF THE RESTORATION

THE ordinance of 1642 had closed the theatres and brought to an untimely end the brilliant drama that had flourished with such luxuriance during three generations In the civil war, the players followed the king almost to a man, though there are indications that some of them sought a livelihood in the continuance of the practice of their profession abroad conclusion of the war, some of the players attempted entertainments of various kinds, only to be met with more drastic regulations by their triumphant Puritan enemies Thus Fletcher's King and No King (a somewhat suggestive title in 1647), was announced at Salisbury Court, only to be stopped by the sheriffs, in the next year, the provisions of earlier acts having expired, the players promptly opened to large audiences at the Fortune, the Red Bull and the Cockpit, again to be dispersed, in the last instance, by a party of soldiers Angered by these efforts on the part of the actors, Parliament passed the ordinance of February 1648, authorising the destruction of all playhouses and the compulsion of all actors, on pain of flogging and imprisonment, to enter into a recognisance "never to act or play any plays or interludes any more" Even with this, there seems to have been some connivance at performances during the Commonwealth, those in lesser authority could, on occasion, be reached so as to wink at plays not too openly acted And private performances could, of course, not be controlled In later Commonwealth times the laws were less stringently enforced Cromwell himself was no such enemy of the drama as the Parliament which had preceded him in power, though he, too, continued to invoke the law on occasion

During the ban upon the drama, various devices were employed to evade the letter of the law Among them, by far the most successful was the "droll" or "droll humour," which was commonly a single scene or situation, humorous or other,

derived from some well known popular play and acted or recited at least in character In the address to the reader 'prefixed to a collection of drolls entitled The Il'its or Sport upon Sport 1673 the publisher informs us that performance was by stealth under pretence of rope dance allowed us ing, but notwithstanding drolls were acted in public and private in London at Bartholomey fairs in halls and at Charing Cross Lincoln's Inn Fields and other places and that they were as great get pennies to the actors as any of our late famed plays This collection contains no less than thirty six such scenes serious and comic pastoral none of them tragic and they are derived from more than a score of well known plays mostly Fletcher's but Hamlet (for the grave diggers scene) Henry IV (for Falstaff's monstrous account of the robbers on Gadshill) and A Midsummer Night's Dream the merry conceits of Bottom the Weaver') are among them Some of the drolls are mere foolers especially those written by the chief actor in them Robert Cox others take over some of the coarsest scenes of the older drama. It seems not unlikely that this particular kind of evasion of the Puritan ordinances against the stage enjoyed during the Commonwealth a somewhat greater vogue than it has usually been accredited

When at the height of his reputation in the reign of King Charles I Davenant had become governor of the Lings and Queen's players and had obtained a royal patent empowering him to erect a playhouse. Nothing came of this however in those troublous times and two years later in 1641 the poet was driven to seek safety in France for his part in a royalist conspiracy But he soon returned to England and following the king was knighted for distinguished service at the siege of Gloucester in 1643 He served the queen thereafter as a confidential agent on more than one mission and as such was arrested off the coast of France in 1649 and sent to Cowes Castle It was during this imprisonment that Davenant wrote his epic Gondibert published in 1651 and already mentioned On the lifting of the rigorous restrictions heretofore placed on dramatic performances Davenant obtained permission to produce an entertainment as he called it of declamation and music after the manner of the ancients and actually staged it at Rutland House in May 1656 Davenant's entertainment'

was made up of two pairs of speeches the first on the pertinent topic against and for public entertainment by moral presenta tion," the second in a lighter vein, the whole interspersed with good music by musicians of repute It was really a "feeler" to test how far he might venture, and was sufficiently well received to encourage him to the preparation of the famous Siege of Rhodes, "made by the art of prospective in scenes and the story sung in recitative music" In his address "To the reader," Davenant carefully explains that "the story as represented is heroical, and, . I hope, intelligibly conveyed to advance the characters of virtue in the shapes of valour and conjugal love" This was a sop to the Puritan Cerberus who had still power to bite Much was made, too, of the scenic, musical and operatic features to obscure as far as possible the circumstance that The Siege was in any wise a play And indeed the production, save for its change of scene, variety of costume and general characterisation, can claim very little dramatic merit Acted in August 1656, The Siege of Rhodes was an immediate success, and, the wedge now entered, Davenant opened the Cockpit in 1658, producing there two similar "operas," as he called them, on the historical topics, The Gruelty of the Span-uards in Peru and The History of Sir Francis Drake The "historical" matter and "improving" purpose of these per-formances were nicely calculated to disarm Puritan suspicion and an intended inquiry into their nature was frustrated by the rapid movement of events The Siege of Rhodes, it may be remarked, is neither the first English opera, the earliest English play to employ actresses on the stage, nor the earliest play in England to make a change of scene All these things have been erroneously stated about it Only the author's own misuse of the term could have caused it to be designated an opera, the women who appeared in it were chosen for their voices, not for their acting, and at least one of them, the well known Mrs Coleman, had already appeared in Davenant's previous "entertainment" As to scenery, we have already heard of eight changes of scene in Cartwright's Royal Slave acted at Oxford in the year 1636.

This is not the place in which to detail the events that led to the Restoration of King Charles II Soon after the arrival of General Monck in London, February 1660, John Rhodes, formerly a wardrobe keeper in the King's company, received permission to open a playhouse in Charing Cross, and other companies soon followed at the Red Bull and Salisbury Court In August Thomas Killigrew and Sir William Davenant secured

a royal patent empowering them to "erect' two companies of players And now Sir Henry Herbert the long quiescent Master of the Revels intervened to assert the authority which he had held over from the previous reign Out of the disputes divisions combinations and compromises that followed there emerged two recognised companies the King's presided over by Killigrey and the Duke of York's company headed by Daven From 1661 on the latter company acted at the playhouse in Lincoln's Inn Fields Portugal Row until transferred in 1671 three years after Davenant's death to their new and handsome theatre in Salisbury Court Fleet Street on a site known as Dorset Garden The King's players occupied the Theatre Royal in Drury Lane (although the house was not yet so called) from 1663 Thus fostered by the royal patronage staged by those practically acquainted with the demands of the theatre and acted by distinguished actors Thomas Betterton foremost among them the stage entered histrionically at least on one of its most brilliant periods. To this the innovation, which rapidly became the rule that women's parts should be acted by women con tributed not a little For whatever the consequences from the point of view of society and morals the superiority of the new actresses - many of them like Mrs Barry and Mrs Brace girdle superior artists as well as beautiful women - over the squeaking boys of the previous age was patent

After the Restoration Davenant immersed in management took no such position as an original dramatist as had been his in the previous reign A second part of The Siege of Rhodes acted and printed with the first part in 1662 is inferior like most sequels The Siege and The Distresses (doubtless the same with The Spanish Lovers) are capable romantic comedies which the author carried over from earlier times In The Playhouse to be Let Davenant utilised the material of his two historical entertainments of the time of Cromwell already men tioned to concoct a diversified performance devoid of the slight est pretensions to unity Some topical satire on the untoward theatrical conditions during the recent suppression of the drama may have carried it off And in The Man's the Master we have a couple of the comedies of Scarron rather cleverly com bined The rest of Davenant's work after the Restoration is made up of adaptations chiefly of Shakespeare in which he set a vicious example the continuance of which has gone on to our present day Thus Davenant's History Murders Life and Death of Macbeth was acted in 1666, "drest in all its finery, as new clothes, new scenes, machines, as flyings for the witches, with all the singing and dancing in it. being in the nature of an opera" And Davenant's and Dryden's adaptation of The Tempest which duplicates the rôles of Ferdinand and Miranda on contrasted islands and gives Caliban a sister, was staged with unexampled effects in the next year Both enjoyed an extraordinary success Killigrew, who had become groom of the king's bedchamber and later chamberlain to the queen, contented himself, so far as his own works were concerned, with the revival of his Parson's Wedding against which, when it was scandalously acted only by women, even the easy-going Pepys exclaims

The repertory of the earlier years of the Restoration was made up largely of revivals of the older drama, Fletcher leading in popularity, with Shakespeare a close second After Davenant's example, it became the custom to alter the older plays on these revivals, a thing which indeed had long before been done, but never so brazenly avowed There was scarcely a playwright, from Dryden and Betterton to Vanbrugh and Farquhar who did not take part in this merry game of pillaging and "improving" the works of their predecessors Earliest in point of time, were several pieces of dramatic journalism, satirizing the Puritans and their discomfiture, such as The Rump or the Mirror of the Late Times by Tatham, Sir Robert Howard's Committee, Crowne's City Politics and Lacy's Old Troupe, and here belongs Cowley's revival of an older comedy under the title of Cutter of Coleman Street The comedies of several "gentlemen of quality," too, were staged in the sixties, one of Sir Robert Stapylton, one of Roger Boyle, Earl of Orrery, and a very few of the many penned by the Duke of Newcastle and the innumerable more by his literary Duchess 2 None of these productions are memorable Indeed, until Dryden came, in the drama, to his own, but one playwright stands out with any distinctness This was John Wilson, born at Plymouth and a lawyer by profession, who became later secretary to the Duke of

¹ Downes, Roscius Anglicanus, p 33

² The Stepmother by Stapylton, 1664, Mr Anthony, probably Orrery's, The Humorous Lowers and The Triumphant Widow of Newcastle were both acted before 1673 The work of the Duchess is earlier as an edition of twenty-one of her plays was printed in 1662

York in Ireland and recorder of Londonderry Wilson's four plays belong to the earliest years of the new reign and the first of them The Cheats enjoyed an unusual as well as a deserved popularity This comedy deals with the quack astrologer, the sharking brave the Puritan hypocrite all of them stock per sonages and frankly conceived in the manner of Jonson very name of Wilson's second comedy The Projectors pro claims it of the same type and Wilson's names for his person ages Bilboe the swaggerer Scruple the Puritan minister Suck dry the usurer and Sir Gudgeon Credulous his dupe declare how true a son of Ben" the author was But Wilson in these vigorous and able comedies has succeeded in imitating the best of his master and stands high in his class In another of Wil son's plays Belphegor or the Marriage of the Devil the author treated a story of Machiavelli already employed by Jonson in The Devil is an Ass But Wilson's work is his own and no mere adaptation after the custom of his time Lastly in Andronicus Comnenius we have an exceedingly well constructed tragedy, conceived with power and written in a strong fibred blank verse that recalls an earlier age Here too although the historical material closely parallels the story of Richard III Wilson displays a literary conscience strange in his or any other day in the drama and refutes any possible charge of bor rowing by his inventiveness and originality Wilson came too In an earlier age he might have taken an even higher place with his manly talents as a dramatist

John Dryden was born of a good family which on both sides had lent its aid and countenance to the Puritan cause. The poet was educated at Westminster and at Trinity College Cam bridge where however he proceeded only to his bachelor's de gree. His father dying in 1654 Dryden came into a small estate which was increased somewhat by his marriage with Lady Elizabeth Howard with whose brother Sir Robert Howard the poet was intimate from his youth and with whom he collaborated in his first heroic play The Indian Queen Dryden began his career as a poet in 1658 with a panegyric in heroic stanzas on the death of Cromwell This was followed in publication by Astrea Redux a similar poem on the happy restoration of King Charles Dryden was a young man with his way to make He swam with the tide at a moment when everybody save an occa sional Marvell or Milton was doing likewise The new the atrical ventures of Killigrew and Davenant soon offered Dryden

an opportunity of another kind; and, after one or two false starts, he reached a qualified success in The Rival Ladies, 1664

Into the details of the interesting literary career of Dryden at large, his successes as a panegyrist, satirist, translator, critic and general poet, it is impossible to enter here. His controversy with Sir Robert Howard as to the use of rhyme in the drama belongs to the years immediately following his first dramatic recognition, and here he was interrupted by the intervention of the plague and the consequent closing of the theatres for a time In 1667 Dryden renewed his dramatic efforts with Secret Love. the highly successful play in which the acting of Nell Gwyn reached the heart of her susceptible royal lover, and the association of Dryden with Davenant and the Duke's theatre followed and, later, a more permanent agreement with the King's players on Dryden's part to supply that company with three plays a year. For this Dryden was to receive a share in the profits of the company, and this he did receive, notwithstanding that he never contributed more than one play within a single year Later, difficulties arising, Dryden transferred his services back to the rival house. In 1670, he succeeded Davenant as poet laureate. This put Dryden, on its face, in a solid financial position; but so irregularly paid were all the offices of the crown, in the impecunious court of Charles, that Dryden, no less than preceding dramatists, was compelled to write for his bread was this necessity that palliates, if it cannot excuse, the poet's complacency in writing so loosely in comedy that even that loose age at times decried him, and it was this doubtless, too, that caused him to attempt to catch the popular taste in a gross misrepresentation of the Dutch in their alleged cruelties to English merchants, in Amboyna, and to perpetrate the bitter attack upon the Roman clergy, in the time of the excitement of the Popish plot, that the character of the Spanish friar, in the play of that title, conveys

Between 1668 and 1681 no less than fourteen plays of various kinds came from the productive pen of Dryden, who, be it remembered, was writing much besides Comedy, tragicomedy, the new heroic play, the new hybrid, opera, tragedy in the older manner, tragedy in the new manner of Corneille, all these things were attempted and—everything considered—surprisingly well accomplished by this extraordinary, industrious, adaptable and brilliant genius Dryden, with all his triumphs, was not altogether a dramatist by nature He recognised his own com-

parative failure in comedy and in those fine frank lucid inter vals that recur in his critical writings acknowledged his own limitations. He wrote incessantly both in season and out Hence there is in his dramatic writings an extraordinary in equality that ranges from the eloquent hyperbole of the heroic plays and from tragedies in which he followed with honest freedom and individuality the footsteps of Shakespeare and Corneille down to the garbling spoliation of Troilus and Cres sida the tagging of Paradise Lost into a rhymed opera and the perpetration of the disgusting dramatic satire called Limberham

The most recent authority on Dryden has given us so excel lent a classification of the plays of the poet that we can not do better than follow it 3 First the comedies some six in num ber range from The Wild Gallant a failure in 1663 to Amphitruon a deserved success in 1600 Of the others The Assignation and Marriage a la Mode are altogether negligible and Limberham already adverted to while better planned and written than almost any of the group is of an intolerable gross Sir Martin Mar all which dates 1667 enjoyed a long continued popularity in the author's life time and we may agree the most uniformly amusing of Dryden's comic plays' not withstanding that he is alleged in it merely to have corrected previous work by the Duke of Newcastle on the basis of a com bination of two comedies respectively of Moliere and Quinault Amphitry on is an exceedingly diverting comedy on the old story of Jupiter's visit to Alemena which Plautus himself doubtless borrowed from an earlier Greek comic poet and Moliere tried his hand at as well The comic situation of the two Sosias it will be recalled is that of the two Dromios prolonged and amplified It can not be denied that Dryden has bettered his Greek and French models for his work is far more than an adaptation of either Dryden's comedy like everything else that he attempted is admirably written his touch on occasion is light his wit abundant. What he lacks is the moisture of hu mour While he is clever enough in construction and uniformly happy in his dialogue his ability to portray and differentiate character falls short of that of many lesser playwrights Few better illustrations of this could be found than Domenic the

³ Sir Augustus Ward in The Cambridge History of English Litera ture viii pp 14 33 famous and popular personage who gives title to *The Spanish Friar*, which is more a comedy than a serious drama from his prominence in it. A momentary comparison of Dryden's friar with Falstaff discloses the difference. Both are gross, fat, essentially dishonest and knavish, yet, from their humorous appeal, intended to be attractive rather than repellent. We condone the lies and transgressions of Falstaff, open and palpable though they are, because of his inimitable wit and charm In contrast, we may well believe that the success of Domenic depended largely on the actor and that even Anthony Leigh, who, Cibber tells us, was so famed for the part, must have struggled against the unsympathetic depravity of this would-be genial har and disgrace to his order.

A second group of Dryden's dramas are the tragicomedies in the old sense The earliest is The Rival Ladies, of Spanish origin or example at least. In this, his second dramatic venture, two scenes are written in rhyme by way of experiment. The inartificiality in the device of two ladies, each in the disguise of a page for love of the same man, leads to some pretty complications, but is proof of the dramatist's immaturity, the inroads of robbers, nicely timed to the action, suggest an acquaintance with some of Killigrew's tragicomedies or their sources. mise becomes a certainty in the case of Secret Love or the Maiden Queen, already mentioned, which is founded, as to the serious parts, on the famous romance of the day, Le Grand Cyrus. The light comedy part of Florimel seems written for the pert talents of Mistress Gwyn, and, indeed, Dryden is never better in comedy than in the vivacious fencing of gallantry, which, however much he may have learned of the past, set a model for many a scene to come 4 Of The Spanish Friar enough has been said. The remaining tragicomedy is Love Triumphant, the poet's latest work for the stage, acted in 1694 and a failure The best that can be said for it is that Dryden seems in this instance, as in some others, to have been working against the grain, for not only is the action "forced and unnatural," but even his habitual command of verse fails him at times

⁴ Cf especially the mock articles of agreement between Florizel and Celadon with the similar scene of Congreve's Way of the World between Millamont and Mirabell

In the heroic play using that term in its strictest acceptation we have the most characteristic group of the dramas of Dryden There are two ways in which to view the heroic play One which it is not to be denied certain of the utterances of the noet himself go far to warrant makes the term equivalent practically to a drama written in rhyming couplets 6 If we look some what more closely into the matter we see at once that there is something more in the heroic play than this It was no less a person than Davenant who first employed the term heroique play to designate not only his Siege of Rhodes (which he calls elsewhere an opera) but likewise his blank verse tragicomedy Love and Honour as we have already seen. That play if we look back to its paternity marks only a step from such dramas of Fletcher as The Anight of Malta or The Loval Subject in which heightened situation and personages conceived in the dilation of heroic passion hold contest in generosity mag nammity faithfulness to plighted word and other of the larger The heroic indeed is an element of incessant recur rence in the drama as in other art. It crops out in Alphonsus of Aragon who levies tribute on three continents in Tambur laine who conquers the world in Bussy D Ambois whose proud heart will yield to no man This is the hero superhuman the hero of the old exorbitant romantic drama of action and may be classified as an excess of the hero passionate which is ex emplified in Lear Othello or Macbeth Now the heroic spirit in the newer drama beginning with Fletcher is of a totally different type it expresses itself primarily neither in action nor in passion but in heightened sentiment. Substituted for event and character we have analysis of conduct in place of the hyperbole of poetry we have too often merely the flights of rhetoric Exaggeration here leads as I have written else where not to the dilation of the supernatural but to the humanly extraordinary and amazing The hero superhuman and the hero passionate have been displaced by the hero super sensitive by the paragon of virtue and the pattern of noble conduct The themes of the heroic drama are honour won and valour inspired by love Its rivalries are rivalries in nobility of soul its combats less those of the sword

⁶ See L N Chase *The English Heroic Play* 1903 pp 3 and the list of thyming plays in which even comedies are included

than those of fortitude, loyalty, and the sacrifice to honour and plighted word " 6

The personages of the heroic play are of exalted rank, its scene lies in some outlandish country — Mexico, China, Tartary, Persia - or one indeterminate geographically at least Its background is one of war, conspiracy and court intrigue Now all this is Fletcher; and equally Fletcherian is the accepted method of the heroic play, that of a heightened contrast Some have found a greater simplicity of plot characteristic of the heroic play, a quality in which its greatest exemplar, The Conquest of Granada, is far from conspicuous. But simplicity of plot was one of Shirley's contributions to the tragicomedy of his time, a characteristic which was by no means followed by the degenerate imitators of the heroic in Fletcher, to wit, Carlell, Killigrew and their like As to the sources of the heroic play in Spanish fiction and drama and, more immediately, in the French romances, Fletcher had already broached the first. Massinger the second, and Fletcher, still again, with Killigrew and Carlell after him the last So that, when everything has been said, all that the authors of the new heroic play accomplished by way of actual novelty was to exaggerate what had already been exaggerated, to heighten still more and make more florid an already exalted diction, and to substitute for the supple blank-verse of Fletcher or the hybrid prose-verse of Carlell, the regular tread of the rhymed couplet

A nice question here arises who first wrote rhyming plays? In the old age, the group of dramatic writers that imitated French tragedy in the manner of Seneca employed rhyme and many a poetic play of the same earlier time had done likewise So a rhyming play was really no new thing It was the rhyming heroic play that was the innovation.— the form clearly suggested by the practice of French tragedy—and the question who first wrote in this particular manner in England lies between Davenant, Sir Robert Howard, Roger Boyle Earl of Orrery, and Dryden We may rule out Davenant, as his Siege of Rhodes is heroic but not strictly a play, the claim of Howard is wrapped up with that of Dryden. The order of the earliest group of rhyming heroic plays is The Indian Queen by Howard and Dryden, acted in January 1664, Henry V by Orrery, in

⁶ Elizabethan Drama, 1908, 11, 349, where the topic is discussed more at large

August of the same year and his Mustapha April 1665 Dryden's Indian Emperor a sequel to The Indian Queen we only know that it was staged early in 1665. It may have preceded Mustapha the question is not important. As to Sir Robert Howard it may be remarked that both he and two brothers were emulous of success on the stage and wrote several plays among them Sir Robert's comedy, The Committee an attack on the defeated Puritans and his Duke of Lerma de served their contemporary success. The Earl of Orrery was alike a more important man and a better poet. He is a pleasing example of that large and interesting class of noblemen and statesmen in active life whose leisure is given to the assiduous cultivation of letters and no less than eight dramas attest that interest in his case. Four of these were acted in the sixties while the heroic craze was at its height. When it is recalled that Orrers had written in his south a prose romance in the approved manner of Calprenede and the Scuderys entitled Parthenissa we are not surprised to find his Mustapha his Triphon (dealing we are told with Syriac history) and his Herod the Great all of the heroic type Even in his History of Henry I' that prince and Owen Tudor heroically strive with a passion which the Princess of France has inspired equally in both and in devotion and sacrifice of self to their noble friendship. To return to the question of priority. Dry den's interest in rhyming plays is traceable earlier than his first venture in writing one for not only have we the experimental scenes in rhyme of The Rival Ladies but in the dedication of that play to the Earl of Orrery the matter is discussed - first word of a long critical interest of Dryden in the subject - and his lordship is paid a neat compliment. But my lord

I must remember to whom I speak, who have much better commended this way by your writing in it, than I can do by writing for it. The whole matter turns on Dryden's part and in fluence in The Indian Queen which must have been consider able. The alleged priority of the Earl of Orrery in the application of heroic verse to the heroic play depends on a compliment by a poet who knew admirably how to pry compliment and never spared small matters of fact in the process. Dryden is the innovator the leader in form as in spirit of the new

heroic play

The list of rhymed plays already alluded to includes nearly fifty titles and ranges in point of date (omitting The Stege of

Rhodes and The Rival Ladies) from 1664 to 1680, with a few sporadic examples later. If we throw out of count the comedies and other non-heroic pieces, the actual number of plays which fulfil the strict conditions of the rhyming heroic play is reduced to something not much more than half this number On the other hand, if we classify by spirit, not by form alone. we can readily double the first list within the period in the now forgotten works of Lee, Crowne, Settle, Banks, Durfey and Even Otway began in rhyming plays of the heroic But when all is said, Dryden not only set the fashion of the heroic play; he was alone truly eminent in it, for he alone of all these writers had the force, the eloquence and the sustaining poetry to carry this enormous weight of magnificence, noise, bustle, sentiment and exaggeration To take an example, in the two parts of The Conquest of Granada, acted in 1670, Dryden is equally independent of the trammels of fact and of the dull sequence of historical events His hero, Almanzor, supposed a Mahometan prince, is in reality the son of the Christian Duke of Arcos, and he carries out to the full the new heroic ideal He is, to use Dryden's own words, "of an excessive and overboiling courage . . . a character of eccentric virtue . . . I design in him a roughness of character almost approaching to arrogance, but those errors are incident only to great spirits, [for his, too, is a frank openness of nature, an easiness to forgive his conquered enemies and to protect them in distress; and, above all, an inviolable faith in his affections" Almanzor's actions are in keeping with these traits. He takes the weaker side, always and without question He changes sides whenever he thinks himself personally ill-treated, and he brings unfailing victory to the party whose cause he espouses. He liberates his prisoners habitually without a ransom and obeys with absolute literalness whatever he believes to be the wishes of his beloved Almahide, his incomparable lady, is no less noble in her unassailable fidelity and unexceptionable propriety of conduct It is not until we are far advanced into the second part, that the hero is permitted so much as to kiss her hand. To give even in outline the ins and outs of the action of The Conquest of Granada would take four or five pages of print in this size Factions, dissensions, sallies, skirmishes, discoveries, and executions delayed, mutinies, ordeals of battle, the visitations of ghosts and, ever and anon, "sighs and flames" from the three or four pairs of lovers whose protestations of fidelity or struggles of generosity play an incessant obligato to the trumpets of war - these are some of the contents of this play The drama is obviously written for its great scenes and the love making renunciations and pleadings the lofty decisions as to conduct and the eloquent bombast all go to make a bewildering succession of brilliant and rapid scenes under the spell of which even in our own age beguiled as we are by the banalities of grand opera - we might well fall the victim It is much easier to laugh at the absurdi ties of the heroic play read in cold print than to appreciate what must have been the charm of its novelty and the lofty nature of the ideals which it upheld in an age that needed moral ideals to sustain it beyond any English time that we know we read these heroic dramas of Dryden we fall insensibly into the swing of his swift agile succession of thought sustained on a current of enthusiasm for these outlandish creatures of his imagination and though we find them again and again grotesque judged by any standards that are ours we can not wholly decry an art that was after all sincere in its way and eminently suc cessful in the thing that it set out to do

With the success of The Conquest of Granada imitation set In the next year 1671 Elkana Settle a clever and pre sumptuous young man of three and twenty produced his Empress of Morocco with rival magnificence and by means of the influence of Rochester the enemy of Dryden the play was twice presented at court and was repeated by Betterton with signal approval on the popular stage A few years later Settle fol lowed this up with his Ibrahim the Illustrious Bassa (direct from Calprenede) which enjoyed almost as enthusiastic a re ception But thrust in this manner into Dryden's glittering heroic car Settle's fall was speedy. His petty politics and changes of party with the absence of anything like poetic spirit or the uplift even of rhetoric in his work soon reduced him to a more fitting sphere that of poet of the city's pageantry None the less his activity in writing for the stage continued in the production of nearly a score of plays although the name of Settle is now remembered solely for Dryden's contemptuous portrait of him as Doeg in the second part of Absalom and Achitophel An abler rival of Dryden also brought forward by Rochester was John Crowne who began literary work in 1665 with a prose romance and some five or six years thereafter resorted to the stage. In his eight serious plays written between 1671 and 1692 Crowne is eclectic enough in his practice but

imitative throughout of the passing fashions of his time. Thus, his Charles VIII of France, acted in 1672, is, like Orrery's Henry V, history transformed into heroic rhyming drama, concocted with a love story which is wholly fictitious, and his Destruction of Jerusalem, 1677, is sheer imitation of The Conquest of Granada, even to being written in two parts second play of Crowne's enjoyed a success on the stage incredible to us as we read its commonplace and unilluminated lines, and we realise how much these dramatic spectacles depended, then as now, on their gorgeousness of costume, novelty of scenery, ingeniousness of effect and the excitement of things seen in crowds in the bewilderment of dazzling light gave up rhyme when Dryden did so, writing his most vigorous and original tragedy, The Ambitious Statesman, 1679, in blankverse on the lines of Marlowe and The Spanish Tragedy but emulating the extravagance rather than the merits of those ancient plays Again following Dryden, he reverted to classical subjects in Thyestes, a tragedy of revolting horror, in Darius, Regulus and Caligula, reducing all the heroes of antiquity, after the accepted manner of his time, to conventional gentlemen wholly preoccupied with the passion of love Crowne's five comedies were acted between 1675 and 1694 They enjoyed a greater reputation than we feel it possible to allow them now The best of them, Sir Courtly Nice long held the stage Crowne's most interesting production is his "court masque," Calisto which Rochester's influence engaged him to prepare in 1675, less to advance Crowne than to humiliate the laureate Dryden Calisto is a well-written effort to revive a lost form, but it is scarcely poetical Crowne was an estimable man and he enjoyed the good will of King Charles Fortunate he was not and he drops out of sight in the nineties

One other writer of heroic plays, from a certain spirit and fire that was in him as well as from his collaboration with Dryden, deserves more than a passing mention. This is Nathaniel Lee. Lee was the son of a minister who had contrived to deserve well as a Presybterian in Cromwell's day and better, as a divine of the Church of England, later on. After leaving Cambridge, the younger Lee led a dissolute life, while enjoying the unstable patronage of Buckingham and Rochester, and, after failure as an actor, despite extraordinary powers of elocution, became one of the most popular dramatists of his day. Lee rejoiced in ambitious subjects and in splendour of the settings

of great historical personages he was possessed of an extraordi nary extravagance of imagination and an ear that delighted in sound and the volume of a large heroic utterance. It is not to he denied that in his huge and panoramic dramas he glutted With a frank acceptance of the substance method and versification that Dryden had sanctioned Lee threw himself passionately into the composition of his Nero Sophonisba or Hannibal's Overthrow his Gloriana or the Court of Augustus Casar The Rual Queens or Alexander the Great all of them poured forth and acted between 1675 and 1677 in all their glory exorbitance erotic passion poetry - for there is poetry in them - and bombast They were followed by similar dramas on Mithridates Cæsar Borgia Brutus Constantine end ing with The Massacre of Paris in 1690 In these latter, Lee followed his mentor into blank verse. It would seem that this exuberant spirit would leave no historical hero unsubjugated to this preposterous new land of heroic romance wherein a lovesick Hannibal loses Rome because of his infatuation for a Capuan and Alexander the Great becomes the shuttlecock be tween the battledores of the two imperious queens This drama The Rival Queens enjoyed an unexampled popularity and con tinued to hold the stage to the days of Edmund Kean and Mrs Siddons Something of the secret of this success is explained in the words of Colley Cibber who tells us When these flowing numbers came from the mouth of a Betterton, the multitude no more desired sense to them than our musical connoisseurs think it essential in the celebrate airs of an Italian opera 7 Lee represents whether in rhyme or in his plays in blank verse --- it matters not which - the ne plus ultra of the species To change in any wise the heroic drama must become sane and to become sane was to cease from heroics As to the poet himself who was a man of unquestionable talent his dissipations brought him in 1687 to the madhouse and on his release a return to them cost him his life

In 1671 while The Conquest of Granada was still a new wonder appeared the clever dramatic burlesque and sature en titled The Rehearsal which ridiculed the whole species in admirable fooling and parody Here Dryden was represented in the person of Bayes in all his peculiarities of speech and habit as in the act of superintending and commenting on a preliminary performance of one of his own plays The Rehearsal is the

⁷ Apology ed R W Lowe 1 105 quo ed by Ward 111 409

work of the dissolute and witty Duke of Buckingham, assisted by several others, Clifford, Sprat and Butler (author of Hudibras), it is said, among them The play discloses neither unity of authorship nor unity of plan, and it was in process of making, it is reported, as far back as 1663, when Davenant was to have been the hero Then Sir Robert Howard was to have taken Davenant's place, but performance, for which the play was ready in 1665, failing because of the plague, the drama was again rewritten and the new poet laureate made the butt of The Rehearsal is after all no very venomous matter, the authors were content merely to laugh at the absurdities of the heroic spirit at large, the want of serious plotting or motive in plays of the type and the bombast and high-flown language in which much of them was written. The effort was an immediate success, both on the stage and in the many printed editions that were called for; and Dryden recognised, with his usual good sense, that the case was hopelessly against him and made no reply Indeed the nickname Bayes clung to him ever after, and it is not impossible that The Rehearsal may have hastened Dryden's repudiation of rhyme for dramatic writing and his return to blank-verse, although this came later To say, however, that The Rehearsal killed the heroic play, is to say far too much, for the species continued in high repute for at least a decade after, animating, even later, the works of lesser or old fashioned men. A more certain influence of Buckingham's burlesque is its example for a line of like dramas among which Sheridan's Critic alone rivalled it in success As to Dryden, two other dramas of his belong to the category of the rhyming heroic play, Tyrannic Love, which immediately preceded The Conquest of Granada, and Aureng-Zebe, with which his heroic series concludes, not staged until 1676 Both plays are absolutely within the type, although Tyrannic Love treats a subject somewhat more actually historic than usual among productions of its class, the subject of Maximin's persecution of the Christians and the martyrdom of Saint Catherine The tragedy of Aureng-Zebe only falls short of The Conquest of Granada because it less extravagantly exhibits the characteristics of its class The personage who gives his name to the play is described as the last descendant of Timur Kahn, and he was actually alive at the time of Dryden's play, but, as Ward well observes, "his name can scarcely have come home more closely to Englishmen at large than that of Mithridates," and the play is wholly conventional in its setting and given over to the "factions' bustle warfare and love making of its kind. In Aureng Zebe, the plot is clearer the action less confused, the poetry which is abundant more restrained for in it as both the preface and the prologue attest. Dryden was wearying of restrictions of rhyme and recognising more and more how inferior was all his art of statements are deavour to the simple touch of Shakespeare and his healther are *

Or Dryden's degradation of his dramatic art to pander to political prejudice and of his makings over of the work of greater men enough has been said His Duke of Guise written in collaboration with Lee is an example of both these things The tragedy of (Edibus written with the same collaborator is a nobler play Albion and Albanius 1685 and King Arthur 1691 are what Dryden called operas' though the first is rather an elaborate political allegory in the manner of a masque and the second was suspected of concealing a similar second These productions are sustained throughout by Dry den's poetry, which was equal apparently to any task put upon it and they are better understood if we remember the Dryden of Absalom and Achitophel Of the fame and the enemies which this great satire brought all know who read early eighties Dryden held much of the literary dictatorship which had once been Jonson's and he was greatly in request for his admirable prologues and epilogues in which especially he excelled On the death of King Charles James his successor continued the royal bounty to Dryden but that bounty was as it had always been precarious. At this time the poet avowed himself a Roman Catholic for which change of faith he was roundly abused by his enemies It will be remembered that two famous argumentative poems of Dryden's disclose first why he was of the faith of England and then how he found a deeper religious contentment in the faith of Rome 9 It is not necessary to explain Dryden's conduct in this respect as a discredit to his convictions although his flattery of great ones and his de pendency on the royal favour make the suggestion that his change of faith was unworthy not a thing wholly incredible

⁸ See especially the epilogue to *The Conquest of Granada* beginning with the words Spite of all his pride a secret shame invades his breast at Shakespeare's sacred name

⁹ Religio Laici 1682 The Hind and the Panther 1687

With the Revolution of 1688, Dryden lost all his offices and had the mortification of seeing his rival and inferior, Thomas Shadwell, succeed him in the laureateship Misfortune and ill health assailed him, but his mental powers remained unfailing and he continued his literary labours, translating and publishing to the end, howsoever his last play, Love Triumphant, had failed on the stage in 1694 Dryden died in May 1700 There remain three tragedies, All for Love, 1678, Don Se-

bastian, 1690, and Cleomenes, 1692. Dryden was never better than when following with independence a great example was what he did in the first of these plays, and his example, on his own confession, was Shakespeare's Antony and Cleopatra By this time, Dryden's taste and sound understanding had revolted against the rhyming heroics which he had essayed with such success, and acknowledging, as we have seen, the superiority of "an age less polished, more unskilled" than his own, he returned to blank-verse and a simpler and nobler dramatic art Judged by abstract standards, All for Love is Dryden's finest play; for while he uses therein the subject of Shakespeare's greater tragedy, the conduct of the story, the conceptions of the great personages involved and the poetic vehicle by which all is conveyed are wholly Dryden's own. It is not to be denied that All for Love, estimated merely as a play, is of a superior construction, condensity and rapidity as contrasted with Antony and Cleopatra And however we may prefer the larger and grander conception of the characters of these old-world lovers by the elder poet, Dryden assuredly carried out, in his more limited but intense realisation of their story, the thought conveyed in the title of his play, All for Love, or the World Well By some Don Sebastian has been given an even higher place, no less an authority than Sir Walter Scott declaring that, "Shakespeare laid aside, it will perhaps be difficult to point out a play containing more animatory incident, impassioned language, and beautiful description" And, indeed, the much famed scene of reconciliation between Don Sebastian and Dorax can not be matched in our English drama for its exquisite portrayal of the highest realisation of the chivalric and generous heroic ideal Lastly, in Cleomenes the Spartan Hero, in which he had some help from Southerne, Dryden once more achieved splendid work on the lines of a definite model, in this case contemporary French classical tragedy A resemblance in situation and pathos has been discovered between Cleomenes and his son, in their

extremity perishing of hunger, and the catastrophe of Caratach and the little Prince Hengo in Fletchers Bonduca and once more as always even when at his greatest Dryden pales before the stronger, truer less affected and less conscious drama of the

Elizabethan age

Dryden's domination of his age in serious drama was absolute No one thought of questioning his methods his medium of ex pression or his ideals. But his age despite his own exemplary labours in the translations of Virgil and the Roman satirists possessed less sympathy with the ancients than almost any time that had gone before So while his crew of imitators followed Dryden's choice of classical story in the drama not one of these productions can lay the slightest claim to any attempt either hon estly to represent the life of the ancients or to reproduce in any degree the lofts spirit of Greek trageds. It is only after contemplating the confusion stridency extravagance and barbarity of the heroic dramas and tragedies of the Restoration that we can appreciate to the full how truly the poetic soul of Milton "dwelt apart' Comus with its exquisite poetical allegory had raised the masque in the days of its degeneracy and abuse to a permanent place in the categories of great and significant poetry Samson Agonistes licensed in 1670 the very year of Dryden's inflated Conquest of Granada reproduced in its restrained con duct of plot its chaste and beautiful diction and its lofty theme more nearly the conditions governing Attic tragedy when at its best than has any other play before or since in our English language Little need can there be in such a book as this to repeat what every schoolboy knows concerning the subject of Samson Agonistes in the biblical narrative of the Book of Judges its conduct of plot in wonderfully close reproduction of the technical niceties of Greek trapedy and the obvious analogy between the heroic Samson blind and fallen on evil days and both the poets affliction and sorrow and the lost cause of Puritanism so dear to his heart. It matters indeed very little that Milton's tragedy was neither written for the stage nor is dramatic in the sense in which an actable play is dramatic As the sincere utterance of a great soul in time of anguish a lament for a fallen cause and for hopes cruelly bruised if not shattered Samson Agonistes has a significance and a power infinitely above the ephemeral triumphs of Dryden and the rest of Milton's time serving man fearing ingenious and forgettable contemporaries

On the tragic stage but one contemporary of Dryden surpassed him, and this was Thomas Otway Born in 1652, the son of a clergyman in poor estate, the poet was educated at Winchester and at Oxford which he left without a degree failure at the university he soon added failure as an actor, and an unhappy and unrequited passion for the celebrated actress, Mrs Barry, almost completed his undoing Otway was one of the many poets who languished in the fitful patronage of Rochester; although to that nobleman the poet owed his earliest encouragement and his opportunity. The first plays of Otway, Alcibiades and Don Carlos, were offered to the stage in 1675 and 1676, when the heroic play was still at its height, and both are written in the accepted heroic couplets. Don Carlos is a tragedy of much promise and, with Betterton in the title rôle, was an extraordinary success Two adaptations followed, Titus and Berenice, from Racine, and The Cheats of Scapin, from Molière, the latter holding the stage for generations The comedy, Friendship in Fashion, acted in 1678, was heartily applauded in its time, but it adds nothing in its flippant indecency to the author's reputation, nor can anything be said for Otway's flagrant plagiarism of the greater half of his Casus Marius from Romeo and Juliet

Putting aside two military comedies, in which the author drew upon his own experiences in Holland, there remain The Orphan and Venice Preserved, the tragedies which raise the name of Otway to a place notable among the few of his age The former, first acted in 1680, details the tragical consequences that followed the impersonation of a bridegroom by another on the wedding night, a subject in which the strong taste of Otway's age found a pathos of which our horror at the situation almost totally deprives us However this harrowing theme had already been employed and whatever the dramatist's debt to the novel entitled English Adventures, the intensity and poignancy of the emotions which Otway raised in this play were quite new to the stage of his period. It is reported that Mrs Barry, who created the rôle of Monimia, the injured heroine "invariably burst into genuine tears in the course of the performance," and the tragedy long continued, like Venice Preserved, which followed it in 1684, one of the great stock pieces, certain of appreciation and applause The latter tragedy is a free dramatic version of an obscure episode in the late history of Venice and Otway had it from an English translation of the French of the Abbe Saint Real a writer already employed by him for the source of Don Carlor It is not unlikely that Otway was willing to have his drama recognised in its picture of Venice weak and demoralised by the social and political corruption of its own senators as symbolic at least of England in a similar condition during the recent great conspiracy known as the Popish Plot In this it was like many a play of its time

a Tory document against the Whigs But with all this including the vilification of that much abused statesman, the Earl of Shaftesbury in the vile Antonio we need not concern ourselves Venice Preserved has lived for something very dif For in it Otway has created two novel and truly trage cal figures the nobility and pathos of which it would be difficult elsewhere to equal Jaffeir whom poverty and outrageous treat ment have driven from despair into conspiracy distracted be tween fidelity to his friend and fellow-conspirator and his devotion to an incomparable wife and Belvidera the wife who though repudiated by her father for her marriage and devotedly attached to her husband none the less sacrifices husband and self to save the state Nothing could be finer than the tender ness and pathos of the scenes between this devoted pair in this tragedy nor anything more complete than the catastrophe in which the innately noble though weak and unstable Jaffeir perfidiously defrauded of a promised amnesty for himself and his friend kills both to cheat a felon's death on the scaffold Constructive excellence a clear and easy flowing diction and a poet's command of imagery as well as the technicalities of an admirably smooth yet varied blank verse these things are Ot But above them all is his power to portray in his per sonages the tenderness of those who love and the throes and anguish which the virtuous and innocent suffer among the tragic vicissitudes and tossings of life Otway's instrument contains not too many notes but its few are of a surpassing and poignant sadness and sweetness To those who can see in the fog and contagion of life somewhat more than the distortion and ruin of things it may be possible to think of Otway as the one true lover of his faithless time pouring out his own suffering heart in works of art to make immortal the woman whom he adored To those on the other hand who are content that fog shall be for and contagion contagion the unhappy poet seems no more

their lovers a jetlous brother or a difficult father with the attendant servants of all parties mistakes accidents intrigue and involvement honour touched and honour righted. Variation on the theme is infinite. Of English adaptations of this type Tuke's well written Adventures of Fite Hours is by far the best. While the love that is intrigue and the touchness that go to make up much of what is accepted as Castilian honour are the constant themes of the comedy of cloak and sword it is a mistake to confuse such drains with the heroic play however the two may have reacted each upon the other Without here entering into details it is sufficient to notice that Orrery Crowne 'Urs Behn and Wycherley not to mention lesser names all drew on Spanish comedies for some of their own and that Dryden himself was not unaffected by Spanish example though perhaps less so than has sometimes been claimed

But these influences from Spain are not of great moment and they were frequently derivative usually by way of France It is to the latter country that the drama of the Restoration contracted its heaviest debt and what could be more natural when we consider that uside from the extraordinary draughts upon the heroic prose romance there were for serious drama the refined examples of Corneille and Racine (in the Ingland of this time misunderstood) and for comedy the communding centus of Mohere As to the first The Cid had been trans lated by Joseph Rutter as far back as 1637 and just before the Restoration Sir William Lowes and just after it Mrs. Kather ine Philips (the Matchless Orinda) Carlell and others were busy with Polyeuctes Horace Pompee Heraclius and Necomede the last three successfully produced variously in Dublin and London Racine was adapted somewhat later Crowne's inadequate version of the Andromague in 1675 and Otwas s Titus and Berenne are almost the only traces of that poet in English drama prior to 1700. On the other hand the debt of Restoration comedy to Moliere is extraordinary borrowers and beneficiaries include almost every name of im portance from Davenant and Dryden to Shadwell and Wycher and some of the lesser people had their whole dramatic equipment of him

Possibly Restoration opera is as well mentioned here as any where else as the immediate foreign influence upon this biform hybrid of the drama was French and the librettos as we should call them are no serious matter at best. The introduction of

Italian opera into France as far back as 1645 and the subsequent transference of French opera to England are interesting subjects in themselves into which we can not here digress has been pertinently said, in view of all these alleged foreign influences, that "the manner in which instrumental interludes and dances and songs and passages of recitative were introduced into masques suggested the methods upon which composers might attempt incidental music to plays and operas." 11 indeed, Matthew Locke, whose music to Shadwell's Psyche is sometimes spoken of as the first attempt at English opera, had written music for Shirley's masque-like, Cupid and Death, as far back as 1653, and portions of the vocal poets for The Siege of Rhodes, as well as for the far later revival of The Tempest with the Davenant-Dryden "amendments" With precedents such as these, it became the custom to make much of the incidental music on revivals of old plays with new splendours, and the names of Locke and Purcell, especially, attach to many a revival and to almost as many new performances. Purcell's Dido and Æneas, presented in 1680, has the distinction of being the first example in England of a story told in continuous As such it perhaps deserves to the full the dramatic music title of the earliest English opera, although it would be difficult to determine what degree of recitative or spoken dialogue in a production of this kind should bestow or deny to it the coveted designation. The music of Purcell's Dido and Eneas has been highly praised the libretto was by Nahum Tate, later to become poet laureate The performance was a private one and interesting only historically When Dryden turned to the writing of "opera," in Albion and Albanius, he employed Grabu, a foreign composer, to prepare the music, but, on his second venture, King Arthur, he returned to Purcell who had already written music for Aureng-Zebe and other plays King Arthur, like its predecessor, is less an opera than "a play copiously supplied with incidental music" Indeed, when we examine the matter of opera in England before the coming of Handel, we find it, save for a few imported French performances, a vanishing quantity Pepys uses the expression, "to the opera," habitually to denote a visit to the Duke's theatre in Lincoln's Inn Fields where he saw, under this designation, Hamlet, Twelfth

¹¹ See Sir C H H Perry, "Music of the Seventeenth Century," Oxford History of Music, 111, 288

Night Davenant's Love and Honour and Glapthorne's comedy Wit in a Constable with a score of other plays The word was doubtless employed by more careful speakers than Penvs to signify any play in which considerable attention was paid to the

music and setting Turning back to comedy the earliest notable figure is that of Sir George Etherege who was born of good family in 1634 It is doubtful if Etherege was of either university but he may have been at one of the Inns of Court Possessed in all likely hood of some fortune Etherege lived much abroad until the Restoration and his easy knowledge of the French language and of French manners make it likely that he had spent much time in Paris His work as a dramatist began with The Comical Revenge or Love in a Tub acted in 1664 the serious scenes of which are written in rhyme. This comedy was an immediate success and She Would If She Could and The Man of Mode (both written in prose) followed at long intervals after the last in 1676 Both maintained and enhanced the author's repute for an easy ability to stage with absolute freedom and abandon the profligate manners of the fashionable society of For, with his success Etherege had joined the rout of Rochester on more equal terms however than his lordship's creature poets as a disgraceful broil at Epson and the dramatist s protection, of Mrs Barry after Rochester's death both go After marrying a fortune Etherege went abroad and served as English resident finally for several years at Ratisbon in Germany where he appears to have lived riotously neglect ing his duties and finally losing his life at Paris in 1691 (it is supposed) in an accident that could hardly have befallen a sober man It is a sorded story yet this may be said for the comedy of Etherege that it owed nothing to books or precedents Fashionable indolent, witty charming and utterly profligate

Etherege knew at first hand the brilliant shameless deadly life in which he was alike a participant and a victim and his conscienceless art enjoyed the popularity that an actual rescript of the time always deserves and usually obtains Etherege assumes importance when we consider that he deter mined a whole species of comedy which persistently held the stage through Wycherley Congreye and Vanbrugh down to very late times increasingly more divergent from actual life Etherege copied the life he knew his successors copied Etherege

Nearest to Etherege in point of time and literary manner is

Sir Charles Sedley, second in notoriety for his wit and his profligacy only to Rochester whom he resembles, too, in an admirable sense for the graces of prose style and for no inconsiderable lyrical gift. Attention has lately been called, with much justice, to the fact that these gentlemen roisterers of the court of King Charles were "too flagrantly industrious in the pursuit of pleasure," too determined, in opposition to the gloom of detested Puritanism, to be happy at all events, to make us altogether certain that they were not frequently bored in the midst of their revelry and with all their dangerous hazards, 12 Sedley lived, like some others, to become a grave, if none too stable, politician. His plays reflect the influences of the moment, The Mulberry Garden (borrowed in idea partly from Molière), is precisely of the type of Etherege's Comical Revenge, even to the writing of the serious scenes in rhyme, his Antony and Cleopatra, a feeble tragedy, is wholly in rhyme, because Dryden was so writing and on a classical subject for no better reason Bellamira, 1687, is Sedley's best play, for in it, however he drew on the Eunuchus of Terence for his plot, he presents a lively and realistic picture of the reckless life that he knew so well In writing of Restoration comedy, it is impossible to avoid harping on the extraordinary license of speech and conduct which the stage accepted as a matter of course With the taste and example of the king, the wits and the laureate before them, the minor writers of comedy supplied what was wanting in cleverness with the extravagance of license, while appropriating from the past with consciences absolutely at ease John Lacy, who died as early as 1681, presumed upon his popularity as an actor to turn playwright in some half dozen efforts in which he laid violent hands on Molière, Shakespeare and lesser men, making coarser whatever he touched Edward Ravenscroft was busy warming over the dramatic victuals of other men for twenty years. His plays are described, by Dibden, as "a series of thefts from beginning to end" His most popular comedy, London Cuckolds, first acted in 1682, was repeated on the lord mayor's day for nearly a hundred years for its vulgar, humorous and scurrilous satire on the city. Ravenscroft's one gift seems to have been that of boisterous farce, a gift, by the way, that has carried off many a mediocre

¹² See Mr C Whibley, in The Cambridge History of English Literature, viii, 198 ff

play and worse with the help of clever actors Ahler hut of the same predatory class was Mrs Behn interesting as the earli est example of a woman in England who made her way as a Alphara Johnson was born at Wye about professional author 1640 and reared in the West Indies where she had unusual experiences of which she made a literary use in her famous story Oronooko In 1651 she married a Dutch merchant named Behn who maintained her in good circumstances in Lon don Losing her husband about the time of the Restoration she lived abroad for a time at Antwerp probably in the government employ as a spy But being neglected and unpaid she returned to England and began the writing of plays and fiction about 1671 Mrs Behn's earliest efforts were romantic tragedy even in one instance lifted from Marlowe but she soon went over to comedy in deference to the demands of her market. With Killigrew for a model she made over two of his unacted comedies in The Rover 1677 which proved to be her most popular play For other work she borrowed from Brome Massinger Middleton Wilkins and even Tatham in The Dutch Lover The City Heiress and The Widow Ranter doing her most characteristic work. She is fond of a swash buckling hero sound at heart (according to Restoration standards) but libertine in speech and conduct and the certain victim of every pair of bright eyes Her writing is inventive lively and made up of incessant action but she is boisterous frivolous and a match for any of her male competitors in foulness of speech and frank immorality of dialogue and situation. Mrs. Behn knew her age only too well and she catered acceptably to its demands She was a clever and gifted woman who was forced to write for her bread and she loved the coarse fare that went by the name of pleasure in her day. It is not fair to judge her by harsher standards than those that we apply to her male contem poraries in the drama whose example she followed

As we look at the complacent and satisfied countenance of Thomas Shadwell crowned with laurel that faces the four volumes of his plays dedicated to King William (who could not read them) and as we note how for a period of nearly twenty years beginning with 1668 there was scarcely a year in which a comedy of Shadwell's was not staged often with applause we can not but wonder that all this industry and assurance of fame should be recompensed by so complete an oblivion Shadwell owing to his bitter political feud with Dryden has

Sedley.

suffered perhaps more than he deserves Of an age with Mrs Behn and Wycherley, King William's laureate received his education at Cambridge which, however, he soon quitted for the Middle Temple In his first endeavours he enjoyed the encouragement of Dryden who wrote a prologue to The True Widow as late as 1679 In his day, indeed, Shadwell was a respectable figure, neither tampering with Shakespearean amendments nor with borrowings from France to a greater degree than his theatrical brethren, but holding at least one constant model before him for imitation and adoration. It is in the preface to his very first play. The Sullen Lovers, that Shadwell declares. "I have endeavoured to represent variety of humours .. which was the practice of Ben Jonson, whom I think all dramatic poets ought to imitate, though none are like to come near, he being the only person that appears to me to have made perfect representations of human life" Shadwell endeavoured with honest industry, if not with any great illumination, to follow faithfully in those illustrious footsteps. In plays such as The Humorists, Epson Wells, The Virtuoso, and in the later Bury Fair, The Scourers and The Squire of Alsatia (esteemed his ablest comedy), Shadwell followed his model at a distance, often not greater than that of Cartwright or Brome, adapting his work to the "humours" of his own London, with the parade of an occasional moral and a more frequent descent to a coarseness and ribaldry below the level of Bartholomew Fair. Shadwell was a strong Protestant and a valuant Whig, both of which are abundantly proved in his outrageous attack upon "the Papists" in the scandalous character of Tegue O'Divelly, the Irish priest of The Lancashire Witches The play came just at the time of the excitement consequent upon the lying revelations of Titus Oates, and undeniably had more to do with Shadwell's supplanting of Dryden in the laureateship than had his poetry. Shadwell is not to be denied a certain power in dramatic invention, a broad rough humour in realising "the fops and knaves" which he thought were "the fittest characters for comedy," and an honest sense of right which was blind, however, to generosity and delicacy alike It is probable that

The long continuance of the activity of Shadwell, who died in

his vigorous pictures of the low humours of the London of his day are at least as true to the life which they depict as the tediously reiterated gallantries of the school of Etherege and hight years before Dryden has carried us forward. With n Wycherley who was born the same year with Shad ve return once more to the earlier days of King Charles rley was educated first in France at Queen's College l and later at the Inner Temple His position in life im access to that best society in which the king and ter were pattern and example The comedies of Wycher lowed close on the earliest efforts of Etherege and Sedles ose school he unquestionably belongs and they were within the short period of five years from the success of n a Wood in 1671 (which attracted to the author the hat questionable attentions of the Duchess of Cleveland) Plain Dealer staged in 1674 Between these came the wo The Gentleman Dancing Master and The Country In comparison with Etherege Wycherley's comedies are nger fibre and better constructed they are not nearly so ritten There is a vigour however a strength amount times almost to brutality about Wycherley that differenti young gentlemen of the town his coxcombs and match - to call his women no worse - from the superficial es of his predecessors Wycherley was as frank a plagiary of his contemporaries taking his Dancing Master from on who in turn had found it in the bulging dramatic ies of Lope de Vega while his Country Wife one of the t comedies in the English language derives its plot from opular comedies of Moliere The Plain Dealer is rley's most celebrated play and however it may have uggested by certain scenes and personages of Le Mis be was certainly made over by the English dramatist into ing new and distinctive Manly a sea captain is one natural honesty and frankness revolts at the hypocrisy e faithlessness of the world Instead of driving him ontact with his fellowmen, this creates in him such an tion for plain speaking and direct conduct that these become vices and the means of blinding him to the actual of the men and women about him His mistress proves his bosom friend false and an ingrate and he is only from complete misanthropy by the faithfulness of the who unknown to him dearly loves him and serves him as int In the gravity of Manly's disillusion and in the dinary and brutal demands upon Fidelia's devotion into her master's eagerness for revenge betrays him this

comedy rises almost into tragedy. A diverting underplot is wholly of Wycherley's invention The Plain Dealer is admirably planned and managed, the characters are roughly but clearly sketched and the dialogue, as usual with Wycherley, is written in prose, unadorned, forceable and natural. The thing which raises Wycherley above his class, strange as it may appear, is a certain moral earnestness which, despite the fact that there is scarcely a single truly virtuous person in all his drama, causes the careful reader to discern in all this brutality and plain speaking not a little of the gravity of true satire. After The Plain Dealer, Wycherley ceased to write, although he lived through various vicissitudes, an elderly man about town, now somewhat out of the mode, to receive a pension at the hands of King James and to form, in the reign of Queen Anne, a literary

friendship with the precocious young poet, Pope

The vogue and popularity of the stage during the period of Dryden's literary activity rivalled the busiest days of Elizabethan or earlier Jacobean days Never before had plays been so in request, so elaborately staged or acted by so many talented and capable players As we read the theatrical annals of the time how Mrs Hughes ensnared Prince Rupert and Nell Gwyn the king, how the Earl of Oxford betrayed the virtuous Mrs. Davenport by a mock marriage, of Mountfort dishonestly slain and of Goodman only too justly tried for a murder, we wonder that the drama could exist as an art in the midst of surroundings so foul and abandoned But there is another side Betterton made his début in Hamlet in 1661, Mrs Sanderson, soon to become Mrs Betterton, playing the part of Ophelia Fifty years later, this great actor took leave of the stage, its acknowledged leader, for a lifetime, despite all temporary rivalries, and his wife was still by his side Betterton was a man of sober, honest and industrious life, untouched by the vices of his age and equally the friend of Dryden the greatest poet, and Tillotson the greatest preacher of the day His range of characters was enormous and his industry was only exceeded by his many gifts, and his success by his integrity and kindliness was sheer enthusiasm for the art of acting that took Rochester from the court and his dissolute pleasures to drill the tardy mind and unskilled gait of Mrs. Barry into the most consummate of actresses; and, however that lady may have repaid his lordship's condescensions, Mrs Bracegirdle, her successor on the stage, lived in excellent private repute and was noted for her

charities As to the Restoration dramatists Doran says that they exceeded in number the players and lists more than a hun dred names Indeed nearly everybody wrote for the theatre Besides the names already mentioned in this chapter - to add only two or three - there was John Banks, beginning in heroics rivalling Lee's yet showing a certain melodramatic force in several plays of English historical subjects treating of Essex Lady Jane Grey and Anne Boleyn that attracted public an There was Nahum Tate almost the least of the poets laureate a universal collaborator giving us among many other like things a Aing Lear which is arranged to end happily with the marriage of Cordelia and Edgar a version which Dr John son defended and which held the stage into Victoria's reign William Mountfort the celebrated actor followed Banks with English histories and wrote other plays among them a Faustus enlarged especially as to its diablerie and operatic effects and Charles Hopkins beloved for his sweet temper by Dryden and Southerne wrote promising tragedies on Pyrrhus and Boadicea Thomas Southerne although his work for the theatre began in the eighties in association with Dryden wrote on far into the reign of King George I His Fatal Marriage long a favourite and revised by Garrick was acted in 1694 and his almost equally popular dramatic version of Mrs Behn's novel Oronooko followed two years later Southerne was an amiable man and conspicuous among his fellow playwrights for his long prosperous and happy life His four comedies (which are cleanly but of no great ment) and his six or eight other plays (several of them dramatized novels) deserve the contemporary appreciation which they inspired Southerne's distinctive quality is a certain ability to move in the representa tion of pathetic situations in which he has been compared not altogether unjustly with Otway himself Tom D Urfey the song writer light hearted convivial and imperturbably good humoured began like Banks with heroic drama in the seventies but carried his trivialities dramatic and other well this Yorick of the court of the Stuarts was a nephew of Honore D Urfe the dignified author of LAstree In the last decade of the century too Mrs Behn was by no means alone in writ ing for the stage There was the amiable and learned Mrs Cockburn (Catherine Trotter) who combined poetry and philo sophy and resoiced in the friendship of Locke and Congreye

alike, there was Mrs. Pix (Mary Griffith), her friend who, innocent of theory or practice in verse, none the less penned tragedies, succeeding measureably in the lighter mode And there was the disreputable Mrs. Manley, society novelist and scandal-monger, whose adventurous biography with its political controlling wires is more interesting to read than her half dozen forgotten plays. Mrs. Centlivre, the ablest of them all, began her work as a playwright later. The age was not unconscious of the innovation of women in authorship as a coarse but amusing comedy entitled The Female Wits at Rehearsal, acted in 1697, goes to show Lastly, the scholars and critics were equally addicted to the universal habit Lord Lansdowne, the patron of Pope, put forth the last flicker of the heroic play in his Heroic Love, 1698, which is written in blank verse and deals with the love affairs of Achilles He also imitated Congreve at a long interval in comedy While the notorious critic, John Dennis, fellow of Rymer and Gildon both of whom "wrote plays," not only rewrote Shakespeare as he ought to have been written but laid futile mines to success on the stage by way of Euripides and Tasso, to find a modicum of recognition when he mixed the concoction with party politics and abuse

In William Congreye the artificial comedy of manners reaches its height. Born near Leeds, in 1670, the son of an officer whose professional duties carried him to Ireland, Congreve attended the Kilkenny school where he had Swift for a schoolmate. On coming to London, his indolence unfitting him for the law, Congreve ventured into literature with a novel entitled Incognita, of no great merit or promise His earliest play is The Old Bachelor, it was acted with success in January 1693 and declared by Dryden the best first play that he had ever seen The intricacy of the plot of The Double Dealer caused it to be not so well received, but the performance of it, in November of the same year, drew from Dryden an enthusiastic acclamation of the young dramatist as his poetic heir and successor with an exaggerated comparison of his talents to those of Shakespeare. In 1695, upon the secession of the older actors, headed by Betterton, from the patentee managers of Drury Lane, the opening of his new theatre in Lincoln's Inn Fields was signalized by the performance of Congreve's Love for Love which achieved an instantaneous and brilliant success. In consequence, the author received a share in the house on promise to write for it one new play each year. This success brought

Congreve also at the hands of Montagu later Lord Halifax an office as commissioner of hackney coaches" the first of a happy series of like sinecures with which Congreves political friends contrived to make easy his way of life whether Tory ruled or Whig In 1697 the poets one venture into tragedy The Mourning Bride now remembered only for Dr Johnson's eulogy ran for thirtteen days, an unusual time for the period and saved the company from ruin and with The Way of the World which was coolly received in 1700 the author gave up writing for the stage although he lived nearly thirty years there

Congreve has been variously estimated both as a man and an author The man has been well described as a gentleman of quality by nature for his was ever the grand manner the accepted course of conduct the fitting word in that light witty risque skirmish of repartee that went by the name of polite conversation Congreve had too much wit to be a for and too much good sense to throw away his life in dissolute living He was much petted in good society and his plays were enthusi astically lauded and extolled He took all naturally and grace fully and was not too much spoiled Indeed he professed (perhaps not without affectation) little more than a languid interest in the writing of plays explaining that his first comedy was written 'to amuse himself in a slow recovery from a fit of sickness and declaring that success on the stage for his famous The Way of the World was almost beyond his expecta When Voltaire called on him he insisted on receiving the visit as the civility of one gentleman to another not as the meeting of two men of letters None the less Dryden Swift Addison Steele Gay and Pope with many higher in station and less in prominence valued his friendship and enjoyed it while the fascinating Mrs Bracegirdle who created the leading female parts in all his plays and Henrietta Duchess of Marlborough daughter of the great duke were alike devoted to him and beneficiaries according to station under his will

The comedies of Congreve are of a literary excellence that overtops not only the comedies of their own age but that quality in all his imitators. There is no parallel in English to the directness, incisiveness brilliancy and ease of his stage dialogue. And his personages however they belong to the accepted cate gones of fops gallants and lidnes of fishion and intrigue are conceived and executed with an air and distinction that raises

them as much above their fellows of Etherege, Wycherley or Vanbrugh as Congreve himself excelled in the company he so loved. The plots of Congreve have been criticised as alike insufficient and difficult to follow But Congreve was little concerned with story, however he prided himself on the construction of The Double Dealer, if what he had provided was thread enough on which to string the glittering beads of his epigram and repartee It has been intimated above that the artificial comedy became less and less a transcript of life as successive playwrights accepted its conventions instead of observing afresh the life about them Congreve's first comedy was written before he knew anything of actual fashionable life; and his last repeated as cynically, if more elaborately, precisely the same sorts of personages, the same intrigues and situations comedies exhibited a total absence of any standard of rectitude or honour in any sense whatever of that misused word Archer has well called Congreve's art "a picture of society observed from a standard of complete moral indifference," and as such, it can in no sense be considered true satire. Whatever of social amelioration may have taken place between the early days of King Charles and the decade in which Congreve wrote, we may not unjustly conceive of that dramatist as a perverse or rather inverted idealist in the kind of life that he chose to depict, who magnifies alike the "gallantry," the wit, the heartlessness and the abandon of speech and conduct in this foul and glittering Utopia of his. There never were people quite so fas-cinatingly and brilliantly witty as Mirabel and gorgeous, petulant Mistress Millamont, these princes of the realms of the artificial comedy, nor beings quite so unutterably frivolous in their coxcombry as Brisk, Tattle or Witwood And only the actual annals of the reign of King Charles can convince us that there were ever men and women as heartlessly wicked and depraved as Maskwell, Fainall, Mrs Frail and Lady Touchwood Judged by any standards applicable to actual life this entire Restoration comedy is hopelessly immoral and corrupt Whether we are able to achieve the detachment that may enable us to accept it for its artistic and literary qualities (so far as it really possesses them) or anathematise it unconditionally with honest Jeremy Collier must depend less on our morals than on our attitude of mind

It was in 1698 that the famous attack of Collier, A Short View of the Immorality and Profaneness of the English Stage,

was printed Collier was a non juror which distinguished him as widely as possible from Puritan pamphleteers like Prynne from whom such attacks usually emanated and therefore gave to his words a sanction which others could not have In his attitude of reprobation Collier had had many respectable pred ecessors among them John Evelyn the diarist Sir Richard Blackmore and others Moreover Collier's Short View is only one of more than two score like treatises of the author attack abuses political social and moral Collier's pamphlet has been commonly estimated as a noble protest against evil bringing immediate reformatory results and neither his sin cerity nor the need for his words is for a moment to be ques tioned But even a cursory glance at his pages with their minute but evasive particulars in which he hopelessly confuses the representation of vice with the approval of it, and makes no allow nee for appropriateness of character or situation must convince he most careless reader that Collier's Short View is a much overrated work which sought the destruction not the reformation of the stage and that its success was scandal and no more 13 In the controversy that followed Congreve Vanbrugh Farquhar and others took a hand and even Dryden acknowledging his own short comings warily encouraged the fray from afar But the wits had no case and despite a nugatory inquiry ordered by the crown and replies rejoinders and surrejoinders lasting through many years com edy continued her impudent way actually little bettered if in any wise affected by Collier and his controversy. It is neither true that the corruption of the stage set in with the Restoration nor that the attack of Collier brought about its reform That reform if it can be said to have come at all came in other ways

18 See Mr Whibleys showing up of Collier in The Cambridge History of Literature viii 163 169

CHAPTER XI

STEELE, ROWE AND THE CLOSE OF THE LITERARY DRAMA

THAT two such minor names as these should head a chapter in the history of our English drama, following the illustrious succession that went before, may well give the thoughtful reader Yet the great Augustan age, so famous for its wit and its satire, so satisfied that in it poetry and polite learning had reached a perfection beyond which it was impossible to conceive of a further advance, has no weightier names in comedy and tragedy to offer, and in the following reigns of the Georges, Goldsmith and Sheridan, the two that surpass them, stand historically more or less isolated and, in their talents, absolutely It is true that the plays of older time still held the stage, those of Congreve, Wycherley, Vanbrugh, Otway and Dryden, while the pre-Restoration drama was now represented almost wholly by Shakespeare, largely in bastard versions in the making of which noblemen and wits complacently vied with the playwrights Poetry died out of the drama with Otway and Dryden, save for a spluttering in Lee and a flicker in Southerne, the last glint is gone with Rowe. Literature and power over the phrase drops from Congreve to Steele, to be lost in excellent Cibber and his like, save for the satirical snap of Fielding, the light of Goldsmith and the flash of Sheridan

Dryden died in 1700, and Congreve ceased to write for the stage, yet neither of these events nor the controversy that Collier had just raised in any wise materially altered the trend of the drama. An examination of the lists of first performances and revivals, kept by Genest, that careful enthusiast for our old drama, discloses The Rival Queens of Lee alone among the heroic plays in the frequency with which it was revived in the new century, although Dryden's Indian Emperor and Aureng-Zebe were occasionally acted, and The Rehearsal was repeated again and again, always with applause and appreciation Clearly the heroic play was dead. Of Dryden's other dramatic

works few of the comedies were revised unless we except Amphitryon and The Spanish Friar which latter had caught the popular fancy we may well believe as much for its libel on the hated "Papist' clergy as for the humours of the incor rigible personage who gives the play its name. Otherwise it was to All for Love and Don Sebastian that the public awarded the palm among Dryden's plays however Cdipus Troilus and Cleomenes were less frequently acted As to Congreve, not only did he maintain his original popularity he increased his hold The Double Dealer and The Il'as of the Il orld both of them qualified successes at first were now fully accepted and The Mourning Bride was often reacted as well Among the comedies of other writers, Wycherley's Plain Dealer Shad well's Squire of Alsatia or Etherege's She Would if She Could appear to have led all others in popularity Whilst among serious plays outside of Shakespeare The Orphan of Otwas and his Venice Preserved had no rivals As we look back save for The Mourning Bride which was carried by Congrese's con temporary popularity in comedy this list is by no means a dis credit to the taste of the time although it shows in the comedies

mitting Cheats

of Scapin Southerne's Fatal Marriage or Crowne's Sir Courtly Nice it is of interest to note how well the older dramatists held their own though for the most part altered more or less to accord with prevalent taste Of Mountfort's addition of humours of Harlequin and Scaramouch 'to Marlowe's Doctor Faustus we have already heard it was a deserved failure, More commonly these amended dramas of the older age were successful As early as 1668 Davenant altered The Two
Noble Kinsmen into The Rivals Buckingham if the critics are to be believed took in hand The Chances to better it on the score of delicacy while Settle did Philaster Powell Bon duea (bestowing four days on it) and Vanbrugh The Pilgrim Other plays of Fletcher appear to have been acted substantially as at first presented One of the most popular of these was the lively comedy Rule a Wife and Have a Wife not amended before Garrick and The Maid's Tragedy despite the fifth net of Waller that transforms the story into a comedy, was acted according to Southerne in all its rigour and before King Charles The most successful making over of a play of

Fletcher's is Farquhar's Inconstant, lifted bodily, however improved for acting, from The Wild Goose Chase Among other "old plays," the popularity of which is attested, were Massinger's New Way to Pay Old Debts, Brome's Jovial Crew, Webster's Appius and Virginia, which Betterton worked over and called The Roman Father, and Chapman's Bussy D'Ambois, considerably damaged by D'Urfey Further into these revivals we need not here inquire.

It was Shakespeare who was always the first quarry, and of some of these depredations upon him we have already heard The subject, however, is of such interest, both in itself and for the light that it throws on the taste of the age, that a brief consideration of the nature and extent of some of these "changes" cannot here be out of place During the fifty years following the Restoration no less than twenty-six rewritings, alterations and makings over of dramas of Shakespeare were made and the large majority of them acted. This list discloses some twenty different plays, the work of sixteen authors including three laureates, the actors Betterton, Lacy and Cibber, scholarly authors and critics such as Theobald, Dennis and Gildon, and hack writers like D'Urfey, Ravenscroft and Duffet 1 Several reasons have been assigned for this pillage of Shakespeare and undoubtedly pressure for new material was the most important. It was this that must have actuated Davenant in his Law Against Lovers, 1662, the result of a union of the stories and much of the texts of Measure for Measure and Much Ado About Nothing, in which the Claudio-Hero plot drops out and the character of Mariana as well, and the play ends with Angelo's espousal of Isabella at the command of the duke. Pressure of time and utter carelessness as to the result alone could explain Otway's grotesque thrust of Caius Marius into the rôle of Romeo with Sulla in that of County Paris Eagerness for novelty and pruriency, to the charge of which the whole age is open, account for the offensive additions made to the delightful and poetic conception of The Tempest, by which Ariel and Caliban each is provided with a sister and a youth who has never seen a woman is added to match Miranda and her sister "Dorinda." So pleasing was this subject that

¹ See on the whole topic, G R Lounsbury, Shakespeare as a Dramatic Artist, 1901, p 302 To the twenty-six here mentioned may be added nearly double that number up to the end of the eighteenth century

Shadwell transformed the reviser's work into an opera' with new scenes and machines admirably managed ' besides songs and dances and Duffet degraded it into a farce of the humour of the gutter in The Mock Tempest It is the 'new scenes and machines with the spectacle involved that account not only for Shadwell's opera' but for Macbeth as revised in 1672 "with alterations amendments additions and new songs' and so much were these features admired and accepted that Penys declared it a most excellent play in all respects especially in divertisement though it be a deep tragedy which is a strange perfection in a tragedy it being most proper here and suitable 2 We need not wait until the Restoration to notice another feature of the popular taste that favoured altera tions of our older plays Tragicomedy had been called into being from the shrinking of later audiences from the inevitability of tragedy and the happy ending came more and more to be demanded As early as 1663 James Howard had rewritten Romen and Juliet to end in the wedding of the now truly starred lovers This effort has fortunately been lost but Tate's King Lear into which are injected several pretty love scenes between Cordelia and Edgar (who in Shakespeare's tragedy do not even meet) long held the stage and even Gar rick did not dare to return to the original tragic version too is involved still another reason for these Shakespearean al terations Shakespeare recognises the love of man and woman as one of the many passions that animate mankind and there are no love scenes the equal of his but he recognised likewise the existence of other motives that are no less efficient for tragic than for comic use We have already noticed that in the drama of the Restoration comedy is almost solely preoccupied with the gallantry of amorous adventure and serious drama as un dividedly with amorous passion or its reflexes realous enmity and revenge For this various reasons have been assigned among which the presence of women on the stage and their enormously larger proportion among the auditors as contrasted with earlier times are not to be overlooked. With Field or Lynaston however complete their makeup ' affecting the graces of Viola or 'boying the greatness of Cleopatra on the stage the illusion was at least sufficiently far from complete not to disturb the interest of the auditor in the play as a play

² Pepys Diary ed Wheatley 1904 vi 125

On the other hand, the auditors of The Old Bachelor of Congreve received with enthusiasm the stale and impossible dénouement of the marriage of the wrong woman in a mask, applauding to the echo, Davies tells us, as each of the four beautiful women who acted in the play unmasked and disclosed her identity. It was not only The Rival Queens that drew crowded houses, but Mrs. Barry and Mrs. Boutel, known rivals in their art as in their beauty for the favour of the town, and "the accident," whereby, on one occasion, the dagger of Roxana reached the bosom of Statira with more effect than the author had demanded, effaced, as it surpassed in fidelity to nature, all the eloquent bombast of Lee's inflated rhetoric. In such an atmosphere it was essential that the poets should strive to enliven the older plays by an infusion into them of this popular element Hence it is that Crowne worked into his alterations of Henry VI "a good deal of love making in which Warwick the king maker, Edward Plantagenet, his future queen Lady Gray and a new character Lady Eleanor Butler all have a share " Hence Shadwell gave to Timon of Athens a daughter, divided between the attentions of Alcibiades and those of a wealthier suitor, whilst Orrery, in an heroic play on Henry V, which has the merit at least of not having been stolen from Shakespeare, raised the love affairs of Catharine of France, Owen Tudor and the victor of Agincourt to an interest above that of the mere conquest of kingdoms

The want of taste, of any sense of fitness, let alone poetry, is sufficiently illustrated in the examples above, but, from another point of view, something may be said for these changes as, to a certain degree, adjustments to the newer conditions of the stage To anticipate slightly, when Cibber brought out his version of Richard III in 1700, showing a diffidence in offering it very different from the self-satisfied confidence of some of his predecessors, his effort was directed especially to an adaptation of the old chronicle play to the conditions of the stage of his time Considered in the abstract, the epic succession of scenes, with their incessant change of place, their intermittent action and long conversations while the action halts, all of these characteristics of the chronicle play as a species, are wanting in that concentration, concreteness and unity that was becoming more and more the recognised ideal of dramatic construction While Shakespeare's Richard III, from the unity which the grim protagonist gives to the play, is less open to this criticism

than some other plays of its species it is not to be questioned that its technique belongs to an earlier age than that of Cibber With his practical experience of the theatre Cibber discovered this and attempted by omission condensation and readjustment to render the tragedy more actable on his stage. In this he succeeded measurably although at the cost of much of the poetry and fitness of personage and episode all of which Shakespeare had so subtly wrought into one that no hand save his own dare touch them Unhappily too Cibber doubting his own powers (as well he might) to mould together the dissevered parts in terpolated bits derived from other plays of Shakespeare thus decorating with flowers hopelessly withered from their context the havor he had made. I have dwelt upon this the most favourable of these alterations of Shakespeare - one that has held the stage almost to the present day - in order that we might comprehend the nature of the gyves which time had put upon the old free drama. The change was a momentous one from a great popular utterance claiming for its constituency the whole English people safe in a broad appeal to love of country the spirit of fair play domestic virtue and capable from its hold upon the emotions of almost any flight into the realms of poetry and the imagination English drama had shrunk into a thing of precedent and convention governed by the laws of the ancients as they were misunderstood and supposed to be price tised in the drama of a foreign country or guided by the disso lute taste of a court which had long since gone its way to dis solution leaving only its heartlessness its godlessness and libertinism to be mimicked by those who came after. The spirit of Shakespeare's drama was that of the people the spirit of Dryden's drama that of the court for faith in man we have cynical laughter and mistrust in goodness for patriotism as demonstrated in the old chronicle play we have party politics with which not only comedy but serious drama is permented at times to its utter undoing. In our current criticism of the dramatic and literary technique of other times than our own we are apt to judge too singly by our own standards model of old was to fill three hours time with a varied enter tainment and fulness of illustration even if some of it were irrelevant was one of the conditions in which that particular form of art flourished The drama of the seventeenth century was far from realising the modern ideal by which 'the dram atist seizes upon a crisis in the lives of his characters states its

conditions and follows its evolutions to an end," regarding everything else a surplusage Yet in Cibber, as earlier in Congreve, we recognise an ideal above the practice of the time and in the case of *Richard III* a struggle toward the realisation of that ideal

With the heroic play dead and the artificial comedy of man ners at its ne plus ultra, we may well inquire what it was that held over into the new century. We have first the continuance of this comedy in the hands of Vanbrugh, Farquhar and Cibber with a suggestion of a reformation of its immorality, if not its frivolity, by the last, an attempt more seriously made a little later by Steele And we have, in serious drama, a revulsion from the extravagances and unrealities of the heroic play and its like which begot an increasing interest in subjects historical and in those which, like Otway's two most famous plays and some of Southerne's, gave opportunity for a display of the tenderer domestic emotions If we except the classical frigidities of dramas like Addison's Cato, the trend of both comedy and tragedy in the reign of Queen Anne was clearly towards the sentimental, and in Steele and Rowe, as we shall see, the transformation is already assured, if not complete This topic for the moment we must defer to turn back to the three notable followers of Congreve, who began to write in the reign of King William and almost as early as he, but who carried their labours over into the next age

Sir John Vanbrugh was of Flemish descent on his father's side and well connected in England on his mother's He was born in 1664, in London, and appears to have entered the army, being known in his earlier days as Captain Vanbrugh have a glimpse of him in Paris in 1692, imprisoned in the Bastile under suspicion of being a spy He is said to have employed his enforced leisure there in meditating the scenes of a comedy He was soon liberated and we hear no more of him until he emerges as an author in 1696. In 1682 the two companies, originally constituted, it will be remembered, as the King's and the Duke of York's, united, and there succeeded a period of prosperity for all concerned But disputes arising between the patentees and the actors, Betterton, as we have already seen, seceded, in 1695, and started his rival company in Lincoln's Inn Fields with the success of Congreve's Love for Love This brought about evil days for the Royal company at Drury Lane, the actors' wages were reduced and the need of a rival success

to make weight against Congreve became imperative. This rival play came from an unexpected quarter Colley Cibber was a stage struck lad who had been haunting the theatres for five or six years to be at last given small parts in which he had com norted himself perhaps none too well. He was doing better however of late and when he submitted a comedy to the perusal of Southerne entitled Love's Last Shift Southerne advised its acceptance by the company and being given the part of Sir Novelty Fashion an affected fop whose soul is everlastingly in pawn to his tailor' Cibber had the satisfaction of achieving at once the triumph of an actor and an author Loves Last
Shift is properly described as a well constructed and effective deserving of the favour with which it was and There was something novel as well as healthy in received the subject however its similitude of wit might fail before the sparkle of Congreve But to return it was the success of Cib ber's first comedy that turned Vanbrugh to the making of plays Struck with the concluding situation of Love's Last Shift Amanda's recovery of her recreant and penniless husband Love less after an eight years wandering in pursuit of unlawful pleasure Vanbrugh furnished in The Relapse so far as such a personage as Loyeless is concerned the inevitable if cynical In the upshot the author contrives in his way to pay his tribute to virtue and constancy in the character of the wife a tribute that Congreye would not even have thought of and he elevates Cibber's Sir Novelty Fashion which with the author's clever acting had caught the town to a dramatic peer age as Lord Foppington the very prince of his diverting kind In The Relapse we have at once the vivacity gaiety and levity which characterise the happy art of Vanbrugh. He is little more a satirist in his presentation of fashionable life than was Etherege or Congreve for anything in the nature of a moral standard as a point of departure is almost as conspicu ously absent in his work as in theirs On the other hand Van brugh's lightness of spirit relieves his comedy even in its fre quent lapses from decorum of a certain repulsiveness not ab sent from Congreve and gives to his wit an effect less pre meditated and artificial

But Vanbrugh was already immersed in other affairs. He had become a member of the Greenwich Hospital Commission in association with Sir Christopher Wren as early as 1692 and in 1702, his sudden lear to fame as architect of Castle Howard

in Yorkshire suggests that he must have begun the practice of that profession far earlier Among the many houses that he designed, the most famous is Blenheim which brought him endless trouble and the lasting enmity of the Duchess of Marl-In 1703, "Vanbrugh was created Clarenceux King at Arms, against the protest of the college and despite the facts that he knew nothing of heraldry and had openly ridiculed that grave science in one of his comedies" He held his post notwithstanding and acceptably fulfilled its duties A few years later Vanbrugh became Controller of the Royal Works, which post he held until his death in 1726 In 1705 he designed a new theatre in the Haymarket and with Congreve who, really gave very little assistance, undertook the management of it But what with the rural surroundings and the serious acoustic defects of the building, the venture proved a complete failure and Vanbrugh withdrew from his undertaking in a year's time, a heavy loser To return to his comedies, in the same fruitful year, 1697, Vanbrugh brought out The Provoked Wife at the theatre in Lincoln's Inn Fields With Betterton, Mrs Barry and Mrs Bracegirdle in the cast, the play was a complete suc-Superior in construction, The Provoked Wife sustained the author's repute, although it lapses into the utter moral callousness and flippancy of its school, without even a suggestion of a serious motive to sustain it. This was one of the plays justly singled out by Collier for attack, a subject to which we need not return Vanbrugh's part in the replies to Collier was clever, as might be expected, though he was as blind as his fellows to the reality of the issue involved. In 1700, he rewrote The Pilgrim of Fletcher in prose for the benefit of Dryden who contributed the prologue and epilogue, his last This performance is otherwise memorable work for the stage as that in which the famous actress, Anne Oldfield, whose talents Farquhar had discovered in the previous year, made her début. Of Vanbrugh's translations, chiefly from Molière, the only one that deserved success, though it did not at once attain it, was The Confederacy, derived directly from d'Ancourt's comedy Les Bourgeoises à la Mode It was acted in 1705 and with it Vanbrugh's labours as a dramatist conclude

Almost precisely correspondent in point of time with the comedies of Vanbrugh were those of Farquhar who resembled his competitor further in being likewise in the military service George Farquhar was born in Londonderry in 1678, the son

Irish clergyman who could do little more for his son offer him a good education This he pursued for a time inity College Dublin only too soon to fall a victim, like nd Otway before him to the fascinations of the stage thstanding a prepossessing personality Farquhar does pear to have succeeded as an actor at the Smock Allev re in Dublin where he made his first appearance as lo and the accidental wounding of a fellow actor in one vden's plays brought him to the determination to abandon age. At this juncture his friend the actor Wilks later ome famous induced Parouhar to follow him to London acouraged by him he offered Rich the manager of Drury his first comedy Love in a Bottle which was acted late 8 and favourably received Through the good offices of it is said, the young dramatist received a lieutenancy ve for a brief absence in Holland which may have been his regiment and a recruiting trip to Shrewsbury Far s soldiering interfered very little with his play writing the acting of Wilks in the part of Sir Harry Wildair rguhar's second play The Constant Couple so took the that the success was followed up by a sequel in which that of chaos as the character has been well called gives his to the play The Inconstant an able adaptation of The Goose Chase of Fletcher was not received with the which its merits demanded nor did a better fate attend Twin Rivals acted in the same year The Recruiting r on the other hand based on the author's actual experi however fancifully enlarged enjoyed an extraordinary s in its performance in 1706 and long continued a favour ck piece Farquhar was possessed of the gay, irresponsible that is habitually associated with the Celt No success relieve him of his incurable impecuniosity no failure en dash his buoyant spirits. With neither fortune nor posi o back him he lived the gay life of his time and despite accesses of his comedies fell heavily into debt. Two otes are related concerning the later years of his life first tells how a lady almost as needy as himself fell so ately in love with him, that she represented herself to the lous poet as a wealthy heiress thereby to justify their vise imprudent marriage which actually took place the how on the advice of the Duke of Ormond Targuhar his commission to pay his debts his grace promising

should this course be adopted, to give Farquhar a captaincy in his own regiment," a promise that his grace forgot Farquhar died in poverty when less than thirty years old, a month after the triumphant success of his *Beaux' Stratagem*, leaving a touching letter to his friend Wilks beseeching him to care for his two fatherless daughters

In one of the ablest estimates we have of Farquhar as a dramatist, we are pertinently reminded of his youth and his promise, how the latest of the group — Vanbrugh, Congreve, Cibber and Steele — to write, he was the earliest to die, and how, therefore, the nature of his sins against decorum was somewhat different from theirs, Wycherley's or Dryden's We are further reminded, and the case well made out, that Farquhar, save for his first imitative work, was really less coarse, and, what is more important, less morally callous, than his school and assured that he was actually "progressing towards a sane and humane form of comedy when the pen fell from his hand." 8 To some this may seem an endeavour to distinguish between a dose of poison that has been quite sufficient to kill the man and one that he might have taken, powerful enough to kill at least two But Mr Archer is within the truth when he states that Farquhar, in his last three comedies, "gives a general preponderance to kindness over cruelty and good over evil, which reverses the order of things prevailing in his con-temporaries," and that Farquhar "may fairly share with Steele the credit of having set earnestly about the ventilation of English comedy." Possibly, in this necessary opening of the windows and getting out of doors, the most salient change is that which takes us, in these comedies, out of the narrow confines of the social life of a coterie wherein the conventions of etiquette have been substituted for the laws of nature, into a somewhat wider sphere of action, one at least in which the world of fashion and frivolity is viewed from without The Recruiting Officer carries the scene to Shrewsbury and substitutes a novel group of characters, country justices and country clowns, the prey of the humorous wiles and devious subterfuges of three recruiting captains, actual folk in a larger contemporary life than that of the fops and gallants of Covent Garden, while the scene of The Beaux' Stratagem is Lichfield, wherein a sim-

³ See William Archer, Introduction to George Farquhar, "Mermaid Series," 1903

ilar use is made of the life of a country inn This comedy is Farguhar's masterpiece and it adds to our wonder at its hu mour case and brightness to know that it was written almost literally on the author's deathbed The plot is alike fresh natural and original and better constructed than any comedy of Congreve There is a humanity about the two scapegraces who give title to the play and their conduct judged entire is not unworthy their gentle blood The ladies of their seeking Dorinda and Mrs Sullen are real women and the plight of the latter married to a drunken brute justifies the unconventional conclusion whereby a separation is mutually agreed to It has been well remarked that this honest questioning of an institution which the drama of the time universally accepted only to cast ridicule upon it was in itself a sign of an awakening moral consciousness. We can heartily agree with Mr Archer in his belief that in the death of Farquhar English drama suffered a veritable misfortune. For this dramatist alone of the authors of his time appears to have been possessed of the true vis comica that translates actual life into an effective stage picture. Only such a one as he could have held the stage against the more diffusive influences of the essay that shortly carried Steele away from the theatre and Fielding a little later into the novel

Of Colley Cibber's first comedy and its inspiration to Van brugh we have heard and likewise of the adaptation of Richard Cibber became an actor of note and is recorded as par ticularly happy in eccentric parts the fops of Etherege Con greve and Vanbrugh and Justice Shallow Jaques and even Iago and Richard III were among his Shakespearean roles Cibber began acting in 1690 and retired in 1733 appearing occasionally even after and he became too the most important manager of his time conducting the affairs of Drury Lane with a success both histrionic and financial which it had never known before With the delightful material that Cibber has left us in his naive and fascinating Apology it would be pleasant to digress here into the history of the stage as he knew it but neither our space nor the plan of this book permits Cibber was intimately associated with nearly every dramatist actor and patron of the stage from the heyday of Otway and Dryden to the latter days of Steele and on the death of Eusden in 1730 Cibber was appointed poet laureate an honour that his good sense rightly attributed entirely to his staunch Whig principles The work of Cibber as a dramatist grew out of the exigencies

of his career as an actor and manager It included upwards of thirty plays, comedies of manners and intrigue, pastorals, a farce, a masque, tragedies, alterations and adaptations of Shakespeare, Fletcher, Dryden, Molière, Corneille and even of his minor contemporaries There were many failures among them, but likewise a number of successes such as She Would and She Would Not, an excellent comedy of manners, and The Careless Husband, a really brilliant comedy of intrigue originality, literary quality, elevation of sentiment nor anything in the least degree smacking of poetry can be claimed for Cibber But he could construct a play and people it with acceptable and entertaining personages His work for the stage discloses a certain amelioration in decency and morals, as the years go by, in which he reflected the progress of his age, to speak of "the moralised comedies of Cibber" seems an overstatement Apology introduces us to a man of singularly good sense and modesty who appears to have rated his associates fairly and to have laboured under no false misappraisement of himself was the irony of fate that thrust Colley Cibber into the laureateship thereby making him the butt of the ridicule of stronger men than himself, but assuredly the wit of Pope has seldom been so perversely misled by his spleen as when he makes this shrewd and capable business man, this adaptable dramatist and clever actor the hero of The Dunciad

Only one other dramatist who carried over the manner of the previous age need here concern us, and that is Mrs Susanna Centlivre whose earliest play corresponds in point of date with the year of the death of Dryden Before her marriage to Centlivre, chief cook in the royal household of Queen Anne and King George I, she signed herself variously Freeman and Caroll Mrs Centlivre was of humble origin and began life in a company of strolling players in the provinces but later established a permanent position in London Her eighteen plays were written between 1700 and 1721, two years preceding her death, and there is scarcely one of them that is not possessed of merit Her comedies, which form the bulk of her work, for the stage are alike lively and ingenious. Her greatest success was the creation of a new comedy figure in Marplot in The Busy-Body, 1709 Don Felix in The Wonder or a Woman Keeps a Secret, 1714, is hardly less amusing and original, however different in kind Mrs Centlivre is bright rather than witty, fluent and easy in dialogue and absolutely of the school of Congreve and Vanbrugh whom she equals in the ingenuity and in the want of the slightest sympathy with the moral ideals which were beginning to make their way towards realisation during the period of her writings. Several of her comedies however, long held the stage to be revived by Garrick and even later

Into the interesting details of the life of Sir Richard Steele it is impossible here to enter Playwriting to him was not much more than an episode of his youth though one of importance to the history of the drama alike from the consciousness of his art and from his recognition of what he conceived to be the moral obligations of the stage Like Farguhar Steele was of Irish birth, born in Dublin in 1672 and some six years the former's senior like Farquhar too Steele began life as a soldier and saw as little service. But Steele was of better station in life and a kind uncle who was secretary to the Duke of Ormond was able to give his nephew the advantages of an education first at the Charterhouse where began his friendship with Addison and later at Oxford The associations of Steele's youth stood him in good stead later for his frank and engaging personality made him many friends A certain dualism - rather than call it inconsistency - in the temperament of Steele disclosed itself His life as a young officer and rising wit only too prone to conviviality exposed him as he expressed it to much and among other escapades he fought his man like any other gallant of his time only to be afflicted - very unlike that personage - with serious after thoughts on barbarous custom of duelling' The Christian Hero 1701 honestly avowed with his name on the title page was a strange book for a scapegrace young captain to write and losing caste among his fellow officers of the regiment because of it, with characteristic volatility Steele wrote his first comedy Funeral to make weight against this prejudice Funeral or Grief a la Mode is no swing back into comedy after the manner of Congreve and Vanbrugh Steele had already declared himself so far as I durst for witty men great admirer of Jeremy Collier and acknowledged with can dour the need of a reform of the stage It was certainly a de parture from custom for the author to bope in his preface that his subject might prove acceptable to all lovers of man and it was almost equally without immediate precedent that he should have invented his plot entire. On its perform ance at Drury Lane late in 1701 The Funeral was received with "more than expected success," its timely satire on the folly of current fashionable vanities in grief, its several natural and amiable personages and its sprightly dialogue carrying off the utter improbability of the plot and such enormities as a young man, estranged from his father, visiting the home in which his father's dead body lies, to carry on the lively courtship of one of his wards The Lying Lover, Steele's next comedy acted in 1703, owes considerable to Le Meteur of Corneille, but the serious twist to the plot in the last act, by which the careless Oxford lad, come up to town to play the gallant, is turned from his follies while in prison, supposedly for the killing of a man in duel, reads much like a page from Steele's own life This comedy was written with the avowed purpose of banishing "out of conversation all entertainment which does not proceed from simplicity of mind, good nature, friendship and honour" author acknowledges in it "an honest ambition to attempt a comedy which might be no improper entertainment in a Christian commonwealth" Four acts of The Lying Lover are excellent, the hero, Bookwit, with his imaginative lies, has a gay charm which accounts for the havoc that he temporarily works in the hearts of the ladies whose position of ironical friendship and concealed rivalry is well sustained But the fifth act falls into "a moral homily," and the attempted picture of young Bookwit's despair in Newgate is as much above Steele's power as a dramatist as the sentimental ending is perilously near to priggishness The Lying Lover ran but six nights The Tender Husband acted in 1705 is a much better play, though it had hardly a better fate In point of fact its comparative failure may be attributed, not this time, to a sermonizing fifth act, but to the union of two plots, the one (derived from Molière) as excellent in its farcical capabilities as the other (which was original) is unwholesome and unnatural

With The Tender Husband, Steele ceased, for many years, to write for the stage, although his association with the theatre continued close as critic, patron of the players and sharer in the business, if not in the actual management of Drury Lane Into the vicissitudes of these theatrical matters we cannot venture here nor can we trace, even in outline, the active life of Steele as a politician, essayist and party pamphleteer Steele was always a careless financier, and his extravagance, generosity and sanguine miscalculations as to his business undertakings and the returns of his various offices kept him in continual trouble, not-

withstanding that his income at times was large. There are several indications of an intention on his part to return to writing for the stage but what with his preoccupation with The Tailer and The Speciator and the several less famous

The Tatter and The Spectator and the several less famous papers that followed year after year it was not until 1722 that his last comedy The Conscious Lovers was acted This time with help of an excellent cast in which Booth Wilks and Mrs Oldfield were foremost and Cubber directing and advising the author achieved an unquestioned success and his comedy held the stage for a century. The Conscious Lovers is founded on the Andria of Terence but by no means slavishly for the scene and personages are thoroughly English In his preface Steele declares once more his purpose an innocent performance and that the whole was writ for the sake of the scene of the fourth act wherein Mr Bevil exades a quarrel with his friend and I hope the author adds it may have some effect upon the Goths and Vandals that frequent the theatres, or a more polite audience supply their absence '

The comedies of Steele raise several questions first the ever recurring difficulty regarding the relations of art to morals then there is the doubt raised in his own time if material such as that constituting The Conscious Lovers for example, falls properly within the limitations of comedy and the query to what degree may a sentimental interest be reason ably substituted for that steady and concentrated picture of life that we habitually expect of the drama. That the drama of Steele's generation demanded moral stimulus need not be re peated and that he administered the dose is not to be denied Possibly it was unavoidable in view of the accepted conventions of the stage to escape either the dose or the label labelled his medicine and frightened the patient and the quali fied success of his three earlier comedies is to be attributed as much to a certain shyness on the part of the public as to his purpose as to the departure of these comedies from the kind of thing that his audience was accustomed to expect. There are few things so difficult to accomplish as the surprise of a the atrical audience and success is as likely as not to be resented The novelty of Steele's plays, even more than their conscious moral tone and sentimentality, accounts for their qualified suc About the limitations of any form of art we have ceased to argue much in these later days and we are nearly ready to acknowledge that such argument is scarcely more profitable than

talk as to the correct size and colour of orchids. Even the matter of the intrusion — if it be such — of sentiment into places which we think otherwise better occupied, may be acknowledged to be largely a question of where to draw the line Eighteenth century sentiment seems to us at times mawkish, will anything much better be said some day of our own? As to Steele, with a fine discernment and power of drawing character and ability to write lively, natural and diverting dialogue, with a charming command of humour and pathos at need, he fails as a writer of comedies because of diffuseness, because of an inability to concentrate and to construct with the precision that several playwrights, otherwise his inferiors, possessed consciously or not, Steele sought expression in the essay and left the inimitable figures of Sir Roger de Coverley, Will Honeycomb and Captain Sentry to a larger audience than Sabel, Bookwit or Bevil could ever reach It is interesting to know that Goldsmith borrowed his Tony Lumpkin from Steele's Humphrey Gubbin, and that Sheridan had his Lydia Languish from Biddy Tipkin, that Steele had his part in the paternity of Fielding's old fashioned Squire Western as in his "perfect man" Squire Alworthy. Even Sir Charles Grandison is only Richardson's elaborate glorification of Steele's virtuous, priggish, impossible paragon, young Bevil. In a word, Steele was the earliest literary man to express the new sentimental ideal of manhood which, whatever its shortcomings, set a contrast between the weakness and brutality of the flesh and decent, honest clean living, and recognised a respectable, if somewhat conventional, standard of moral conduct, governed by kindness and humanity, while seeing the world none the less truly as it was. This was a great step in advance of the conventional immorality of the previous age, with its cynical denial of any standard Unhappily, however, this was precisely the step which the drama could not stand, for the substitution of the sentimental ideal carried with it the reference of conduct, character and situation to the standard of morals in place of the standard of artistic truth and fitness We may acknowledge The Lying Lover, then, as the first unmistakable example of the sentimental comedy and the as yet healthy parent of a long and increasing sickly progeny.

To appreciate to the full the nature and extent of the decline in the English drama, not from the pinnacles of Shakespeare, but from the lesser heights of Heywood Massinger and Dryden we need to consider not the inferior products of this later age or those which failing to fall in with the taste of the time (like Steeles) enjoyed only a qualified acceptance but the dramas that were acclaimed and approved plays which like the tragedies of Southerne and Rowe were hailed with enthusiasm and maintained their place on the stage for generations the case of Rowe it happens that such a comparison is easy as three of his most popular works Tamerlane The Fair Peni tent and Iane Shore are on themes already treated by Elizabethan dramatists and two at least are independent writ ings over or translations so to speak into the terms of Augustan ideals of the drama Nicholas Rowe was two years Steele's and Addison's junior and though educated for the law de veloped early an unusually deep interest in the drama as dis tinguished from the stage. An indefatigable reader and ap preciator of old poetry Rowe is now best remembered as the earliest editor of Shakespeare the first to attempt to compile a biography of that great poet from the fading traditions and perishing memorials accessible at the time But Rowe with all his appreciation of the past was absolutely a man of his own age He was born two generations too soon to feel the slightest stirring in him of the returning spring of the romantic revival and even Shakespeare editorship was to darken to the days of Pope before the dawn Rowe is the author of eight dramas one of them a comedy altogether trivial The rest which are more or less tragic range from The Ambitious Step mother in 1700 to Jane Shore fifteen years later and they en loved for their clearly defined and well conducted if artificial plots their easy florid and declamatory blank verse and their consistent expression of accepted conventional emotion gether the greatest popularity of their time In Tamerlane acted in 1702 Rowe has reduced the titanic and barbarous conqueror of Asia to an enlightened modern potentate intended to figure forth and compliment his majesty William III draw ing in contrast the weak and passionate Bajazet to typify cor respondingly William's enemy Louis XIV These political similitudes so fatal to literary longevity assured to the piece a contemporary success and brought fame to the author It is but fair to say that Rowe owes nothing to Marlowe, although the two dramas might be instructively contrasted by one who would know to the full the extravagance of authentic poetry o'erleaping itself and the atrophy of even the similatude of that divine art

In the following year, Rowe achieved a lasting success in The Fair Penitent, which, like its even more successful successor, Jane Shore, 1714, held the stage in almost unchallenged acceptance for more than three generations. As we read these old plays, acknowledging their merits in clarity, directness, sentimental, perhaps even to their auditors emotional, interest, we cannot but wonder how their poverty of thought, their obvious rhetoric, want of poetry and characterisation could have satisfied those who had seen Shakespeare, acted by Betterton, Booth and Garrick. A comparison of The Fair Penitent, with its source, Massinger's splendid, vivid Fatal Dowry - a comparison by the way made as long ago as the time of Richard Cumberland — discloses on the part of Rowe much the same attitude of mind and the same narrow intent to unify and simplify at any cost that we have already found characteristic of Cibber's alterations of Richard III, and even a greater callousness to the touches of life, those flashes of poetry and realisations of character that give reality to the old play Massinger had given to Charlois, the wronged husband, a personality and a dignity in his manly grief that the body of his noble father must lie unburied for want of money to satisfy his creditors He had given to him, in pleading his cause, a pathetic eloquence that moves the reader as it moved the judges in the play and, thus prepared, we proceed to the story of his wrongs Rowe reduces to a cold recital, leaving us without a grain of sympathy for his Altamont, the corresponding figure Massinger had given to his heroine Beaumelle, a levity of nature that accounts for her infidelity and, in the end, an awakening that causes her, in her contrition, to realise the nobility of the husband whom she had abused Rowe's corresponding figure, Calista, is the victim of her own animal passion, marries her husband because she must and is sorrowful and pathetic that her wickedness has been discovered and that convention demands her suicide The play is miscalled, for not for a minute is Calista truly penitent. Rowe destroyed the humanity of every figure that he touched, conventionalising the friend, Romont-Horatio and losing all the noble distraction of the In a word the living drama becomes under the hand of its renovator, a succession of sentimental scenes in which the

personages concerned are merely the mouthpieces of an emotion oratorically expressed

Nor can anything better be said for Rowe's most celebrated play Jane Shore the story of the fall from greatness of a king s mistress where many charities amiability of temper and gener osity of heart in a measure palliate especially to the sentimental mind her wrong doings The subject had already been treated diffusely but with genuine command of the dramatic interest and pathos of the situation by Heywood in his Edward II' The title of Jane Shore discloses the words 'written in imita tion of Shakespeare's style' an amazing statement from an editor of Shakespeare and in view of its unutterable unlikeness Indeed nothing could more plainly declare the obsession of the age of Pope and Voltaire on this topic than such a declaration Jane Shore like The Fair Penitent reduces the dramatic per sonages to a minimum rejects absolutely the element of comic relief and is as regular as it is simple in construction here too the personages like the dialogue tend to abstraction Rowe has been praised for his women he knows only one the plaintive sufferer, bewailing her woman's weakness defending herself against man's lustful advances moaning sorrowing de claiming dying And even this figure he had in a measure from Southerne and Otway before him as he had from these predecessors his interest in domestic subjects. Whether for these his more famous plays already mentioned for Ulisses The Royal Convert or Lady Jane Grey we must recognise in Rowe this paternity and succession. A candid reading of the three authors in juxtaposition makes clear the decline from Otway to Southerne and the greater drop in poetry and mastery over genuine emotion from Southerne to Rowe

The touch of Joseph Addison with the stage was even less than that of Steele although his three efforts cover a more varied ranged. His Rosamond 1707 to which Clayton wrote rather inferior music was an effort in the direction of the establishment of English opera. The libretto is both common place and inadequate. The Drummer 1716 is a comedy written with William Harrison. Its deserved failure caused Addison not to own his part in its authorship. The celebrated tragedy of Cato involves one of the most interesting matters in connection with the history of English drama however its extraordinary contemporary success must be referred to a happy concatenation of political affairs and the great reputation of

Addison in contemporary letters and society. The last number of The Spectator had appeared in December 1712 and Addison was seeking for new fields of literary effort, when his friends prevailed upon him to complete a tragedy on the subject of Cato at Utica, the first four acts of which he had written nearly ten vears before. There is no reason to doubt that Addison's serious misgivings as to the production of his tragedy were sincere. It had not been originally written to be acted and Addison was too judicious a critic not to recognise its shortcomings. subject at the moment was peculiarly fitting; the Tories had just come back to power with the conclusion of the Treaty of Utrecht, the Whigs felt that the treaty was the loss of all that the victories of Marlborough had gained for England. Cato was the last of the Romans, as conservative as the veriest of Tories, but he was also the defeated, the heroically unsuccessful upholder of the greatness of Rome; and the Whigs were quite as certainly defeated and, in their own opinion, the upholders of the glory of England In consequence, on the staging of Cato, in 1713, the house was packed by the adherents of both parties, each as determined as the other to read the drama into an allegory favourable to its own deservings, and Addison enjoyed a dramatic success in London, and later at Oxford, above that of any dramatist of his time. Like everything of Addison's, Cato is full of noble sentiments, beautifully written and with a complete realisation of that regularity that the age united so to praise With this, all commendation ends The plot is barren, ill conducted and full of absurdities, the characters frigid, lifeless and mere mouthpieces for fine declama-Considering the humanity of The Spectator, and with every allowance for Steele's part in it and influence on his friend, the coldness of Addison's tragedy is surprising and the dignity of Cato added to the dignity and selfconsciousness of Addison produced a result which even the author's own complacent age found it difficult wholly to accept. Cato was vastly admired and theoretically approved, but it was also severely criticised, most incisively and wittily by Dennis, for the irrelevancy of its love scenes and for its slavish adherence to the unities; and after a few revivals, it ceased to hold the stage.

Cato has been called "the grave of English tragedy"; perhaps it is better denominated that tragedy's sculptured tomb, not even inhabited by that much abused victim of a thousand crimes. And it was what Voltaire delightedly designated its reasonable-

ness that killed English tragedy in Cato It is possible if one so wills it to view the entire history of English drama (or all literature and art for that matter) as one continued struggle between the sanction of precedent and the spirit of freedom wide considerations such as these many cant words have been invented and it is difficult to avoid them and their misleading connotations It is possibly not too much an exaggeration to say that the literal reading of a disciple's report of the opinions and theories of a certain Greek philosopher with little reference to his larger system led two modern literatures astray in their conception and practice of tragedy and shackled the develop ment and freedom of at least two others Cato demonstrated to the world how a classical drama might be written, and it demonstrated likewise what an undesirable thing it was to do None the less, in the years that followed Addison and Rowe English tragedy became more and more a conventional following of what had gone before and the strongest influence upon it because the nearest was that of France In the hands of genius and with vastly contrasted antecedents the classical ideal had reached there the grace the dignity the purity of dic tion and elevation of thought that distinguishes the works of Racine and Corneille The story of classical drama in Eng land is very different for such way as it made at times in the early Senecans in Jonson and his followers was always by main force against the grain of English genius In England those bugaboos the unities had frightened many a play out of its senses and into absurdity however rational the underlying principles which the age devoutly believed made for the realisa tion of all the dramatic virtues. Again and again among the commendations bestowed by the critics on Shakespeare, we read how his ' irregularities were thrown into the balance against him From Jonson and Dryden to Pope and Dr Johnson though the degree of accusation may vary its major count is always the same Now in the very triumph of the classical ideal as the eighteenth century conceived it in England lay one of the elements of its undoing and that was this same sen timentality that insisted, for example on love making in the senate chamber at Utica at the very extremity of Cato's resist ance to Rome The age that preferred Otway's Monimia and Rowe's Calista as tragic heroines to Lady Macbeth let us say or even to Dryden's Cleopatra was scarcely stern enough to uphold the rigours of Attic tragedy elsewhere than in aca

demic discussion, and hence the general breakdown in English tragedy of that theoretical aloofness and decorum on which

French classical tragedy prided itself so highly

In the forgotten plays of Ambrose Philips, John Hughes, Charles Johnson, Elijah Fenton and their lesser kind, in those of Edward Young, familiar author of Night Thoughts, and James Thomson, ever memorable for The Seasons, English tragedy dragged its weary length, rattling its French fetters and bespeaking tears as well as admiration for a form more or less avowedly classic. None of the three dramas of Young, from Busiris in 1719 to The Brothers in 1753, was successful, save in attracting the satirical ridicule of Fielding's burlesque Tom Thumb, and the five "tremendous tragedies" of Thomson (most of them acted between 1730 and 1752), despite the friendship and the acting of Quin and Garrick, achieved only a temporary success. Thomson's Sophonisba is at best a poor following of Otway, his Edward and Eleanora, based on an apocryphal anecdote concerning King Edward I, achieved the extraordinary distinction of being praised by John Wesley; in his Coriolanus, Thomson disdained to borrow from Shakespeare, but wrote the drama over anew and at much greater length, making Volumnia, the wife of Coriolanus, and now no longer silent, like Virgilia, and, expunging both the person and the humour of excellent Menenius Agrippa from a drama on so serious a subject as the history of Rome No contrast could better make clear the nature of the difference between the ideals of the stage in Shakespeare's time and those of Thomson's than a comparison of the scene, in the Coriolanus of each, in which that conqueror is won to spare his native city by the intercession of his wife and mother; and few could make clearer the declamation, mannerism and conventionality of situation and personage into which the drama of the whole age had fallen In these dramatic productions of distinguished poets we recognise the commencement of that break between the play as a production for the stage and the dramatic form as an attractive mould for the expression of poetic thought and literary ideals This created an ever widening breach between dramatic literature and the stage and contributed to the further atrophy of the drama, so that through the time of Cibber, throughout that of Garrick and beyond, the period becomes more and more the age of the actor as distinguished from that of the dramatist But there was much to intervene before we reach this later

period Of the vogue of opera' after the manner of the French in Dryden's time and the plays furnished with in cidental music which went by that name we have already heard In 1710 the famous German composer George Fred erick Handel came over to England after having made a repu tation for himself as an impresario of Italian opera both in Italy and in his native country Between his Rinaldo 1711 for which Aaron Hill later a voluminous playwright wrote the libretto and Deidamia thirty years later he composed and staged more than forty Italian operas which have been gener ically described as consisting each of some twenty or thirty detached arias set in the action of a classical drama to which nobody paid the slightest attention. Nor was Handel alone in exploiting this popular rival of the drama as his well known rivalry with Buononcici alone is sufficient to show. Another feature of the time was the rise in the second decade of the cen tury of pantomime of which the inspiring spirit was John Rich Rich opened in 1714 a new and gorgeous theatre in Lincoln's Inn Fields having inherited the property from his father Chris topher Rich well known as a manager before him. It is re ported that having a talent for acting but an insufficient voice Rich developed the pantomime in consequence. It is more likely that he found the source for his innovation in current Italian practices At any rate he soon developed in his pantomimes a species of dramatic entertainment consisting of dancing ges ture and action intermingled with trick and show came a serious rival to Italian opera and the regular drama Rich played the part of Harlequin himself and devised clever variations not only on the theme set by that ubiquitous person age but on current matters such as the South Sea Bubble on Faustus and other subjects and he followed up these successes with those of other kinds It was at this point in his prosperity that John Gay after suffering a refusal at Drury Lane offered Rich his Beggar's Opera Gay who had already gained a deserved reputation for his Fables and Shepherd's Week had been taken up by Pope and backed by that saturical marksman from ambush in one or two previous dramatic ventures After one or two false starts Gay had staged in 1715 a dramatic skit entitled What dye call It in which Pope helped to aim the arrows of their combined wit at the contemporary taste for sentimental tragedy Venice Preserved in particular Appar ently nobody appreciated exactly its significance and two years

later, a scandalous comedy by the same collaborators failed so signally that Pope was glad to disavow any part in it. Nor did

Gay fare any better in 1724 with a tragedy

With The Beggar's Opera, however, Gay's reputation and fortune was made. It ran, according to Pope, sixty-three nights, an unprecedented run in those days, and for a time literally drove Italian opera, against which its burlesque was levelled, The Beggar's Opera belongs to a species known off the stage as ballad-opera and may claim a descent, more or less direct, from the English masque through the "heroic opera," as productions like Dryden's Tempest and Davenant's Macbeth have been somewhat loosely called. Gay's work is actually a prose farce in three acts, interspersed with some seventy little songs - "lyrics" (alas for the abuse of words!), we should now call them in the cant of the musical drama. The music was taken from popular airs of the day and arranged so as little to impede the action such as it is. The plot, so far as there is any, is well described in the term "a Newgate pastoral." Indeed the very bareness of the "fable"—the situation of Captain Macheath, the handsome dashing highwayman, betrayed to a merited hanging by the conspiracy of a turnkey and a receiver of stolen goods, but beloved by the daughter of each - is a mere take-off, in its lowness of subject, of the high dramatic altitudes of current opera and tragedy. And the whimsical conclusion that restores the captain, against all logic, to his two sorrowing wives is a further thrust at current operatic absurdity. The Beggar's Opera is intrinsically a trifle, and more distinguished by its inconsequence and good humour than by its wit or any serious effort at satire; but it accomplished with a laugh what twenty years of rival endeavour could not do, the discouragement of the bombast and absurdity of many of its serious performances Gay followed up his success immediately by a second part, called Polly, which, although not allowed to be acted for personal reasons, brought him in, on publication, a handsome sum to add to the fortune that The Beggar's Opera had made him Later, we find Gay supplying an English libretto for Handel. His other dramatic ventures are negligible.

Let us return to the sentimental drama to which the advancing century brought a new development in the form of a revival of the once popular Elizabethan bourgeois tragedy In June 1731, The London Merchant or the History of George Barnwell was acted at Drury Lane, the author, George Lillo.

This tragedy was a departure in several particulars from the vogue of the time It was founded avowedly on fact, the homely fact of every day life and while the author did not go to the length of representing the life of his own time the veil of the story of an Elizabethan apprentice on his road to ruin was transparent enough for all to descry the contemporaneous moral application. The form too was an innovation for Barmuell is written in the homeliest and baldest prose, how ever it falls at times into a certain lilt of rhythm in passages of emotional excitement like the well known cases in Dickens Prose for tragedy if not quite unknown before was at least like Lillo's descent into the affairs of tradesmen - a daring flaunt in the regal countenance of tragic decorum acted in vacation, Barnuell scored an immediate success run ning for twenty consecutive nights to crowded houses and gain ing in its repeated revivals altogether the greatest success of any piece of its age. More this bald and homespun dramatic version of an old ballad - for it is no more - void of the least trace of poetry and without a single literary grace to recom mend it gained a reputation and everted an influence on litera ture especially abroad which is simply amazing. The direc tion that Lillo gave to the drama involved a deeper respect for religion and morals and a more rigorous regard as to conduct especially in the relations of the sexes This is equally the bias of Richardson's novels and of the "moralised' pictorial satire of Hogarth and both be it remembered came after over the success of Lillo's play on the stage made it the pattern not only of imitation in England, but practically founded a school of domestic tragedy in France and in Germany as well This latter, an interesting subject in itself cannot be pursued Suffice it to recall in the one country Diderot whose transformation of the comedie larmogante into his own tragedie domestique et bourgeoise was effected by the direct influence of a French translation of Barnwell upon him and Lessing in the other who translated Diderot in the first in stance and imitated Lillo in his tragedy Miss Sara Sampson

To return to Barnwell as a work of dramatic art no one could rate it intrinsically highly however Lillo is to be commended for his directness his freedom from redundancy his steady movement forward, to the gallows that takes his un

⁴ On this whole subject see the excellent edition of Barnetell and The Fatal Curiosity by A W Ward 1906

happy and repentant hero to his doom in the end. It is one thing to consider this simple old tragedy from our modern point of view and label it "execrable stuff"; it is another to try to understand its popularity and influence. Lillo, of whom little personally is known, was, it appears, of Flemish extraction and carried on the trade of jeweller in London He was, on his own confession, a dissenter, and exhibits in his work the close knowledge of the bible, the strong religious convictions and the acute moral sense that was characteristic of the Protestant nonconformists of his time His success with Barnwell, which was great pecuniarily, led him to the writing of other tragedies of which The Fatal Curiosity is alike the best known and the most powerful. Here, once more we have a murder play and one circumstantially told as an actual occurrence in the old pamphlet form from which the story was derived. Here, too, Lillo left his story in the Jacobean period in which his source had placed it, but he sought to dignify the theme by writing in verse and heightening his language, in neither of which can he be said to be successful The Fatal Curiosity tells of the return of a son in disguise after long absence, and of his murder by his own parents whom continued want and misery had driven to the verge of madness. It is a terrible little play, compressing, as it does, the subject into three short acts; and, we may agree, that the manner in which this horrible deed is made to appear the inevitable consequence of fate rather than the result of character, declares its psychology to be transnormal. a word, Lillo's Fatal Curiosity is the logical application of sentimentality to the murder play. It may be added that this tragedy, too, has an interesting foreign history, especially in its influence on minor German romantic drama. In two other tragedies, The Christian Hero and Elmerick, both readable and interesting, Lillo holds up his ideal of the just prince and The Christian hero is the famous Albanian the righteous man prince, George Castriota; Elmerick is a supposedly historical leaf out of the annals of Hungary. Save for the prevalence of strong moral ideas in them and a somewhat abortive attempt to give to their halting blank verse a rather greater elevation of language than the prose of Barnwell, they are not distinctive Lastly, Lillo left behind him an unacted rewriting of Arden of Feversham which may show the source of his inspiration for Barnwell or be no more than a subsequent discovery of this earher work of kindred spirit

Norwithstanding the success of Barnwell and such immediate followings of its domestic scenes as Charles Johnson's Calia the nathetic story of a wronged woman and The Gamester of Ed ward Moore a prosaic but genuinely moving play the influence of this attempted return to subjects of every day life was less efficient on the stage than in the novel that began now to draw off to its wider field the attention of those who might other wise have written plays As early as the time of Mrs Behn and Mrs Manly we find this division of interest between the novel and the stage Congreye, it is true began in fiction and turned back into comedy. On the other hand Steele and Addison (if we count the elements of the novel in their essays) Fielding most notably, Smollett Brooke Goldsmith and even Dr Johnson wrote in both kinds, Fielding discovering his forte in the one Goldsmith in the other. The drama at large still continued its compromising allegiance to the theory of France and to home made sentimentality and in tragedy the influence of Voltaire whose criticism of the English stage was much read if not wholly appreciated in England became more and more apparent Voltaire after his sojourn in England found himself divided between an admiration which he could not withhold, for the freedom of English tragedy especially Shakespeare and that restraining sense of the licet and decet which is alike the strength and the weakness of the classical ideal His Mort de Cesar was avowedly an imitation of Shakespeare so far as French taste would permit such an imita tion his other tragedies of this period exhibit a similar com promise between a long tradition and a great example Dis cussion translation and imitation of the great French author in England was almost immediate There was Duncombe's Bru tus as early as 1732 Miller's Mahomet and Murphy's Orphan of China much later In 1736 Aaron Hills Zara gained a success scarcely warranted by its slender merits to be followed by other adaptations Alzira Merope and The Roman Re venge in which Hill endeavoured to improve as much upon Voltaire's conception of the tragedy of Cæsar as Voltaire es teemed himself to have improved upon Shakespeare But even if habitually mutilated Shakespeare was better known in Eng land than in France and Voltaire's English imitators and ad mirers fell out at last with his exasperating criticism and his disingenuousness if not with his example and resentment says Foote in 1747 be too strongly ex

pressed against that insolent French panegyrist who first denies Shakespeare almost every dramatic excellence, and then, in his next play, pilfers from him almost every capital scene?" by no means were all the tragedies, that cluster about the middle of the century, mere imitations of contemporary French plays Only a few months before the performance in 1749, of Hill's Merope, one of the last of his translations of Voltaire, Garrick had placed Dr Johnson's portentous tragedy, Mahomet and Irene, on the stage and by sheer force of his personal influence and good will to an old friend compelled it to run nine nights that the needy scholar might put the products of three author's nights in his pocket Irene, as it was called on publication, is as heavy and essentially undramatic as its famous author himself. There is something deliciously ludicrous in the Ottoman conqueror of Constantinople grandiloquently praising the British constitution in the year 1453, and courting his fair Greek captive in the ponderous eloquence that rolled its beneficent thunder at the Turk's Head and the Mitre

Dr. Johnson's tragedy, a model of correctness and weighted with perfections, was never acted again. Very much in contrast was the fate of John Home's Douglas, a prime favourite on its first appearance in Edinburgh in 1756, at Covent Garden in the next year and, on repeated revivals, thereafter up to the days of Kean and Mrs Siddons Home was a Scottish clergyman and the scandal of one of his cloth having written a play caused his resignation from the pulpit Douglas is based on one of the old ballads of his country and written, as it was, somewhat apart from the influences that conventionalize all literary efforts in the hands of lesser men who live at the centre of culture, is sustained by a genuine sincerity, simplicity and pathos that fully account for its popularity. The story, that of the restoration to his mother of a long lost son who is slain almost in the moment of their recognition, comported well with the sorrows and distresses of which the stage was so fond, but is conspicuous in substituting motherly affection for the mawkish love making that intrudes so commonly into contemporary comedy and tragedy alike Johnson, who could not but feel somewhat piqued at the success of Douglas, with its inartificial plotting and inadequacy as to literary quality, consoled himself in declaring, "There is not, sir, a good line in Douglas" Irene is stuffed with good lines, and yet Irene is no play

It was well before this period of the controversy with Vol taire that burlesque had begun to shower the arrows of its ridicule upon the unoriginality the grandiloquence and des perate prosaic level of English tragedy Henry Fielding the famous novelist began his long literary career with the penning of a couple of comedies in the manner of Congrese, the first of which was eclipsed on its performance in 17-8 by the popular its of The Beeear's Opera Thereafter Lielding wrote some twenty or more other pieces comedies short farces or bur lesques and in 1742 gave up the stage for the law and the writ ing of fiction Scarcely one of Fielding's dramatic ventures is wanting in interest though all were written carelessly and under pressure of the moment. The two or three adaptations from Moliere succeeded best in their day and one of them The Muer long held the stage. The earlier comedies are as coarse as we might expect comedies written by the author of Tom Jones to be however he made the characteristic plea that in so writing he intended 'to make vice detestable' real dramatic successes were his mock heroic burlesques for which his vigorous saturical pen found ample scope. In The Author's Farce he attacked opera the vacuity of the drama and the wretchedness of Grub Street hacks of which fraternity he was perilously near being a member at the moment Garden is an onslaught on the sentimental drama especially as represented in The Distressed Mother a popular play of Philips and in Parquin as in The Historical Kegister another farce Fielding lampooned the Cibbers father and son against whom he disclosed a continual enmity. The best of these short pieces is Tom Thumb the Great a burlesque of the whole romantic sentimental and bombistic drama from Dryden, Lee and Otway to Dennis whose criticism receives many a delightful thrust and Thomson against whose Busins the satirist appears to have had an especial grudge. It is Fielding's cue not only to turn the entire species to ridicule in his absurd and plotless extravaganza of the little hero Tom Thumb at the court of King Arthur but to parody lines, passages and similatudes from the tragedies that are his quarry in the manner of The Rehearsal but far more extravagantly While much of this banter must be lost to us despite the author's diverting parallels and references enough remains to declare how justified was the attack and we learn with interest that Tom I humb long held the stage sur passing alike its predecessors and the several followings in its kind that its wit and its success inspired. Fielding's earliest successors in burlesque, were Henry Carey, author of *Chrononhotonthologos*, and Samuel Foote, an actor, notorious for his powers of mimicry, who, after evading the law ingeniously for years, at length succeeded in obtaining a license for his little theatre in the Haymarket, where the lighter muse long maintained herself, in comedy and farce, against the tears and dignities that ruled at the other houses

To recapitulate in even the briefest outline the intricate story of David Garrick's leadership of the English stage during a period of more than forty years, can form no part of the subject of this book. He was unequalled as an actor, successful in steering for the most part a steady course in the troubled waters of theatrical management, and an able guide of the taste of the time, somewhat, though not so much as has been supposed, to the appreciation of a wholesomer dramatic diet Garrick's Gallic temper combined wit, vivacity and versatility with prudence and, his enemies said, a certain niggardliness It was perhaps less this last (for there are many stories to disprove it) than a certain want of moral courage that caused him to temporise and compromise so commonly in his dealings with the drama of his time. Garrick is often extolled as the restorer of Shakespeare to the stage. As a matter of fact there never was a time from Elizabeth's day to Garrick's own (to say nothing of what came after), when Shakespeare had not held the English stage, his rôles the ambition of the greatest actors, his plays, when honestly and adequately given, the delight not only of the cultivated and judicious but of the masses who cared for the stage In another sense, the restoration of Shakespeare has been claimed for Garrick and he rather boasted at times of his return to the original texts. But his famous Richard III was Cibber's version, and he never dared to act King Lear save with Tate's unhappy happy ending, while his "dramatic works" (collected after his death, it is fair to add), disclose in The Fairies, Catherine and Petruchio and Florizel and Perdita, titles which are scarcely more varied from the original designations of plays of Shakespeare's than the texts themselves are altered. In the matter of the fitting of dramas for revival on a later stage under new conditions, it is easy to fall into a condition of unwarranted conservatism. Indubitably so plastic a thing as a drama should be adaptable to the immediate purpose which it serves And in view of the incessant review, rewriting and alteration of Elizabethan plays in their own age we may feel sure that Shakespeare would have been himself the first to recognise this necessity. But there is cutting and re arrangement that respects the spirit of the play, and there is meddling and rewriting that spoils whatever it touches. The fine taste of Garrick must have appreciated this difference how ever a somewhat pusillanimous practice failed to realise it Certainly Garrick was far from deserving the frank satire which his friend Fielding bestowed on his predecessor in Elizabethan adaptation when he made Cibber say. 'No play though ever so good would do without alteration and then added

Shakespeare is already good enough for people of taste he must be altered to the palates of those who have none Gar nick's actual contribution to English dramatic literature de spite his three volumes of adaptations and collaborations is sur prisingly inadequate in view of his lasting and deserved reputation on the boards. He was perpetually producing various little things in a dramatic way says his earliest biographer some of which are original? As a matter of fact very few

some of which are original? As a matter of fact very few are original and his talents as a playwinght begin and end in a practical knowledge of the stage and an appreciation of the value of lively delegate and end to extend

value of lively dialogue and ready action

There is a tradition that Oliver Goldsmith once consulted Richardson as to tragedy which he had written that he read it aloud to another friend in Edinburgh. hastily blotting every thing to which his listener objected and then the tragedy dis appears. It has been surmised that as Voltaire was still in the ascendant and as Goldsmith was known to admire him here was perhaps another contribution however much more able to English Gallo classical tragedy, begun as we have seen with Duncombe and Aaron Hill and concluded in the failures of Murphy's Orphan of China and Cradock's Zobeide Gold smith was forty years old in 1768 before his comedy The Good Natured Man was acted the performance of She Stoops to Conquer preceded his death in 1774 by only one year Goldsmith the period of preparation, rather of blundering in certitude was long and his time of realisation disturbed by hack work and hurry In the comedy of the sixties some have found a reflex influence back from France to England of much the character of that which England had extended through Barnwell on France some twenty years earlier The comedies of Arthur Murphy some of them borrowed directly from La

Chaussée, as he had borrowed from Pamela and Clarissa certainly appear to bear this out. But whether the author be Murphy, Colman, Macklin or even Garrick himself (as in The Glandestine Marriage which he wrote with Colman), this comedy was ever moralised in its genteel commonplace and becoming sentiment until all humour and merriment had been driven to such refuge, as we have seen, in farce and burlesque As early as 1759, in his Enquiry into the Present State of Polite Learning, Goldsmith had defended the exaggerations of folly and the absurdities of the vulgar in comedy against those who "proscribed the comic or satirical muse from every walk but high life" He especially objected to the current word low as used thus to restrict the legitimate functions of comedy, as he later deplored the circumstance that humour seemed to be departing from the stage, wittily defining sentimental comedy as "a kind of mulish production with all the defects of its opposite parents, and marked with sterility," he argues that "if we are permitted to make comedy weep, we have an equal right to make tragedy laugh, and to set down in blank-verse the jests and repartees of all the attendants in a funeral procession" 5 It was with a full consciousness of just what he was doing, that Goldsmith sought a more legitimate way, than that of burlesque, of restoring English comedy to its power over The Good Natured Man was offered Garrick at Drury Lane early in 1767, but Garrick hesitated, mistrustful of the innovation like the safe man that he appears always to have been, and, after a quarrel over the matter, Goldsmith's comedy was brought out a year later by Colman at Covent Garden. This, Garrick resented, placing in deliberate competition, Hugh Kelly's False Delicacy, a piece of the washiest sentimentality which scored a signal success while that of The Good Natured Man, which followed a few days after, was more than qualified Indeed Garrick's mistrust of so bold a return to the comedy of humours by a contemporary was warranted in the proof The capital scene, in which Honeywood dresses up the bailiffs in pieces of his own wardrobe to masquerade as his friends, was voted "low" by Goldsmith's genteel auditors and withdrawn, and the poet had the mortification to learn that the contemptible Kelly had made nearly four times as much out of his rival comedy

⁵ Quoted by A Dobson in his ed of Goldsmith, 1905, p xiv from the Westminster Magazine, December, 1772

The Good Natured Man however loose and faulty in con struction, was a better comedy than the English stage had seen since Farquhar The figures such as Honeywood with his easy nature and willingness to serve everybody Croaker worrying out his troubles before they occur and then taking them amicably and Lofty with his officious importance and insinuating mendac ity are excellent humorous figures in the old sense of the word and yet so originally and happily drawn as to produce a higher sense of reality than is common in their kind. There is, too a geniality as opposed to mere gaiety and a natural ness in the personages their conduct and their admirably written parts that could have carried the town in almost any age except that of the dominion of sensibility and feeling. The time was not yet ripe and it was nearly five years later that She Stoops to Conquer reversed this verdict and carried the town by sheer force of genius Between Goldsmith's two comedies a second play of Kelly s - quite as good (or for that matter quite as bad) as the first - met with an accidental failure and The West Indian by Richard Cumberland and among the best of its species, gained another triumph for the sentimental school Whether it was the success or the failure of his rivals that encouraged him Goldsmith set to work late in 1771 on his second comedy offering it to Colman early in the next year It is a commentary on managerial discernment that after nearly a year all that the author could get from Colman was the return of his manuscript scribbled over with criticisms and suggestions At last with the bullying intervention of Dr Johnson Colman was brought to start the still nameless play in rehearsal but his indifference communicated itself to the actors some of whom even threw up their parts and it was not until March 1773 that She Stoops to Conquer was performed with a success alike complete brilliant and lasting Scattered were now the host of genteel comedy and the breath of fresh air was let into the playhouse. With the memory of the de lightful living personages of this celebrated comedy and its spontaneous humour a part of our literary birthright its laugh able situations engaging style and perfect acting quality we cannot but deplore that a period should so soon have been set to the dramatic activity of Goldsmith His comedy was like a tonic to the stage and the stage needed many another like But Goldsmith no longer stood alone Just before the performance of She Stoops to Conquer Foote had pro

duced, at his little theatre in the Haymarket, what he called "a primitive puppet show," burlesquing the sentimental drama and called The Handsome Housemaid or Piety in Pattens. Herein is set forth, in evident parody of such stories as Richardson's Pamela, how a maiden of low degree "by the mere effect of morality and virtue, raised herself to riches and honour"; and the auditors are assured that they will not discover in the work "much wit or humour" as "his brother writers had all agreed that it was highly unpopular and beneath the dignity of a mixed assembly to show any signs of joyful satisfaction" We may believe the report that, preceding Goldsmith's comedy by a month or more, this burlesque of Foote's helped to prepare the way for She Stoops to Conquer with a public, weary of the insipid morality long preached from the stage

Everything about Richard Brinsley Sheridan reads like a romance, and much has been perverted by those who spare neither fact nor character in the process. In contrast with the social and political eminence attained in a life crowded with triumphs yet checkered with vicissitudes, the story of his comedies seems little more than an episode of his boyhood; yet Sheridan, the author of The Rivals and The School for Scandal, is known to thousands to whom his famous parliamentary career. his celebrated eloquence and even the numberless stories of his wit and engaging personality are the shadow of a recollection Born in Dublin, his father a clever actor, manager and elocutionist, his mother a playwright and novelist, what better parentage could be demanded for the writer of comedy? Add to this a sanguine temper that courted adventure, an address and readiness that made every event an experience and an easy power of expression that rose, on occasion, to brilliancy and the equipment of the dramatist is complete Sheridan's youth was spent in Bath, then at its height as the capital of pleasure, and his comedies, while not actually autobiographical, are coloured to a degree with the reminiscence of personal incidents the like of which he knew in the midst of what has been happily called "the sham chivalry and the sham romance of which he made such immortal fun" He had carried out, when less than twenty years of age an elopement with the beautiful Elizabeth Linley, who became his wife, precisely such as Lydia Languish had dreamed for herself and her "Beverly" He had fought two duels, when less than two and twenty, only a little more

formidable than the immortal meeting of Bob Acres with Sir Lucius O Trigger and the verses of his heroes the raptures of his herones, smacking both of them as yet of the age of senti ment even the follies and the fopperies of the whole circle of his dramatis personar were things of which he was none the less observant in that he had shared in them all

Sheridan had been ambitious to write for the stage almost as a boy but it was not until his tumultuous courtship was over that he carried the epic of his life into his works was written in six weeks and staged in November 1774 only to come perilously near failure because of its length and a mis take in the assignment in the part of Sir Lucius With per fect good humour and the utmost good sense Sheridan cut down his play leaving out everything that had displeased and recast ing the offending part and offered it some ten days later once more with the very best cast the theatre could muster to gain a popularity that has never since paled The Ruals has been criticised as a young play and even the author was accus tomed to declare that it was one of the worst comedies in the But it is in the very qualities of sustained buoyancy and the high animal spirits of youth that the enduring charm of the work consists and it is this especially that has insured its perennial popularity The Rivals was followed speedily by St Patrick's Day a farce written to display the talents of Clinch who had created the role of Sir Lucius and it served very well its temporary purpose The Duenna a year later was an opera in the manner of Gay and in success second only to The Beggar's Opera Sheridan was now twenty four and the darling of the playgoer his wit and talent no less admired than his courage and the romance that had made him husband of the loveliest woman and the sweetest singer of her His future as a dramatist seemed cut out for him but with a volatility and daring that was characteristic of him he aspired to become the manager of Drury Lane Theatre and to the amazement of every one despite his youth, his inexperience in business of any kind and lack of the command of capital he actually became not only manager but the chief owner of that historic house over which Garrick had so long presided Sheridan obtained the money and how Garrick could have been content to thrust the difficult guidance of his glittering theatrical car to hands so youthful and unsteady are matters which may well have excited wonder As to the first it has been explained

that a share in Druiy Lane was a share in a monopoly and therefore as good a security as freehold land on which any banker would advance money, and, secondly, that by the help of others and the mortgaged condition of the second moiety (not Garrick's), which was acquired a year or two after, the transaction was completed with very little passing of actual money "It appears," says Professor Matthews, who first cleared up the matter, "that Sheridan invested only £1,300 in cash when he bought one seventh of Drury Lane Theatre, in 1776, and that he received this back when he became possessed of one half of Drury Lane Theatre, in 1778, then valued [entire] at £90,000" As to Garrick's consent, he was ready and anxious to retire, Sheridan was the first dramatist of his age, however young and inexperienced, and of a dauntless courage and buoyant hopefulness. Garrick, like half the people of Sheridan's time, yielded to his inevitable, personal charm

After a revival of The Rivals and an adaptation of Vanbrugh's Relapse, the new manager staged, in May 1777, and with the greatest care ever bestowed upon a cast (we are told), his imperishable School for Scandal Its success was absolute and to this the sympathy, suggestion and actual training of Garrick contributed in no small degree. The source of this second great comedy of Sheridan is referable, like the first, to a vivid recollection of certain of the author's own personal experiences. On his return to Bath, while he was recovering from the wounds of his second encounter, Sheridan was much exercised at the outrageous reports and scandals circulated about his private adventures and sketched out the plot of a comedy to be called The Slanderers. This he subsequently united with another rough draught concerning the domestic differences of the Teazle's and in this union The School for Scandal was wrought But the essential contrast of the comedy - the contrast of Tom Jones and Bilfil - may have had an origin even more intimate, for Charles Surface, however faithful to a long dramatic ancestry, by way of Congreve and Vanbrugh, is possessed, with all his carelessness and inconsequence, of an essential soundness of heart and a personal charm that was recognisably the author's, while Sheridan's elder brother, Charles Francis, is described as "a plodding selfish man, who never ran avoidable risks, who was an unfilial son and an unaffectionate brother," in a word, potentially at least, a very

⁶ See Brander Matthews, Sheridan's Comedies, 1885, p 31

Joseph Surface This celebrated comedy is avowedly a picture of life observed from a satirical point of view. In such a pic ture even of the frivolous society of Bath no man looks for the accuracy of the chronicler any more than for his dulness although it may be questioned if even the satire of Sheridan could seriously misrepresent the actual malevolence of menda cious gossip or the extravagant lengths of fashionable folly. A laready suggested the dramatist owed much to a long tradition but The School for Scandal did not follow The Way of the

World by nearly three generations for nothing

Congreve brilliant soulless dramatic art as we have seen fails to be truly satirical for the want of any real moral stand ard by which to measure the conduct of his personages Possi bly only the malicious - though who of us is free from malice? - can enjoy to the full so complete an exposure of the detest able social world in which his comedies had their roots and their being at least it is difficult for the man not of his time to reach the moral detachment necessary to an appraisement of the comedies of Congreve solely for their literary worth tween Congreve and Sheridan the sentimental comedy had in tervened which with all its platitudinous over emphasis of the moral aspects in life had at least established a standard of con duct and with it a fulcrum for the lever of satire No one can mistake either of Sheridan's great comedies for a lecture on morals for without further stricture on this score the scape grace is forgiven as he has been time out of mind in comedy and in life - and we are taught that verily does a good heart cover a multitude of follies But if these comedies are not purest morals undefiled by wit neither do they hold up to our admiration a mode of life at which good men revolt or on the other hand substitute for a hearty laugh at the foolishness of mankind a mawkish sentimentalising over the distresses of vir In a word it is the wholesomeness of Sheridan's humour that has given his comedies their place with Goldsmith's and the popularity of both authors is an evidence of a healthy dramatic taste. Sheridan's last original drama was The Critic performed in 1779 the year of Garrick's death. The senti mental drama scotched by Goldsmith was still living on to the evening of its day more particularly in the dramas of Richard Cumberland an abler man than either Murphy or Colman active in public affairs in many kinds of letters and the author of more than fifty plays now totally forgotten

the welcome always accorded to The Rehearsal on revival, Sheridan conceived the idea of bringing this famous burlesque of the drama up to date and in the upshot wrote a mock play that surpassed his example. The Critic, like The Rehearsal. represents a play within a play; and from that famous piece Sheridan derived, too, the clever artifice by which an author is made to witness a performance of his own play and comment upon it to his friends From Tom Thumb, Sheridan borrowed the idea of a parody on a supposed tragedy of English historical subject, here the Spanish Armada But Sheridan bettered all his models and devised, in the person of Sir Fretful Plagiary, a personal lampoon which surpassed the poet Bayes, alike as it represented Dryden and as afterwards adapted to the lesser laureate, Cibber "There is perhaps no other example," says Mr Gosse, "of the absolute destruction of a reputation by ridicule so complete as that of Cumberland by the picture of Sir Fretful Plagiary"

And now triumphant in comedy and satire, Sheridan turned from the stage to the almost equally theatrical field of politics His achievements and vicissitudes there and his long struggle from the zenith of success as a playwright and manager to all but complete financial ruin, do not concern our story. Sheridan the old drama that took its original impulse from Marlowe and Shakespeare expires. There is nothing that has followed in its kind, whether comedy or tragedy, that is not contained well within the ample superficies of the great drama that was Time had gone on and even the genius of Goldsmith and Sheridan could not restore the past Literature and the stage were thenceforth to be all but completely separated, as poetry had long been banished the drama, and their revival was to come independently and apart Between The Ring and the Book or Swinburne's trilogy of Mary Stuart and a tragedy of Shakespeare there is as great a difference as between either and Clarissa Harlowe, and the accident that some of our poets have written for the stage, like artists seeking experiments in an alien material, does not account for the fact that, in the English language at least, our playwrights - dare we say even until recently? - have not been our poets

CHAPTER XII

ENGLISH DRAMA SINCE SHERIDAN

SAVE for Goldsmith and Sheridan as we have seen acting during the reign of George III. scarcely deserves chronicling in the annals of literature Imitators these masters of comedy had but none of conspicuous talent, and as yet the drama written only to be read was as unreadable as it was un actable However it is not altogether fair to refer the increas ing decrepitude of the drama solely to a want of talent on the part of the playwrights The ancient challenge of Collier that the stage reform or be shunned by decent men was re echoed throughout the century and the strong religious movements and efforts reformatory of manners tended not only to re strain the license of the stage but restricted its patronage among honest and godfearing men By the time that the regenerative influences of the Wesleys were making themselves felt licensing act of 1737 had already passed restricting the per formance of legitimate drama to the two licensed theatres and restoring the censorship of the government over plays feeble opposition against these measures is alone enough to de clare how weakened was the influence of the stage Under such conditions it was safer for managers to temporise with old and tried material than to risk the uncertainties of novelty the demand so limited and the necessity of making each new play a theatrical success whatever else it might be the breach between plays written to be acted and plays written to be read which had been bridged over in comedy by Goldsmith and Sheri dan and in tragedy not at all became wider and wider marking at first no more than the difference between Dr Johnson and Home it came in time to mark the disparity between Shelley and Sheridan Knowles or between Browning and T W We have thus as to drama on the stage restriction by law limiting the output of plays and competition among playwrights a limitation of the constituency supporting the theatre owing to the contemporary moral and religious attitude

towards the stage, and the drawing off of the best literary and poetic talent to the safer returns of the novel or the ampler poetic possibilities of the closet play ¹

Among the writers of comedy who immediately followed Goldsmith, O'Keeffe, Macklin, Reynolds and the younger Colman are perhaps the least forgotten. The first has the gaiety and natural flow of humour that has made his countrymen time out of mind the world's jesters Macklin, notable as an actor, wrote a remarkable satiric comedy in his old age, The Man of The World, although of an older school and really prior to Sheridan In Frederic Reynolds we have a typical prolific maker of plays to order, without the technical skill of a Scribe or even a Tom Taylor, while in Colman (famous in his day like Reynolds) as an improvisator, the representation of character was often reduced to an incessant repetition of some oddity or peculiarity of speech and manner that his auditors found excruciatingly comical while his sentiment is described by Leigh Hunt as "mouthed" and "overdone" in the manner of a man who is telling a lie." To these may be added Mrs. Inchbald. Thomas Morton and Holcroft whose one great theatrical feat was the capture of Le Marriage de Figaro by Beaumarchais by memory and conveyance of it across the channel and into English in his Follies of the Day Holcroft's most popular play, The Road to Ruin, holds the provincial stage even to-day Still obstinate in the ways of the older comedy of manners as exhibited in The Clandestine Marriage, which he wrote in collaboration with Garrick, was the elder Colman who died in 1794 to be succeeded by the vivacious son whom we have just mentioned Among the sentimentalists, Kelly dying in 1777, Cumberland "the Terence of England, the mender of hearts," as Goldsmith called him, continued his tearful way despite the satirical slings of Sheridan, a busy playwright, essayist and writer of general literature, confidently assured of his own enduring fame whatever might be true of his contempo-It has been pointed out that in Hannah More, the feminization of tragedy, begun in Otway and Southerne, continued in the "she-tragedies," of Rowe, as he himself dubbed them, to reach in Hill, Murphy and Cumberland its culmination Her Percy, 1777, was a very successful play, and her

¹ On the later drama see the excellent chapter (1x) by T Seccombe in The Age of Johnson, 1900, pp 199 ff

Fatal Falsehood a drama of domestic sentiment only less so Notwithstanding the attacks of She Stoops to Conquer and The Rieals on the strongholds of sentim nt little more was actually accomplished than the readmis ion into serious drama of a cer This Holcroft justifies for trin quantum of low comedy example in the preface to his popular drama Duplicity though here as in others of his and in Cumberland's Jew and Wheel of Fortune the virtuous steadfastly suffer and the hea ens threaten to fall tears furrow the countenance of comedy and the cause of morality is vindicated and upheld. In short the auditor of the late eighteenth century had long lost the robust ness of constitution necessary to the endurance of the rigours of tragedy and, while still willing to be harrowed and thrilled by situations at which good taste in any age must revolt de manded that he be sent home satisfied that no real harm had been done to any human creature that morals had been up held the wicked reformed (rather than punished) and the good substantially rewarded for being good

If it could be in any wise necessary to appreciate to the full the insignificance of the bulk of the eighteenth drama we need but compare it with the giant stature that the novel had reached in Richardson Tielding Smollett and Sterne to be succeeded in Fanny Burney Mrs Radeliffe and the following romanti cists in Maria Edgeworth and Jane Austen to look no further forward. The drama was the last form of literature to feel the quickening approach of the romantic return of the year When at last the stage did awaken to the fact that the world was changed about it it was influenced only by the coarser and more obvious elements in the renascence of wonder the delicate and poetical finding a more congenial place almost any where else If we look for 'traces and premonitions there is a touch of fatalism and an appreciation for natural scene in Douglas which with its indeterminate medieval set ting derived from the ballad of Childe Maurice dimly fore shadows the romantic manner. As much cannot be said for Hannah More's Percy despite a similar origin To the elder Colman has been ascribed a part in the reawakening interest in Elizabethan drama witnessed in the revival of plays of Fletcher and Massinger as well as in a certain effort to imitate Eliza bethan methods and diction And before long the medicival ism of the new contemporary fiction its Gothic horrors super naturalism and feeling for nature as accompanying and affecting in its various aspects the affairs of men, begins to show its parallels on the stage Walpole himself wrote an unacted play The Mysterious Mother, in 1768, which is not an unworthy companion of The Castle of Otranto, itself adapted for the stage and acted in 1781, as The Count of Narbonne Other "Gothic tragedies" are Robert Jephson's Braganza, 1775, which boasts itself, in the prologue, as "warm from Shakespeare's school," his Julia, 1787, a very popular play, the scene of which is Elizabethan England, and Cumberland's Carmelite, 1784; and all preceded the German romantic influence To these premonitions of romanticism may justly be added an effort to comprehend the older age of dramatic greatness and deprecate the use of its stately marble structures to build temporary dramatic hovels, noticeable more particularly in a gradual return to the acting of Shakespeare's plays in a state approximately that in which he left them, and even more in the honourable succession of editors of the great poet, each more circumspect than the last in taking liberties with the text. None the less, it remains a commentary on the stage of the time and the want of taste and discernment in the public, that it took the insight of an eminent actor, who had learned its insipid lines, and an exhaustive scholarly "enquiry" by an equally eminent Shakespearean to expose the impudent association of Shakespeare's name by Ireland with his worthless "ancient British" tragedy of Vortigern Well has the history of the drama in the age of Wordsworth been summed up as "the impact of successive waves of romantic method and motif upon the solid intrenchments of theatrical tradition; with the result, that while the grosser and baser elements found ready entrance, the finer and more poetic were stubbornly beaten back, and only towards the close of the period began to filtrate perceptibly through "2

We found English drama when at its lowest ebb as literature most widely affecting the stages of France and Germany through the homely domestic tragedy of Barnwell Similarly now, it was not the great romantic dramatists of Germany, Goethe, Schiller or Lessing, whose poetry and ideality was to reach and influence the English stage, but the more obvious, clever and adaptable theatrical qualities of the romantic dramas of Kotzebue William Taylor of Norwich and Sir Walter Scott translated Goethe and Lessing, reaching those who read poetry

² C H. Herford, The Age of Wordsworth, 1897, p 135

rather than the theatregoer it was Benjamin Thompson and Anne Plumntre who were chief among some thirty or more English translators of a score of the two hundred dramatic productions of Kotzebue, while Mrs. Inchbald Monk Lewis and others hastened to adapt the new German wonder to the Between 1797 and 1801 Kotzebue had an English stage enormous vogue The conquest was complete in The Stranger (Mentchenhass und Reue) acted at Drury I ane in 1798 and in the next year even Sheridan lent his talents to the adapta tion and staging in Pr cero (Die S anter in Peru) of much that kind of fustion romantic history that he had envered with ridicule in The Gritic to be rewarded by the issue within three years of nearly thirty editions and ir uslation back a ain into German The phenomenal fortune of Ko zebue in Ingland has been attributed to several causes. In the first place he is a consummate master of statecraft and often as with as he is clever. Secondly he appealed stroagh to the prevailing love of the sentimental from which I nelish drama seems never to have been able to shake itself free and this appeal is given a wider social and political character which fell in thoroughly with the democratic and humanitarian temper of the moment Kotzebue received the extraordinary hearing accorded to him in the theatres of the englised world it can e of the paradoxical attitude that he had caught from the new romanticism more particularly as promulented by Rousseau, the type of romantic sentimentality that sets up natural impulse against the customs and the laws of man and with greater magnanimity than justice extenuates great offences because of trivial virtues and trifling good deeds. I the some of our own time Kotzebue habitually enforces the exception for the overthrow of the rule grining assent to a partial truth to make a point against convention is as capable if not nearly so with as Mr Shaw in exposing the wrongs of society and he is utterly wanting - here most unlike Mr Shaw - in any sincere underlying ethical principle There is nothing new in the problem as we should call it of The Stranger which is the ame with that of A II oman killed If the Lindness But the Highethan met his question frankly merels sketching the figure of the unfaithful wife and vindicat ing the superiority of the ethics of forgivenes over those of revenge. Kotzebue sentimentali ed the situation of separation and estrangement, "compassionated an adulteress as Mrs

Inchbald puts it, in her prefatory "remarks" to Thompson's translation, allowing his "pity" to "deviate into vice by restoring this woman to her former rank in life under the roof of her injured husband" The age must have revelled in the tears, generosities and struggles for command over feeling of the stranger and his Adelaide, in the final parting, converted into reconciliation by the timely thrusting in of the long motherless children and the rest of the lachrymose claptrap that appears "to do the business" with impressionable humanity when better stuff fails Kotzebue is largely the old sentimental drama in a new romantic masque Pizario, we might almost call a resuscitation of the old heroic drama in its repetitions of the rival lovers and the rival ladies in the atmosphere of a far away and delightfully unknown Peru; while in the matter of strained emotion, even the hero of honour, distracted and distorted, is surpassed in "the renunciatory lover," as he has been called, "who sacrifices all for the happiness of the angel who loves not himself but his friend "3 There are always those who mistake acute cynicism as to present conditions for the revelation of a new gospel A translation of Kotzebue's Negersklaven was dedicated to Wilberforce, strange irony as to an author, whose life was that of a political reactionary and whose death came to him in the guise of an enthusiast's stroke for liberty Neither artistically nor for any serious "reading of life," could Kotzebue be taken into account Nor could more be looked for from Lewis (also one of his translators), whose notorious novel, The Monk with its diablerie and rococo romanticism is of much the stuff of his plays, Castle Spectre, his Adelgitha and Venoni which came and went with the German revival Grotesque caricatures of the imitators of Goethe's Goetz von Berlichingen as these productions of "Monk Lewis" are, they link on to the literary translations of that famous romantic play by Taylor and Scott, while the latter's tragedy, The House of Aspen, "actually taken up," we are informed by Lockhart, "and put in rehearsal for the stage," discloses the wider relations of this species of the drama to the romantic fiction and balladry that, beginning in Mrs Radcliff and Bishop Percy's revival of old balladry, rose to The Minstrelsy of the Scottish Border and the Waverley Novels The influence of Schiller was less efficient, however one of his gigantic figures of romance cast its

⁸ A H Thorndike, Tragedy, p 328

shadow far before to modify in Maturin's Bertram 1816 one of the latest outcomes of the Gothic school

The larger issues of the romantic revival can little concern so brief a sketch as this of the form of literature that was least radically affected by it. Not only was Scott carried by the eddy of the moment into the writing of a tragedy ur der German inspiration the same was true of Wordsworth and Coleridge The Borderers of the one Osorio of the other respectively offered to the managers of Covent Garden and Drury Lane were refused by both in 1798 And indeed, neither could be conceived of as successful on the stage however the latter re vised as Remorse met with a qualified acceptance when acted Both young authors were directly affected by the romantic spirit of contemporary German literature but Schiller, not Kotzebue stood for that inspiration however conscious Cole ridge might be of the apparatus of the school of terror or Words worth of Godwin's principles of Political Justice neither was unmindful of the deeper and more powerful traditions of Shake speare and the English past Moreover incident even character itself, was not that in which they were primarily interested it the power of passion to reveal the depths of human nature that was their quest and for the expression of this they found solution not in drama but in the lyric raised to new and more significant uses in Lirical Ballads

This idea of making the drama the means of a delineation of the stronger passions of the mind was followed out with ex traordinary diligence and completeness by Joanna Baillie in her Plays of the Passions that range some twenty eight in number from 1798 to 1812 Her notion was to illustrate in each play a dominant human passion traced from its beginning to its end in ruin or satisfaction To this she concentrated attention on the origin of that passion within not as stimulated by external cir cumstance or happening and subordinated all incident develop ment of character even poetry and its embellishments to a rigorous search for passion in its isolation Her medium is verse one is surprised with her heary why not prose. She presents in these dramas a variety of subjects domestic and historical and is far from unaffected by the outward implements of romance knights vaulted Gothic chambers music by night. moonlight and witchcraft Miss Baillie wrote ostentatiously for the stage as her many elaborate stage directions go to show vet her works are full of improbabilities and her ignorance of

any real stagecraft is patent. Several of these dramas were acted in London and Edinburgh and one of her tragedies, De Montfort, held the stage for a short time. Her comedies, which professed to substitute character for incident and intrigue, had even less chance for success on the stage The extravagant praise that Joanna Baillie's work received at the hands of the most judicious of her contemporaries, Scott, Campbell and Byron among them, must continue a matter of surprise to any who may have attempted the reading of her dull, prolix and unilluminated scenes But after all, she was merely trying to do for the drama what Wordsworth, after a generation of abuse, accomplished for lyrical poetry, return it to the language of everyday life and, in the fervour of an actual representation of a single passion, raise the product into the region of poetry happily Miss Baillie was devoid of genius and her age appreciated her sincerity, her morality and clearly defined purpose and humanitarian spirit as we, at this distance, can not.

To return to the popular stage, of the effect of the monopoly of the two licensed theatres on the nature of the drama we have already heard in these pages This restriction, however broken through at times, discouraged, as we have seen, original drama of serious intent and encouraged, in the illegal houses, not only evasions of the law but the upgrowth of innumerable dramatic hybrids — the opera, operetta, farce, pantomime, burlesque, burletta, melodrama at last — all of which conspired to lower the tone of the stage and to substitute mere diversion and the charms of novelty and surprise for the legitimate pleasure of true drama The enlargement of the licensed houses, in 1791 and 1794, and again, on their burning and rebuilding, in 1808 and 1809, brought, besides, another disadvantage. Not only were new productions discouraged but the old must be now more than ever adapted to auditoriums in which the spoken word was lost in the large dimensions of the house, and the legitimate drama, as well as the illegitimate, was bolstered perforce by spectacles, machines and great effects, with songs, choruses and other musical additions In this dilation and amplification of the drama, so to call it, Colman the younger was a leader, as clever as he was unabashed and daring In the process he achieved a new and preposterous species of dramatic entertainment made up of tragedy, comedy, opera and farce the tragedy is blank-verse of a Shakespearean sound, whatever its sense, the rest concocted of farce in prose, dance and song, effect of light, scene, concourse

on the stage and what not The Surrender of Calaus has for its basis the story of Queen Philippas ransom of that be leaguered town The Battle of Hexham is a love story thrust into a chronicle play and served with much extraneous sauce The Mountaineers borrows a story from Don Quixote and en livens the whole with a humorous Irishman and The Iron Chest dramatizes Godwin's story of remorse for murder Caleb Williams Colman's comedies if less extravagant are equally in genious and his burlesque verses and unfailing wit made him a favourite in the society of the Regency and led to his appoint ment by King George IV to the office of licenser of plays which he exercised with unexpected rigour and ability.

It was in 1802 that Holcroft Colman's most prolific com petitor added the new French entertainment known as melo drame to the resources of the illegitimate drama supplying much the kind of thing that Colman had been giving with a somewhat greater infusion of incidental and descriptive music reducing the dialogue in part to dumb show and increasing the rapidity of the action the sensations startling situations and mechanical tricks The Gothic tale and the contemporary romantic novel were the natural quarry of material for such productions Leaving Hol croft who is only typical of his kind we read of a stage version of Rob Roy in 1818 in which the role of Diana Vernon be comes a singing part but in which none the less Macready gained one of his theatrical successes and of Don Sebastian turned at once into a musical play and into prose illustrating a highly exciting action combining equestrian combats real water cataracts and machinery for thrilling escapes Melodrama however it originally denoted a play involving music lost this as a feature before long and came to be characterised mainly by the rapidity and incessant quality of its action its startling situations aided by mechanical devices its dumb shows vivid contrast of vice and virtue and an inevitably happy ending for the good with a corresponding dealing out of appropriate punishment for the wicked. It is noticeable that not a single feature in this category is new each had long existed and all were degraded in the combination. Melodrama has not yet become extinct nor is it likely to perish alone by the influence of higher ideals or better art. It has now to reckon with some thing lower than itself for in comparison with the banalities of the music hall and the musical comedy and the suggestive ness of much besides that masquerides under a better name

melodrama is an honest, if a gross, art and better on that score than the frank immorality of our older comedy or the perverted outlook of the drama of sentiment. But what of any true drama in such an age? crowded by melodrama, farce, sentiment and nonsense, by the opera for lovers of music, by the novel among readers for story, by poetry for lovers of beauty. It is no wonder that the stage languished of a wasting illness from which recovery was more than doubtful and that the best intellects, after a failure or two should have turned to fields not so hopelessly barren

But it is not to be supposed that the young and ardent poets who were carried away on the waves of the new romantic poetry were content to leave the stage to melodrama and its like From Southey's somewhat abortive attempt to dramatize a recent event in The Fall of Robespierre, 1794, into the reign of Queen Victoria, there is scarcely a name of poetical or other literary prominence to which there is not attached some effort in the drama. Scott contented himself after the rejection of The House of Aspen with an occasional dramatic sketch such as Macduff's Cross or Holidon Hill, suggesting unrealised possibilities in the direction of romantic historical drama transferred less of the revolutionary ideas of his novels than might have been expected to a couple of dramas, Antonio and Faulkner, which failed as signally as Charles Lamb's John Woodvil, born as it was of enthusiasm for Elizabethan poetry and a following of Joanna Baillie's idea of an exposition of the passions from within and mainly by soliloquy A happier stage "imitation of the old dramatic writers" was Tobin's Curfew which enjoyed a run of twenty nights, in 1807, and is as far from poetry as Lamb was remote from drama To the year 1812, belongs Landor's first and best tragedy, Count Julian, in which his success in portraying the character of the protagonist is proportionate to his revelations of the poet's self. Here, in the trilogy of the story of Giovanna of Naples, and The Siege of Ancona (all of which followed in publication long after in the forties), Landor maintains that literary isolation that is always his these tragedies are splendid literary works, but their relation to the stage is scarcely greater than that of The Imaginary Conversations

With Coleridge's revision of his Osorio and offer of it to the stage under the title Remorse, in 1817, the poets begin a new and determined effort to recover the stage for poetic and

romantic tragedy. Coleridge owed the acceptance of Remorse to the good graces of Byron, and the novel beauty of its diction and a certain fervour sustained it for twenty nights and extorted from so tried a theatrical critic as Genest the words It must have struck the average auditor of tolerable tragedy the day with disappointment rather than with any sense of novelty that Coleridge's avenger seeks not blood but contri tion in the brother who has done him wrong and all the in trigue rebellion the necromancy and madness of this beauti fully written tragedy could little sustain a plot in which all is disclosed in the first two acts. Before the performance of Remorse Coleridge had offered Drury Lane his Zapolya a Christmas Tale avowed an humble imitation of The Winter's Tale of Shakespeare but despite a romantic plot and an elabo rate effort at action variety and stage effect the play was re fused Remorse reached a third edition in the year of its per formance and was revived once in 1817 and with this ends Coleridge's association with the theatre. And now parallel with stage successes of Sheridan Knowles and Sheil's Elizabethan adaptations, Byron Shelley, Milman and Procter and even Keats turned their attention to the drama.

Byron's actual preoccupation with the drama is concentrated almost within the limits of the single year 1821 although early in 1816 while a member of the subcommittee of management at Drury Lane he cast a German tale into dramatic form Werner with the purpose of representation on the stage Werner was rewritten in 1822 after the experience that his other plays brought him, and acted (first in New York in 1826 and at Drury Lane in 1830) was one of the stage suc cesses of its time Manfred begun later in 1816 is a very dif ferent production Whether the poignant regret for the inevit able past that characterises this tragedy comes of a terrible page in the autobiography of the poet or not, this extraordinary dra matic poem owes its indirect inspiration to Goethe's Faust which Lewis had read and translated to Byron howsoever it is like wise a lyrical expression of the poet's self exalted and abased before the grandeur of Alpine scenery In Mariro Faliero begun almost immediately after Manfred Byron made a serious effort to transplant to the stage the poetry of rebellion that was his But distractions intervened and other work and it was not until 1820 that he resumed the task With a subject dealing with an historical conspiracy not unlike Venice Pre

served, one, moreover, in which an hisible to "the mischief now afoot" which the barbarians of all nations back to their with characteristic inconsistency, anno escape "the reproach of the English + "by preserving a nearer approach to " regularity of French and Italian model the Elizabethan dramatists and their his will and almost against his legal was acted at Drury Lane early in 1' peated seven times, was coldly received. dicted Genest echoed the popular the beauty and spirit of [Byron's] di lineation of his characters . . is done" But of the play, Goethe Lord Byron or an Englishman wrote it quite for themselves and their own cc any of the subjective feelings, thoughts a And indeed, it may be admitted that in any other, Byron achieved the de ch sential to dramatic success But Byro "a selfdenying ordinance to dramatistriking passages of history," and Sard Foscari, following close upon Marino Macready after Byron's death and bc ! that a great name and splendid powers counter to contemporary practice, coul Foscari is another Venetian play, of of Marino Faliero. Both plots are however faithfully founded on the ar consulted, for the probabilities of life the stage are two things, and this th discovered Sardanapalus is different voluptuary, suddenly transformed to a in his "remorseful recognition of the . easy, dissolute nature, even in his sly sai one of those interesting and incessant of the author's self into his work formed from its species, an eighteenth intrigue, into a romantic and poetic

⁴ Byron, ed Coleridge, 1901, 1v, 327

own experience and passion Save for Heaven and Earth a mystery and The Deformed Transformed a recurrence to the Faust legend, which had an irresistible attraction for Byron there remains Cain a splendid dramatic discant on the text Man walketh in a vain shadow a poem in which Byron dared to try conclusions with Milton himself and about as capable of presentation on the stage as Paradise Lost It was an audacious thing to dare match Milton's Lucifer straction of infernal pride' and Goethe's Mephistopheles the universal mocker of good and evil with his Satan who is alike a spirit and a mortal the traducer as Mr Coleridge puts because he has suffered for his sins the deceiver because he is self deceived the hoper against hope that there is a ransom for the soul in perfect self will and not in perfect self sacrifice 6 It is a commentary on the weakness of the stage as well as a tribute to the superb genius that Byron's Cain with its daring and subtle attack on the conventional theological opinions of its day should have created a sensation and exerted a power which no acted play could ever attain

Equally typical of the romantic revolt against the shackles of creed and convention are the two fine dramas of Shelley The Cenci was inspired by the current traditions of that ter rible story of incest and parricide as a type of the eternal strug gle of man for justice and his eternal defeat. The elements of contrast here as in Cain and in Shelley's Prometheus Un bound are the tyranny of fact and law over essential innocence helpless and betraved And the clarity with which the theme is developed the skill by which its personages are disclosed in their passions and the naturalness and truth of its situations and climaxes are as admirable as the language is simple direct and unclogged with the usual embellishments of romantic art The romance here as in the greater dramas of Byron, is in the heart of the subject The Cenci is an amazing first play and the more extraordinary coming from the hand of a poet so nurely lyrical in his art as is Shelley It was offered to Drury Lane and declined because of the subject though with a rec ognition of its merits and the author was invited to submit another play In Prometheus Unbound the lyrist in Shelley reasserted itself though the poem is equally if not in a loftier degree a triumphant presentation of the same world conflict

⁵ Ibid 1 201

of the unconquerable individual will against the tyranny of constituted authority The range, when all has been said, of the poetic genius of such men as Byron and Shelley, was infinitely beyond the hackneved conventionalities of the Georgian stage, if such genius does not actually transcend the conceivable limitations of acted drama in the abstract. And yet the rebel philosophy, the cry for enfranchisement, political, social and artistic, the clarity of vision, the power to compel words and to wing them with the spirit of poetry, all of which belonged to these divine and great souled singers of the poetry of revolt, in some other age and with fewer literary and other distractions, might have crystallised their work in imperishable dramatic form Byron and Shelley both died before the time of fulfilment We feel, especially as to Shelley, of whose development almost anything might have been predicted, that, once more, in his death, the drama suffered an irreparable loss As to the lesser men, their contemporaries in the literary drama, even Keats, whose exquisite poetry is so essentially lyrical and descriptive, was emulous of "the writing of a few fine plays," and actually submitted his Otho the Great (the plot of which had been mapped out for him by another hand, he furnishing only the language and imagery), to both the licensed theatres The tragedy got no further than a promised rehearsal A better fate awaited Milman's Fazio, "an attempt," says the author, "at reviving the old national drama with greater simplicity of plot," and though by a young clergyman, "written with some view to the stage" After one or two unauthorised performances elsewhere, Fazio gained a metropolitan success in 1818 and continued in favour, with all its florid eighteenth century diction, for the possibilities of its chief woman's part. None of the other somewhat more Byronic plays of this notable scholar and historian are memorable A few years later, Miss Mitford, the popular novelist, gained recognition as a tragic writer for the stage in three or four productions, Julian, Foscari (written she declared before Byron's), and, most successful of all, Rienzi acted for more than a month in 1828 Her friend, too, Thomas Noon Talfourd, the biographer of Lamb, a leading critic of his day and later a judge, achieved a somewhat unexpected success in his classical tragedy Ion, which he was unable to equal in several later efforts Procter (the Barry Cornwall of song and literary friendship), furnished the stage two or three dramas, accepted in their day, most important among them,

Mirandola acted as far back as 1821 and nearly twenty years later Leigh Hunt who belongs in the impetus of his prose and poetry alike to this earlier period staged with deserved recogni tion his poetical drama The Legend of Florence romantic drama that immediately follows his time fell under the spell of Byron But there was a Wordsworthian poetic influence more calm more meditative and it may be added more remote alike from the bustle of life and the stage these plays more strictly of the study to mention only two of the more prominent Sir Aubrey de Vere's Julian the Apostate 1822 and his Duke of Mercia of the next year were separated both in time and degree of excellence from his Mary Tudor 1847, which some have placed in comparison with Tennyson's drama on the same historic subject and Sir Henry Taylor despite his Isaac Comnenus 1827 praised by Southey and later tragedies and comedies as well remains memorable for his much lauded Philip van Artevelde which absorbed as it exhausted his thoughtful lucid and essentially undramatic genius

Mr Archer in an excellent chapter on the drama during the reign of Queen Victoria has told of the continued struggle of the minor houses against the intrenched patent theatres and how theatrical free trade was at last established to the benefit of all by the act of 1843. He has told there also how the age of the Kemble's coming to its close was succeeded by that of Macready a stern but conscientious helmsman of the dramatic bark in waters commonly stormy and what was far more the friend and encourager so far as he was able of literary and poetic endeavour for the stage. As to the state of dramatic literature the critic draws a picture discouraging enough—

the ghost of the romantic drama stalked the stage he tells us decked out in threadbare fripperly and gibbering blank verse. No one had yet reflected that though Shakespeare might be for all time his forms and methods were evolved to suit the needs of an age quite different from ours. Showing how Shakespeare was misinterpreted and misunderstood he concludes 'laboured rhetoric whether serious or comic was held to be the only legitimate' form of dramatic utterance. This was literature—all else was mere drama and farce. The leading dramatist at the accession of Queen Victoria was Sheridan Knowles an Inshman a Sheridan on his mother's

⁶ The Reign of Lictoria 1887 edited by T H Ward 11 565

side, an actor since 1809, a playwright with a dozen years' experience behind him - what more could be wanted for dramatic success? Knowles was the author between 1815 and 1843 of sixteen plays, beginning with the tragedies, Caius Gracehus and Virginius, 1820, so famous for their vigorous declamatory possibilities in their day, and continuing — to name only a few - through William Tell, 1825, and the historical plays, The Hunchback, 1832, most popular of all, and comedies such as The Love Chase and Old Maids, both acted for the first time after the accession of the queen. The ideal of Knowles was the revival of romantic drama this appears to be the ideal of most dramatists in most ages On one side Knowles was well equipped He was possessed of a skilful stagecraft, alike in the construction and conduct of plot Beyond this, Knowles is almost the least of the romanticists Not only does he fail in that touchstone of the romantic art, an ability to turn a lyric, but his imagination is commonplace, he is uninventive, his dialogue, while at times sprightly, seldom rises above mediocrity and his blank-verse, which he uses almost to the exclusion of prose, is stiff with dignity or slovenly with carelessness. Mr Archer wickedly calls Knowles the Shakespeare of 1837, possibly Bulwer Lytton was its Fletcher Lytton began in the manner of Byron, his early novels have been declared too close in this following to have suited the taste of the rising generation After a preliminary failure in the drama, Lytton leaped to immediate reputation in The Lady of Lyons, 1838, which with his Richelieu, of the same year, have continued to keep the stage to the present time If Knowles was commonplace he was at least safe Lytton's plays - these and the two or three others that he wrote before 1851 — appear to the modern reader, false in sentiment and false in taste. They have the glitter and attraction to the eye of tinsel and its repulsiveness to the touch and understanding The plot of The Ladv of Lyons contemplated in quiet is absurd; its hero, Claude Melnotte, is quite pitifully unheroic, and there is not the ghost of the art of historical portraiture in Richelieu Yet the things act, Lytton, too, had the precious secret of stagecraft which verily does cover a multitude of sins Amongst other names, Mr Archer gives us G W Lovell, Gerald Griffin, and Westland Marston, reminding us of the success of Talford's Ion and the failure of Robert Browning's Strafford, just before the beginning of the reign, and granting to Leigh Hunt's successful Legend of Florence 1840 the pulm as the drama of the penod in which dramatic and literary qualities are most happily blent. Into the minor drama with knowles and Lytton for the mijors we need not descend. The anniable Planche wrote burlesque and extravaganza for fifty years doing less harm thereby than some who have followed limit and Douglas Jerrold famous wit and contributor to Punch with a dozen names now less remembered added their comedies melo dramas farces and what not to divert the time.

No drama with a past such as that of I agland could be un conscious of what had gone before and there has been no time since his own when Shakespeare has not been read acted ad mired and misunderstood in proportion to the degree in which he stands at variance with temporary standards of taste and man The growing respect for Shakespeare among scholars and the return of the stage to the presentation of his words as nearly as possible as he wrote them has already been mentioned Before long other Phyabethans began to receive the editorial and critical attention that had so long been denied them, and I amb's Specimens of Inglish Dramatic Poets Gifford's edi tion of Jonson Coleridge's Biographia Literaria and the sev eral books of Hazlitt involving the discussion of dramatic literature presented the whole subject to the reading public in a new and truer light. These works coinciding as they did in point of time with the vogue of Byron whose plays, deny it as he might owed much to this same dramatic past begot in the years that followed a veritable Flizabethan revival. On the stage this influence was necessarily superficial except in so far as it stimulated the staging of the old plays. Shakespeare be came even more popular in the days of Macready than in those of Garrick or Kenn. The two licensed houses yied with each other in the number of these " revivals " and in their appropriate setting and novelty leaving only some few of the out of the way plays unacted Other old authors were brought to light though here the age preferred the Llizabethan veneer which knowles Sheil and others were able to give to their own plays From a literary point of view by far the most interesting out come of this rereading of our old drama was the series of fine poetic closet plays that came from the pens of Darley Wade Wells Beddoes and Horne within little more than the decade from 1825 onward Darley & Sylvia is a lyrical fury pastoral reminiscent in the best sense alike of A Midsummer Night's

Dream and The Faithful Shepherdess, Wade and Wells fall together in their discipleship to Marlowe, however immediately both were influenced lyrically by Keats. Wade's two dramas are Woman's Love and The Jew of Arragon, the latter a failure, we are told, because it dared to champion the Tew much as Shakespeare's Merchant of Venuce has been contorted into doing in our own day. Wells is practically the author of but one work. Joseph and his Brethren, first published as early as 1823. and absolutely unnoticed at the time, but finally revised, nearly fifty years later, owing to the praise of Rossetti and Swinburne Joseph and his Brethren is a fine dramatic poem "writ dialogue wise", it was never intended for the stage. Nor can more be said, from this point of view, for the two extraordinary dramas of Beddoes, The Bride's Tragedy, published in 1822, when the author was a student at Oxford, and Death's Jest-Book, complete four years later, but not printed until after the author's death in 1851. Beddoes was a physician who passed the greater part of his life in Germany and Switzerland The influences upon his work are, for the Elizabethans, Marlowe and more particularly Webster, but both acting on the German Gothic romance, derived less through its English imitations, than direct Beddoes was possessed of an extraordinary imagination and wealth of phrase and imagery, a spirit of daring and metaphysical brooding, all of which recalls the spacious old days of England's dramatic glory But neither he, nor Wells, nor Darley could have been, but for the more immediate influences, the speculative lyricism of Shelley, and the gorgeous descriptive sensuousness of Keats

Lastly of this group, Richard Hengist Horne is the author of three tragedies Cosmo de'Medici, The Death of Marlowe and Gregory VII All partake, in notable degiee, of the Elizabethan spirit, especially the play on Marlowe which has a fire, directness and intensity that the subject and example should inspire Horne's are the least inconceivable of the group on the stage; but his dramas never reached it. "Pseudo-Shakespearean," I do not like to call these sincere and strong spirited poets who found in the inspiration of a great age of the past an impetus for expression which their own time could not give them But there is no better summary of the futility of all such art than is to be found in the often quoted words of Beddoes himself. "These reanimations are vampire-cold Such ghosts as Marlowe, Webster, etc., are better dramatists, better

poets I dare say, than any contemporary of ours but they are ghosts—the worm is in their pages—and we want to something that our great grandsires did not know. With the greatest reverence for all the intiquities of the drama I still think that we had better beget thin revive intempt to give the literature of this age in idiospicrasy and spirit of its own and

only raise a ghost to gaze on not to live with

Browning's Strafford was actually performed a few weeks be fore the accession of Queen Victoria. The suggestion that the poet write for the stage came from Macready who had ever an ambition to unite literature once more to the drama the great actor even proposed the subject. But the play ran only five nights. For ome eight years Browning continued largely preoccupied with the drama Pippa Pesses King I setor and Line Charles The Return of the Druses The Blot on the Scutcheon Colombes Birthday Luria and A Soul's Tragedy following in almost an annual succession of Blot on the Scutcheon was also inspired by Macready but when it came to the stage in 1843 he took no role in it and the play being underacted had only a short run Colombe's Birthday was printed first and acted some nine years after Pippa Paises was not staged until much later and then not professionally. These eight years of dramatic experimentation produced by far the bulkiest single part of Browning's work a part too in which the powerful original eloquent and manly poet has left us some of the most beautiful and characteristic work. It is notable, however that this work rises in poetic value in pro portion as it departs from the conventions of accepted stage craft that the series instead of exhibiting a rise in this respect remains from first to last, the individual expression of a power ful intellect forcing its art into an alien mould. However by no means were all these works intended for the stage, but the distinction between those that were so intended and those that were not is unessential. Without renewing here a discussion that has been worn threadbare it may be noted that in his dramas the two cardinal limitations of this great poet are his inability to escape from his own personality and what may be called the static quality of his art as contrasted with that dynamic impulse which keeps things moving in drama that has

⁷ Quoted by Mr E. Gosse The Poetical II orks of Beddoes Introduction 1 p xxiv

been successfully written for the stage It is a commonplace that all the dramatic figures of Browning reason and argue and how much they reason and argue! - with the intellectual brilliancy and address of their creator. If this is an overstatement of the truth, it must at least be admitted that he is likely to take one central figure and view the rest of his dramatis personæ from this acquired subjective position There is little agility in Browning, to put himself dramatically in any other man's place, is to him next to an impossibility, and while we feel, how carefully he has studied his characters that we may superficially distinguish them, the distinctions are not radical and leave in us an impression that his shadows are too heavily weighted with their emotions, or perhaps more accurately, with their mental processes about their emotions. As to the want of dynamic impulse, not only are the plots of Browning far from well chosen or wrought out, they are sluggish and if they move at all, uncertain and discontinuous in their movement Browning has achieved some great situations, most notable among them, the famous scene between Ottima and Sebald in Pippa Passes, but it is wholly static and affected altogether from without, it is in the nature of drama, it is not truly dramatic, for the extraneous influence is accidental, not essentially within, as are the promptings of the witches in Macbeth, a parallel often suggested. It is because of this immanence of self and immobility that Browning is not a dramatist, despite the supremacy of his poetry, his noble ethics and his compelling force of thought Browning must have recognised his limitations, for while that surprising power of his to give vitality to a situation by an analysis of its component elements, delivered in flashes of insight, continues to animate his poetry to the end; he ceased writing dramas as such in 1846 Shall we say, to find a larger utterance in a poem such as The Ring and the Or may we doubt whether this marvellous ability to focus the mental activity, so to speak, on the poetic analysis of a situation, viewed successively from half a dozen points, may not mean the individuality of a remarkable genius of a very exceptional kind, rather than mark any permanent step in an evolution away from the simpler, less perplexing art that is content with the interplay of incident and character illuminated by the light of poetry and unclogged with ratiocination

Browning tried the drama early in his career; Tennyson waited until he had reached full recognition in his art, when

In Memoriam was nearly a generation old and the cycle of The Idyls of the hing had reached its completeness Tenns son is likewise the author of eight plays Queen Mary adapted to the stage by Henry Irving was favourably received in 1876 three years later The I alcon a poetical drama based on Boccae cio ran sixty seven nights, in 1881, The Cup was successfully acted but, the next year less applause was bestowed on a trigedy of village life called The Promise of May The poet had already published Harol I and Becket which latter abridged by Irving was acted in 1801, and a little before this time a Robin Hood play The Foresters was presented first in America and later in London Tennyson's trial of the stage was a more thorough one than Browning s. Though he came to it a far older man, there was an adaptability about his genius which leaving out the lesser plays shows itself in the improved tech nique and stagecraft of Becket as contrasted with the over crowded scene of Queen Marr Moreover the association with Irving was happier than that of Browning and Macready and his great fame the accepted poet laureate not only by royal patent but by the suffrage of the world of English speaking readers gave to anything he might do a sanction and prestige that no other poet of the century could enjoy Yet even Tenny son could not bridge the chasm between the stage and literature and in his case for a different reason. Tennyson had long since reached an objectivity in his beautiful art that was never Brown ing s But while his personages never represent Browning s masouerading projection of himself upon the canvas of his scene save for the strong lines of Becket and possibly the fine original conception of Harold distraught between two realms and two ages Tennyson's characters have little individuality and to some extent even his poetry fails him in important mo ments Mere cutting down will not convert a closet play how ever poetic into a drama for the stage and neither Tennyson's nature nor his training gave him that sympathy with the audi tors point of view that feeling for the word as spoken that sense of reality in the unreal world of the stage all of which are among the infinitude of things that go to make up that the successful dramatist Moreover, Tennyson's greater dramas far more than Browning's are another renewal of the effort to rehabilitate the stage by following the Shake spenrenn tradition. And so too as to Swinburne we recognise that it was his passionate love for Elizabethan drama which he

knew so well and championed so enthusiastically, that begot the greater number of his nine memorable and beautiful poems in dramatic form. Especially is this true of his earliest play Rosamund and the Queen Mother, 1860, and his last. Rosamund, Queen of the Lombards, 1899, as of his version of the Marino Faliero story, already treated by Byron, and of the great trilogy of Mary Stuart, the enormous length and elaboration of which not only effectively defeats any possibility of stage representation but of a complete reading by any but the most valuant reader. Another influence in greater purity than ever before since Milton, has begotten in our age several exquisite imitations of Attic tragedy, among which Swinburne's beautiful Atalanta in Calydon is the most deservedly famous and his Erechtheus and Matthew Arnold's Empedocles on Ætna. which preceded them both, are the most important. But not only are these productions "Greek with a difference" quite as great, each in its kind, as was ever that difference in Keats, but all are essentially lyncal and in their thought expressive of the last great age that was but yesterday ours There is no more salvation for the drama in infusing modern ideas into the myths of Æschylus and Sophocles, marble pure and marble cold, than there is for our religion in altars erected to the Diana of the Ephesians Nor along the Tennysonian line of the following of the great example of Shakespeare can dramatic rehabilitation ever come. There will be no rejuvenation until we can escape from that great shadow and see anew the face of the sun

As to Victorian writers for the stage, until we turn the new leaf of the present, into which we shall not look, the perversity of some malignant, or at least some mischievous, goddess, in charge of meting out the endowments of dramatic genius, appears to have pursued them. To T W. Robertson, author of Society, Caste, Ours and other successes of monosyllabic title in the sixties and seventies, this fitful deity granted the actor's minute knowledge of the stage, a fresh humour and naturalness and a pervasive geniality that went far to account for his contemporary vogue, but she denied him originality, any genuine power to construct a plot or the least vestige of literary quality or distinction in what he wrote. On Tom Taylor, on the other hand,—remembered by the playgoers of a generation before the last for The Fool's Revenge, The Ticket of Leave Man and Our American Cousin — the jealous goddess bestowed a cultivated taste and no mean constructive ability, but she gave him

only a commonplace imagination with which to employ these happier endowments Still again Charles Reade the novelist was an earnest eager if difficult man full of confidence in him self and possessed of a hectoring and controversial style. His best play is Masks and Faces the stage version of his later story Per Woffington an interesting comedy of intrigue pos sessed of genuine wit and true feeling and his version of Zola's L Assummour Drink is a drama of brutal reality and violence to move disclosing in its fidelity to the actual the novelist's equally fatal limitation as a playwright while the improbabili ties of which he is readily convicted elsewhere display a con flicting if equally dangerous limitation Lastly there is Dion Boucicault the adaptive Mr Boucicault as Fitzgerald called him who appropriated to his immediate dramatic uses whatever light article he might find French or other floating on the broad surface of the drama of the past or the present Bouci cault is responsible for two well known dramas of the super natural ghost plays 'they are perhaps better called Rip van Winkle (that has made more than one actor's reputation) and The Corsican Brothers by no means dead yet in the purheus of the theatrical world But his great forte lay in the Irish play The Colleen Bawn The Shaughraun O Dowd careless patriotic 'unprincipled and impossible caricatures of his na tive country - or of any other country or society of men for that matter - which somehow long continued to carry their loose joints through five acts of humorous improbability to the delight of their auditors In the make up of this last dramatist our capricious goddess had forgotten not only literature but responsible dealing with the wares that he handled

Nor can much more be said for the names which Mr Archer that tried and outspoken critic of our late Victorian stage chose to distinguish as dramatists of to day in the year 1882 five years later picking out the following from among them W G Wills W S Gilbert A W Pinero J Albery S Grundy H A Jones G R Sims and H Merivale—the order is Mr Archer's With as great a delight as any of his contemporaries in Gilbert's humour of topsy turvydom and with respect for the fertility thoughtfulness industry and substantial success of all who working in the drama are still with us it can not be said that in any of these names or perhaps in those of our present moment—even the Leen the trenchant, the irrepressible the delightfully unexpected Mr Shaw—is to be found

that great regenerator of the stage who is to unite once more, in a dramatic picture of life, the quality of literature, whether poetic, satirical or realistic, with the histrionic art. One name that arose in the drama of the nineties, only too soon to be tragically eclipsed, seems to stand out in this respect above others. The name is that of Oscar Wilde, his comedies Lady Windemere's Fan. A Woman of No Importance and The Importance of Being Ernest the serious minded, who are unable to judge any work of art on its merits as such, but must always challenge the right of man to exist except as a machine for the solving of problems, the righting of wrongs, the active pursuit of all evils and anomalies to their utter undoing, there is nothing to say for these incomparable trifles. It takes an extraordinary amount and quality of thought to perpetrate trivialities such as these, and there is more beneath than appears in this dazzling swords' play of wit, this amazing ingenuity and endless resource Moreover, here the literary quality, at least, is in no question, however we may pause at the want of any underlying ethical soundness, that greatest of the essentials to great drama. It is such glimpses as this of the promised land that forbid us to despair of drama in the English tongue for the future, such glimpses, too, as we are now getting of an indigenous drama, not nurtured to meet the crayings of a metropolitan audience, but arising out of local conditions, whether Irish, English or other, in which human nature is less sophisticated and abraided by the attrition of modern life Least of all can we believe that the revolution effected in our manner of taking our serious theatrical amusements by the art, however great, of men of foreign birth and alien modes of thought, can ever restore to us the drama as a great national utterance.

INDEX

A Addison Joseph 267 276 283

Alexander Sir William 142 144

Alleyn Edward 51 53 105

— Queen (of Denmark) 158

Archer Mr W 268 280 281

Abelard 16

Æschylus 330

107 204

159

— John 51 Ancourt d 278

287 289-291 297

Albery James 331

Alencon Duke d 46

Anne Queen 264 282

323 324 331 Argenzola 188 Aristophanes 2 153 223 224 Aristotle 2 6 Armin Robert 94 110 170 B Bacon Francis 77 118 161 176 - Matthew 77
Baillie Joanna 315 318
Baines Richard 70 Bale John 28 30 69 Bandello 190 Banks John 246 265 Barclay John 219 Barnes Barnabe 139 Barrey Lodowick 170 Barry Elizabeth 237 254 259 264 274 278 Bassano 35 Beaumarchais 310 Beaumont Francis 96 117 159-162 174-184 186-188 196 204 706

Beaumont Sir Francis 175 Beddoes Thomas Lovell 325 Beeston Christopher 200 Behn Aphara 257 261 262 265 297 Bellott Stephen 76 Berkeley Sir William 231 Betterton Mary Sanderson 264 - Thomas 149 238 247 249 254 264 266 272 276 278 288 Blackmore Sir Richard 269 Boas Mr F S 71 Boccaccio 171 3 9 Booth Barton 285 288 Boucicault Dion vi 331 Boutel Mrs 274 Bower Richard 40 41 145 Boyle Roger see Orrery Bracegirdle Anne 237 267 278 Brandon Samuel 142 143 Brend Sir Nicholas 51 Bridgewater Earl of 214 Bristol Earl of 256 Brome Alexander 231 -Richard 1 I 170 202 205 210-221 261 262 272 Brooke Arthur 43 81 —Henry 297
—Lord see Greville -Samuel 193 Browne William 159 162 Browning Robert vi 99 144 309 324 327-3 9 Bruno Giordano 169 Bryan George 51 Brydges John 37 Buc Sir George 137 201 Buchanan George 30 38 Buckingham first Duke of 164

Buckingham, second Duke of, 248, 250, 271
Bullen, Mr A H, 95, 196
Buononcici, 293
Burbage, Cuthbert, 51
— James, 45, 50, 51
— Richard, 51, 79, 107, 156, 204
Burleigh, Lord, 44
Burney, Fanny, 311
Butler, Samuel, 250
Byron, Lord, vi, 316, 319-325, 330

C

Calderon, 263 Calprenède, 245, 247 Camden, William, 148 Campbell, Thomas, 316 Campion, Thomas, 157–160 Carew, Lady Elizabeth, 144 — Thomas, 214 Carey, Henry, 300 Carlell, Lodowick, 229-231, 244, Carlisle, Countess of, 228 Cartwright, William, 219, 220, 222, 223, 236, 262 Cawarden, Sir Thomas, 40, 45 Caxton, William, 25, 174 Centlivre, Susanna, 266, 282 Cervantes, 96, 188, 189, 198, Cespedes, de, 188 Chamberlaine, Robert, 231 Chambers, Mr E K, 15, 18, 32 Chapman, George, 59, 101, 102, 131, 132, 136, 137, 145, 146, 151, 157, 159-161, 165, 167-170, 195, 204, 207, 208, 272 Charles I, 40, 158, 161, 162, 164, 193, 194, 200, 204, 205, 209, 213-215, 218, 219, 221, 224, 226, 230, 235, 236 - II , 110, 148, 174, 205, 230, 239, 240, 248, 251, 260, 268, 27 I Chaucer, Geoffrey, 41, 57, 187 Cheke, Henry, 35 Chettle, Henry, 79, 91-93, 109, 114, 131, 132, 139, 147

Cibber, Colley, 242, 249, 270, 272, 274-277, 280-282, 285, 288, 292, 299-301, 308 — Theophilus, 299 Cinthio, 44, 100, 132 Clayton, Thomas, 289 Cleveland, Duchess of, 263 Clifford, Martin, 250 Clinch, Lawrence, 305 Cockayne, Sir Aston, 175, 176, 196, 202, 214, 219 Cockburne, Catherine Trotter, 265 Coleman, Mrs, 236 Coleridge, Mr E, 321 - Samuel Taylor, 315, 318, 319, 325 Collier, Jeremy, 233, 268, 270, 278, 283, 309 — J. P., 67 Colman, George, the elder, 302, 303, 307, 310, 311 — George, the younger, 310 Congreve, William, 259, 265– 271, 274, 276–278, 280–282, 297, 298, 306, 307 Cooke, Joshua, 170 Corneille, 231, 237, 240, 241, 257, 282, 281, 291 Cornish, William, 156, and sec 33, Cowley, Abraham, 219, 225, 226, 238 Cox, Robert, 235 Cradock, Joseph, 301 Cranmer, Thomas, 27, 28 Creizenach, Professor W, 16 Cromwell, Oliver, 234, 239, 248 ——Thomas, Earl of Essex, 28 Crowne, John, 238, 246–248, 257, 271, 274 Cumberland, Richard, 288, 303, 307, 308, 310-312 Cypnan, 24

D

Daborne, Robert, 94, 106, 178, 193, 196
Daniel, Samuel, 90, 142-144, 146, 152, 155-160, 192, 193, 222, 224, 226

Darknant Sir William 205 207 Darg 2 0 2 6-2 9 235-240 21\$ 244 750 256-758 271 24 794 27 nport Elizabeth 264 Dav Robert 201 20 219 ts Thomas 2,4 Davison Francis 158 Day | John 93 94 98 114 138 Day | 191 211 151Dr John 118 Dec er Thomas 56 93 97 107 Dekk, 110 113-115 119-1 1 109 140 152 153 163 165 136 196 199 202 704 716 193ey Thomas 110 Deloram Str John 719 Denhis John 266 2,2 290 799 Denny Lord 51 Derbhshire Countess of 215 Devo arl of 215 -En Charles 260 Dibdens Charles 95 Dickeot 295 Diderassius 146 Dio Cey Robert 23 256 Dodsl 42 Dolce John 265 Dorar 200

21 267 269 270 271 75 260-82 287 291 793 794 278-308 299 Thomas 27 273 Duffet mbe William 297 301 Dunco Honoré 26 765 D Urféy Thomas 246 765 27

E

King 15
Edgar i III 17
Edwards Richard 40 41 57
Edward 104 m William 40
Elderteth Queen 10 11 18 30

39 40 42 46 48 49 54 63
40 47 38 69 29 39 8 101 108
118 157 158 300
— Queen of Bohemma 139 160
East 27
Essex Frances Howard Coun
tess of 159
— Robert Devereux second
Earl of 86 92 143 156
— Robert Devereux third
Earl of 159
— Thomas Larl of see Crom
well
Etherege Sir George 259 260
63 268 271 277 281
Eurpides 12 30 38 48 266
Eusden Laurence 281
Evelyn John 69

F

Fanshawe Richard 256

304 Forcett Edward 73 169 Ford John 97 115 121 205 215-218

Foxe John 31

Frederick, Elector Palatine, 139, 160, 184, 200, 205 Freeman, Ralph, 232 Freytag, G., 6 Furness, H. H., 135

G

Gager, William, 43, 49, 58, 73 Gardiner, Stephen, 27 ---S R , 200, 209 Garnier, 66, 125, 141, 142, 145, 146, 152 Garrick, David, 265, 271, 273, 283, 288, 292, 298, 300-302, 305, 306, 310, 325 Gascoigne, George, 32, 42, 43, 48, 49, 82, 114, 157, 169, 191 Gay, John, 267, 293, 294, 305 Geddes, Richard, 145 Genest, John, 270, 320 Geoffrey of Monmouth, 91 George I , 265, 282 ——III, 309 ——IV, 361 Gifford, William, 325 Gilbert, William Schwenck, 331 Gildon, Charles, 266, 272 Glapthorne, Henry, 137, 219, 220, 226, 259 Godfrey of Le Mana, 16 Godwin, William, 315, 317, 318 Goethe, 68, 144, 312, 314, 320, 32 I Goffe, Thomas, 145, 147, 219, 225 Goldsmith, Oliver, 270, 286, 287, 297, 301–304, 307–310 Goodman, Cardell, 264 Gosse, Mr E, 308 Gosson, Stephen, 43 Gough, John, 231 Grabu, 258 Greene, Robert, 31, 57, 58, 60-65, 68-70, 72, 74, 79, 90, 93, 95, 96, 98, 104, 109, 110, 138, 145, 170, 185 Greville, Fulke, 142-144, 146, 156, 226 Grevin, 145 Griffin, Gerald, 324 Grimald, Nicholas, 31

Grosart, Dr. Alexander, 63
Grosteste, Robert, 19
Grundy, Mr S, 331
Guarini, 192, 226
Gwinne, Matthew, 127
Gwyn, Nell, 227, 240, 264
Gyles, Nathaniel, 41, 170, 233
Thomas, 40

H

Habington, William, 219, 232 Halifax, Lord, 267 Halle, Edward, 90 Handel, 258, 293, 294 Harrison, William, 289 Harvey, Gabriel, 71, 72 Hathway, Richard, 93 Hauptmann, 218 Hausted, Peter, 225 Hazlitt, William, 218, 325 Heming, John, 51, 222 - William, 222 Henrietta Maria, Queen, 161, 205, 206, 226, 228 Henry II , 18 — VII , 30 — IV, of France, 138 —VIII, 27, 28, 32, 33, 40, 156, 158 - Prince (Stuart), 158, 185 Henslowe, Philip, 51-54, 65, 92-95, 105-109, 114, 115, 122, 134, 138, 139, 145, 148, 149, 163, 167, 178, 185, 193, 196, 204, 219 Herbert, Sir Henry, 200, 208, Heywood, John, 9, 33-37 —— Thomas, 90, 91, 93, 94, 96, 103, 107, 109, 111-113, 116, 118, 122, 124, 127, 146, 156, 163, 170, 190, 191, 202, 204, 225, 287 Hilarius, 8, 16, 17 Hill, Aaron, 293, 297, 298, 301, 310 Hogarth, William, 295 Holcroft, Thomas, 310, 311, 317 Holmshed, Ralph, 87, 90, 133, 185, 212 Home, John, 298, 309

Homer 208 Hopkins Charles 265 Horace 153 Horne Richard Hengist 325 326 Houghton William 93 114 138 170 Howard Edward 256 - Frances see Essex - James 256 273

-- Sir Robert 238-240 244 245 250 256 Howell James 228 Hrotswitha 8 Hughes John 292

- Margaret 264 --- Thomas 42 Hunnis William 41 43 45 Hunsdon Lord 50 51 Hunt Leigh 323 324

I

Ibsen 218 Inchbald Elizabeth Simpson 310 313 Ingeland Thomas 35 Innocent III Pope 19 Ireland William Henry 312 Irving Sir Henry 329

176 178 189 193 194 197 200 202 204 207 212 213 215 218 220-223 225 226 228 231 239 251 262, 291

K

Kean Edmund 249 298 325 Keats John 99 319 3 2 326 Kelly Hugh 302 303 310 Kemble Charles 323 — John Philip 3 3 Kempe William 52 110 Kett Francis 67 70 Kildare Earl of 206 Killigrew Henry 230 - Sir William 230 - Thomas 229-231 236-239 244 256 261 Kingsley Charles 209 Kirchmayer 28 323-3 5 71-74 81 95 104 125 128-

Lirke John 231 Knevet Ralph 225 Knollys Richard 144 Knowles Sheridan vi 300 310 Kotzebue 312-315 kvd Thomas 60 63-66 68 130 136 138 141 14 145 Kynaston Edward 273 La Chaussée 302 Lacy John 238 260 272 Lamb Charles 113 318 322 Lambarde William 92 Landor Walter Savage 318 Laneham Robert 10 11 Laneman Henry 50 Lang Andrew VI Lansdowne Lord 266 La Taille 145 Lawes William 214 Lee Nathaniel 246 248 249 251 265 270 274 279 299 Legge Thomas 42 Leicester Earl of 10 42 46 50 86 118 Leigh Anthony 242

Lessing, 295, 312 Lewis, Matthew Gregory, 313, 314, 319 Lillo, George, 294–296 Linley, Elizabeth, 304 Locke, John, 265 ---- Matthew, 258 Lockhart, John Gibson, 314 Lodge, Thomas, 31, 61, 64, 69, 72, 74, 94, 124 Louis XIV, 287 Lovelace, Richard, 219, 232 Lovell, George William, 324 Lowes, Sir William, 231, 257 Lowin, John, 204 Lucan, 221 Luther, 27, 28 Lycophoron, 71 Lydgate, John, 33, 156 Lyly, John, 39, 40, 44, 46–49, 56, 58, 64, 68, 72-74, 82, 83, 95, 96, 174, 191, 224 William, 44 Lyndsay, Sir David, 29, 30, 33 Lytton, Edward George Earle Lytton, Bulwer- 324, 325

Μ

Machiavelli, 119, 169, 239 Machin, Lewis, 95 Macklin, Charles, 302, 310 Macready, William Charles, 320, 323, 325, 327 Maeterlinck, 218, 223 Manley, Mary de la Rivière, 266, 297 Markham, Gervase, 95 Marlborough, Duke of, 290 —— Henrietta, Duchess of, 267 - Sarah, Duchess of, 278 Marlowe, Christopher, v, 39, 52, 59, 62, 64, 66–75, 79, 81–83, 90, 95, 96, 101, 104, 105, 115, 136, 139, 145, 146, 175, 219, 224, 248, 271, 287, 308, 326 Marmion, Shakerley, 202, 219 Marston, John, 101, 102, 111, 126-129, 140, 146, 152, 153, 159, 165, 171, 193, 201, 204, 207 – John Westland, 324

Marvell, Andrew, 239 Mary Stuart, Queen, 46 Mary Tudor, Queen, 29 Mason, John, 145, 147 Massinger, Philip, 138, 175, 176, 178, 188, 194-201, 205, 213, 220, 221, 244, 256, 261, 272, 287, 288, 311 Matthews, Professor B, 80, 306 Maturin, Charles Robert, 315 May, Thomas, 202, 219, 222 Mayne, Jasper, 202, 219, 220 Medwell, Henry, 26, 27 Mendoza, 256 Meredith, George, 99 Meres, Francis, 60, 71, 84, 85, 99, 149 Merivale, H C, 331 Middleton, Thomas, 6, 94, 101, 102, 113, 116, 117, 121, 139, 140, 146, 163-165, 169-172, 179, 185, 189, 195, 197, 200, 202, 204, 207, 209, 220, 221, 225, 261 Miller, James, 297 Milman, Henry Hart, 319, 322 Milton, John, 192, 214, 239, 253, 321, 330 Mitford, Mary Russell, 322 Molière, 241, 254, 257, 260, 263, 278, 282, 284, 298 Molina, 211, 256 Monck, General, 236 Montague, Walter, 214, 225, 226 Montgomery, Earl of, 200 Moore, Edward, 297 More, Hannah, 310, 311 - Sir Thomas, 27 Morton, Thomas, 310 Mountfort, William, 264, 265, 271 Mountjoy, Christopher, 76 Mulcaster, Richard, 64 Munday, Anthony, 91-93, 97, 146, 152, 163 Murphy, Arthur, 297, 301, 302, 307, 310

N

Nabbes, Thomas, 214, 219, 220, 222

Nash Thomas 65 70 7- 80 Newcastle Duchess of 219 2 8 238 — Duke of 206 219 238 241 Newman John 45 Norton Thomas 38 42

0

Oates Titus 262
Ogilby John 206
O Keeffe John 310
Oldfield Anne 278 285
Ornend Duke of 279 283
Ornery Earl of 219 229 238
244 245 248 256, 257 265
274 279
Otway Thomas 246 254 255
257 270-272 276 279 281
289 291 292 299 310
Oxford Edward de Vere seven teenth Earl of 24 46
— Aubrey de Vere twentieth
Earl of 264

Þ Painter Thomas 44 127 190 Palsgrave John 32 Pausanias 223 Peele George 31 42 48 49 58-62 64 68 69 72 73 90 138 145 191 Pembroke Countess of 66 142 - Henry second Earl of 196 --- William Herbert third Earl of 51 184 200 Pepys Samuel 238 258 259 273 Percy Thomas Bishop 314 - William 170 Petrarch 152 Petronius Arbiter 172 Philip II of Spain 46 Philips Ambrose 20 200 ---- Augustine 51 - Katherine 257 Pickering John 43 Pinero Sir A W 331
Pix Mary Griffith 266
Planché James Robinson 325

0

Quarles Francis 232 Quin James 292 Quinault 241

R Rabelais 160 Racine 254 257 291 Radclif Ralph 31 Radchiffe Anne 311 314 Raleigh Sir Walter 70 256 Rambouillet Marquise de 228 Randolph Thomas 2 0 224-726 Rastell John 26 Ravenscroft Edward 260 272 Rawlins Thomas *32 Reade Charles 331 Reynolds Frederic 310 Rhodes John 236 Rich Christopher 293 --- John 293 Richards Nathamel 2 2 Richardson Samuel 286 205 301 304 311 Robertson Mr J M 59 60

63 T W vi 309 330 Rochester Earl of *47 248 254 256 *59 260 263 264 Rojas 37 Rossetti Dante Gabriel 326 Rostand, E, 2
Rous, John, 10
Rousseau, 313
Rowe, Nicholas, 196, 270, 276, 287-289, 291, 310
Rowley, Samuel, 93, 138, 139, 146
— William, 94, 102, 106, 107, 115-117, 121, 138, 139, 171, 178, 185, 189, 195, 196, 202, 216
Ruggle, George, 169, 223
Rupert, Prince, 264
Rutland, Earl of, 77
Rutter, Joseph, 225, 257
Rymer, Thomas, 266

S

Sackville, Thomas, 38, 42 St Benedict, 15 St Gregory Nazianzen, 8 St Paul, 24 Saint-Réal, Abbé, 255 Saintsbury, Professor G, 64 Sallust, 127 Sampson, William, 115 Sannazaro, 192, 226 Scarron, 237 Schiller, 194, 312, 314, 315 Scott, Reginald, 118, 121 -Sır Walter, 42, 252, 312, 314–316, 318 Scribe, 310 Scudéry, Georges de, 245 -Mille de, 219, 226, 245 Sedley, Sir Charles, 260, 263 Seneca, 38, 41, 42, 44, 48, 59, 64, 81, 141, 142, 222, 244 Settle, Elkana, 246, 247, 271 Thomas, 252, 257, Shadwell, 258, 261, 263, 271, 273, 274 Shaftsbury, Earl of, 255 Shakespeare, William, v, vii, 5, 6, 31, 32, 39, 40, 43, 48, 51, 52, 55, 57, 59–66, 69, 72–78, 80-101, 103-105, 107, 108, 110, 114, 115, 118-120, 123-136, 138, 145–148, 151, 154– 156, 166, 169, 171, 173-179, 181, 185–188, 192, 193, 200, 201, 204, 209, 212, 218, 219,

222-224, 232, 237, 238, 251, 252, 256, 260, 266, 270-275, 282, 286-289, 291, 297, 298, 300, 301, 308, 312, 315, 320, 323, 324–326, 329 Sharpham, Edward, 170 Shaw, Mr G B, 218, 313, 331 Sheil, Richard Lalor, 319, 325 Shelley, Percy Bysshe, v1, 1, 99, 309, 319, 321, 322, 326 Sheridan, Charles Francis, 307 -Richard Brinsley, v, 250, 270, 286, 304-310, 313 Shirley, James, 137, 171, 173-175, 198, 201, 205-215, 220, 221, 228, 244, 256, 258 Siddons, Sarah, 249, 298 Sidney, Sir Philip, 48, 55, 56, 99, 134, 142, 143, 157, 191, 194, 211, 219, 226 Sims, G R, 331 Skelton, John, 27, 30 Smith, Wentworth, 139 Smollett, Tobias, 297, 311 Sophocles, 330 Southampton, Earl of, 79, 86 Southerne, Thomas, 252, 265, 270, 271, 276, 277, 287, 289, Southey, Robert, 318, 323 Spedding, James, 90 Spencer, Gabriel, 149 Spenser, Edmund, 47, 93, 106, 119, 151 Sprat, Thomas, 250 Stapylton, Sir Robert, 238, 256 Steele, Sir Richard, 267, 270, 276, 280, 281, 283-287, 290, Stephens, John, 144 Sterne, Laurence, 311 Stevenson, William, 37 Still, John, 37 Stirling, Earl of, see Alexander Stow, John, 90 Strange, Lord, 51 Strode, William, 225 Suckling, Sir John, 219, 220, 228, Suetonius, 126, 146 Sussex, Earl of, 51 -Lady, 66

Sussex Swift Jonathan 266 267 Swinburne Algernon Charles vi 168 308 326 3 9 330

т

Tactus 126 146
Talfourd Thomas Noon 3 2
Tarlton Richard 50 56 57 77-74 87
Taso 192 2 6 266
Tate Nahum 258 761 273 300
Tatham John 2 5 238 261
Taylor John 40
—Joseph 204
—Sir Henry 323
—Tom 309 331
—William 31 314
Tennyson Alfred Lord vi 323
328 329
Tence 12 35 47 168 760
785 310
Tertullian 74
Textor 35 36
Theobald Lewis 272
Thompson Benjamin 313
Thomson James 29 790
Thorndise Professor A II vii

Tuke Sir Samuel 256 -57 Turner Richard 131 Tylney Sir Edmund 40

Udall Nicholas 29 35 37 40 Urban Pope 19

Tillotson John Archbishop 264
Tohin John 318
Tolstor 218

Tourneur Cyril 131 13 178 Townsend Aurelian 159

17

Vanbrugh Sir John 38 259 268 269-71 276-78 80 281 283 306 Vere Sir Aubrey de the elder 323 Victoria Queen 318 323 3 7 Viga de 188 250 63 Virgi 153 221 753 Volder William de 32 Voltaire vi 289 290 97 298 301

W

Wade Thomas 3 5 3 6 Wager Lewis 31 Wallace Professor C W vii 50

51 ,7 Waller Edmund 227 2 8 271 Walpole Iforace 31 Ward Sir A W 250 Warner William 90 Watson John 7 Webster John 93 132 140

Webster John 93 132 140 141 146 163 165 0 272 326 Wells Charles Jeremah 325 326

Wesley Charles 309
Wesley Charles 309
—John 79 309
Whetstone George 44 56 100
Wilberforce William 314

Wilde Oscar 332

Wills W G 331
Wilmot Robert 44
Wilson John 738 739 256
— Robert the elder 50 56
57 6 7 73 8 83 93

57 6 7 73 8 83 93 Robert the younger 9 93

316 Wren Sir Christopher 277 Wycherley William 257-259 263 264 268-71 280 Wycliff John 24

Y

Yarrington Robert 115 Young Edward 92

 \mathbf{z}

Zola 331